

Problems 18.3, Page 364

2. Natural parameterizations are given by, for A : $x = y = t$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$; for B : $x = t$, $y = t^2$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$; and C : $x = t$, $y = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $x = 1$, $y = t - 1$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} &= \int_0^1 (t\vec{i} + t\vec{j}) \cdot (dt\vec{i} + dt\vec{j}) = 2 \int_0^1 t dt = [t^2]_0^1 = 1 \\ \int_B \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} &= \int_0^1 (t\vec{i} + t^2\vec{j}) \cdot (dt\vec{i} + 2t dt\vec{j}) = \int_0^1 (t + 2t^3) dt = \left[\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 \right]_0^1 = 1 \\ \int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} &= \int_0^1 t dt + \int_1^2 (t-1) dt = \left[\frac{1}{2}t^2 \right]_0^1 + \left[\frac{1}{2}(t-1)^2 \right]_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

3. $\partial F_2/\partial x = 0$, $\partial F_1/\partial y = 0$, so \vec{F} could be a gradient. ($f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ is a potential function.)
4. $\partial G_2/\partial x = -2y$, $\partial G_1/\partial y = -2y$, so \vec{G} could be a gradient. ($f(x, y) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 - xy^2$ is a potential function.)
13. (a) C_1 might be the straight line path along the x -axis; C_2 might be the top half of the circle centered at the origin, of radius half of the distance from P to Q ; and C_3 might be the bottom half of the same circle.
 (b) No, because it is not path-independent.
15. Let $\vec{F} = x\vec{j}$, as in #13 — which we know is not path-independent — and let C be the figure-8 crossing at the origin, starting at P and going around (through Q) once to return to P .
16. \vec{F} may be a constant, in which case there is no change in \vec{F} , but the line integral can have nonzero value. Note: By the FTC for line integrals, $\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\vec{r}$ is the total change in f , not in ∇f , along C .
17. General counterexample: C is closed, \vec{F} and \vec{G} are gradients of functions f and g with $f - g \neq \text{constant}$.