

Page 82, Exercises 3.2

- 3.2.1 (a) The finiteness of the family of open sets is used when the  $\varepsilon$  is chosen to be the minimum of the set  $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N\}$ . If the family were infinite, then the set of  $\varepsilon$ 's would be infinite as well, and the minimum might not exist; the infimum might be 0.
- (b)  $O_n = (-\frac{n+1}{n}, \frac{n+1}{n})$ ; the intersection of these sets is  $[-1, 1]$ .
- 3.2.2 (a) The limit points are 1 and  $-1$ .
- (b) No,  $B$  is not closed, because it does not contain its limit (or accumulation) points  $-1$  and  $1$ .
- (c) No,  $B$  is not open, because it does not contain any intervals, much less one around every point in it.
- (d) All of the points of  $B$  are isolated points.
- (e)  $\overline{B} = B \cup \{-1, 1\}$ .
- 3.2.3 (a)  $\mathbb{Q}$  is neither open (it contains no intervals at all, much less an interval around, say, 0) nor closed (any irrational number is a limit (or accumulation) point of  $\mathbb{Q}$  that is not in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- (b)  $\mathbb{N}$  is closed but not open, because it includes no intervals.
- (c)  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\} = (0, \infty)$  is open but not closed: 0 is a limit (or accumulation) point of the set that is not in it.
- (d)  $(0, 1]$  is neither open (1 has no interval around it that is in the set) nor open (0 is a limit point that is not in it).
- (e) This is the set of partial sums in the convergent series  $\sum(1/n^2)$ ; it is not open because it contains no intervals, and it is not closed because its limit, i.e., the sum of the series, is not one of the numbers in the set.
- 3.2.7 Because  $x \in O$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which  $V_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq O$ . Now by the definition of convergence, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n > N$ ,  $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $x_n \in V_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq O$ . Thus, the only  $x_n$ 's that might not be in  $O$  are the finitely many with  $n < N$ .

3.2.8 This problem was not assigned; I include the solution because it is a useful method.

- (a) Let  $x$  be a limit (or accumulation) point of  $L$ , and let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $L \setminus \{x\}$  that converges to  $x$ . Now by hypothesis each  $x_n$  is a limit of a sequence  $(x_{n,k})_{k=1}^\infty$  of elements of  $A \setminus \{x_n\}$ . We may assume these sequences are in  $A \setminus \{x\}$ , because each of them has infinitely many distinct terms, and we can drop out any occurrences of  $x$  without affecting the limit. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , pick  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k > k_n$  implies  $|x_{n,k} - x_n| < 1/(2n)$ . We claim that the "diagonal" sequence  $(x_{n,k_n})_{n=1}^\infty$  has limit  $x$ ; if we do, then we will have shown  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ , so it is in  $L$ , and it will follow that  $L$  is closed. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and pick  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/N < \varepsilon$  and for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon/2$ . Then for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$|x_{n,k_n} - x| \leq |x_{n,k_n} - x_n| + |x_n - x| < \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2N} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $x$  is a limit of a sequence in  $A \setminus \{x\}$ , so it is in  $L$ .

- (b) The proof just given shows that, if  $x$  is a limit point of  $L$ , then it is also a limit point of  $A$ . And in general (see the next exercise) a limit point of the union of two sets is a limit point of at least one of them. (For, a sequence in  $(A \cup B) \setminus \{x\}$  that converges to  $x$  has infinitely many of its terms in at least one of  $A \setminus \{x\}$  or  $B \setminus \{x\}$ , and so at least one of them has a sequence converging to  $x$ .) So if  $x$  is a limit point of  $A \cup L$ , then it is a limit point of  $A$ . Therefore, it is in  $L$ , so  $A \cup L$  contains all of its limit points, so it is closed. On the other hand, any closed set that contains  $A$  also contains all of the limit points of  $A$ , so it contains  $L$  as well. Thus,  $A \cup L$  is contained in any closed set containing  $A$ , so  $A \cup L$  is the smallest closed set containing  $A$ .

- 3.2.9 (a) A sequence in  $(A \cup B) \setminus \{y\}$  that converges to  $y$  has infinitely many of its terms in at least one of  $A \setminus \{y\}$  or  $B \setminus \{y\}$ , so at least one of them contains a sequence converging to  $y$ .
- (b)  $\overline{A \cup B}$  is a closed set containing  $A \cup B$ , so  $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ . On the other hand,  $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$  is a closed set containing  $A$  and  $B$ , so it also contains  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$  and hence also their union. Therefore  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ . (Another way to see that  $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ : By (a) any limit point of  $A \cup B$  is in either  $\overline{A}$  or  $\overline{B}$ .)
- (c) No: Let  $F_n = [-1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{F_n} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = (-1, 1) \quad \text{but} \quad \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n} = \overline{(-1, 1)} = [-1, 1].$$

- 3.2.12 (a) True: The complement of a closed set is open.
- (b) True: That isolated point has no  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood contained in the set.
- (c) True: If  $A$  is closed, then  $A$  is surely the smallest closed set containing  $A$ . And conversely,  $\overline{A}$  is closed, so if  $A = \overline{A}$ , then  $A$  is closed.
- (d) False, using the book's definition of limit (accumulation) point, because  $\sup A$  may be an isolated point of  $A$ .
- (e) True: Its set of limit (accumulation) points is empty, so its closure is itself.
- (f) False:  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pi\}$  is open and contains every rational.

### Page 87, Exercises 3.3

- 3.3.1 Because  $K$  is bounded, it has both a supremum and an infimum, which are either in  $K$  or limit points of  $K$  — and hence, because  $K$  is closed, they are still in  $K$ .
- 3.3.3 The Cantor set is bounded: above by 1 and below by 0. And it is closed, because its complement is a union of open intervals. So it is compact.
- 3.3.4  $K \cap F$  is closed because the intersection of closed sets is closed; and it is bounded by the same bounds as  $K$ . So it is compact.
- 3.3.5 (a) Not compact: Any sequence of rationals that converges to an irrational (say  $\sqrt{2}$ ) works.
- (b) Again, not compact, but the irrational limit must be between 0 and 1. How about  $\sqrt{2}/2$ ?
- (c) Not compact:  $(n)$  is a sequence with no convergent subsequence.
- (d) This is just  $[0, 1]$ , which is compact.
- (e) Not compact, because the limit, 0, of  $(1/n)$  is not in the set.
- (f) Compact: Most of the elements are the terms of a sequence that approaches 1, so the only limit point of the set is 1, which is already in the set.
- 3.3.7 (a) True: It is closed because any intersection of closed sets is closed; and it is bounded by the bounds on any of the sets that go into the intersection.
- (b) False:  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  is not compact.
- (c) False:  $F_n = [n, \infty)$ .
- (d) True: It is closed and bounded. (Or, any open cover has a finite subcover: If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , then for each  $x_i$  pick an element  $U_i$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  that contains it; then  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$  is a finite subcover.)
- (e) False: Neither  $\mathbb{N}$  nor  $\mathbb{Q}$  is compact.

- 3.3.9 (a)  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $U_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus [(\sqrt{2}/2) - (1/n), (\sqrt{2}/2) + (1/n)]$ .
- (b) Same as (a).
- (c)  $\{(-n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- (e)  $\{(1/n, 2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .