

# AVOIDING MONOCHROMATIC SEQUENCES WITH SPECIAL GAPS

BRUCE M. LANDMAN\* AND AARON ROBERTSON†

**Abstract.** For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $k$  and  $r$  fixed positive integers, denote by  $f(S, k; r)$  the least positive integer  $n$  (if it exists) such that within every  $r$ -coloring of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there must be a monochromatic sequence  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  with  $x_i - x_{i-1} \in S$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ . We consider the existence of  $f(S, k; r)$  for various choices of  $S$ , as well as upper and lower bounds on this function. In particular, we show that this function exists for all  $k$  if  $S$  is an odd translate of the set of primes and  $r = 2$ .

**Key words.** arithmetic progressions, Ramsey theory, primes in progression

**AMS subject classifications.** 05D10, 11B25, 11N13

**1. Introduction.** Van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions [10] states that for every partition of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  into  $r$  sets, at least one of the sets will contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. An equivalent form of this theorem says that for all  $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists a least positive integer  $n = w(k; r)$  such that within every  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there must be a monochromatic  $k$ -term arithmetic progression. By replacing the family of arithmetic progressions,  $AP$ , with another family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets, one may ask if the corresponding theorem holds, i.e., is it true that for all  $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists a positive integer  $n = f(k; r)$  such that for every  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ , there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term member of  $\mathcal{F}$ ? Rado's theorem involving monochromatic solutions to systems of linear homogeneous equations illustrates one way of choosing  $\mathcal{F}$ . Other examples may be found in [3,4,6,7,8,9].

In [4], the authors considered replacing  $AP$  with the collection of those arithmetic progressions  $\{x + id : 0 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$  whose common differences,  $d$ , belong to a prescribed set. Specifically, for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ , call  $A$  an  $r$ -large set if for every  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  there exist arbitrarily long monochromatic arithmetic progressions whose common differences belong to  $A$ . Define  $A$  to be *large* if it is  $r$ -large for every  $r$ . They gave several sufficient conditions and some necessary conditions for largeness and 2-largeness. They also conjectured that any set that is 2-large must be large.

In this paper we consider a property related to largeness. We consider sequences where the differences between consecutive terms belong to a prescribed set  $S$ ; however, we do not insist that the sequence be an arithmetic progression.

We begin with the following notation and definitions. For any string  $u$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we denote by  $u^t$  the string of  $t$  consecutive  $u$ 's. For  $t = 0$ , we let  $u^t$  represent the empty string. For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ , a sequence of positive integers  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  is called a  $k$ -term  $S$ -diffsequence if  $x_i - x_{i-1} \in S$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $S$  is called  $r$ -accessible if whenever  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  is  $r$ -colored, there are arbitrarily long monochromatic  $S$ -diffsequences. The set  $S$  is called *accessible* if it is  $r$ -accessible for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $S$  is not accessible, the *degree of accessibility* of  $S$ , denoted  $DA(S)$ , is the largest value of  $r$  such that  $S$  is  $r$ -accessible. Finally, we denote by  $f(S, k; r)$  the least positive integer  $n$  (if it exists) such that for every  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$  there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term  $S$ -diffsequence. (Obviously, for  $S$  an  $r$ -accessible set, if  $S \subseteq T$ , then  $f(S, k; r) \geq f(T, k; r)$ .)

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA 30118, (landman@westga.edu)

†Department of Mathematics, Colgate University, Hamilton, NY 13346, (aaron@math.colgate.edu)

Denote the family of all accessible sets by  $\mathcal{A}$  and the family of all  $r$ -accessible sets by  $\mathcal{A}_r$ . Likewise, denote the families of large sets and  $r$ -large sets by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_r$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_r \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$  for all  $r$ . As stated before, it is conjectured that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_2$ . As we shall see,  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 \neq \mathcal{L}_2$ . Moreover, Jungić [5] has proved that  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{L}$ .

In Section 2 we give some basic lemmas and consider a few elementary examples; in particular, we show that for any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there is a set with degree of accessibility  $d$  (this is in contrast to what is conjectured about large sets). In Section 3 we prove that for each odd positive integer  $t$  there are arbitrarily long sequences of primes  $p_1 < \dots < p_k$  such that  $p_i - p_{i-1} \in P + t$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $P$  is the set of primes. From this it follows that  $P + t \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Section 4 contains some open questions and a table of computer-generated values of  $f(S, k; 2)$  for a few sets  $S$  and values  $k$ .

## 2. A Few Simple Examples.

We begin with two useful lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $c \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 2$ , and let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If every  $(r-1)$ -coloring of  $S$  yields arbitrarily long monochromatic  $(S+c)$ -diffsequences, then  $S+c \in \mathcal{A}_r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  and assume every  $(r-1)$ -coloring of  $S$  admits arbitrarily long monochromatic  $(S+c)$ -diffsequences. Let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ . By induction on  $k$ , we show that, under  $\chi$ , for all  $k$  there are  $k$ -term monochromatic  $(S+c)$ -diffsequences. Since there are trivially 1-term sequences, assume that under  $\chi$  there is a monochromatic  $(S+c)$ -diffsequence  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Say  $X$  is of color red. Consider  $A = \{x_k + s_i + c : s_i \in S\}$ . If some member of  $A$  is colored red, then we have a red  $(k+1)$ -term  $(S+c)$ -diffsequence. Otherwise we have an  $(r-1)$ -coloring of  $A$  and hence,  $A$  must contain arbitrarily long monochromatic  $(S+c)$ -diffsequences.  $\square$

*Remark.* The converse of Lemma 2.1 is false. For example, let  $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1)$ . Let  $\chi$  be the 2-coloring of  $S$  defined by  $\chi(x) = 1$  if  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $x = 2$ , and  $\chi(x) = 0$  if  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\chi$  does not yield arbitrarily long monochromatic  $S$ -diffsequences (there are none of length four). On the other hand,  $S \in \mathcal{A}_3$  [8, Remark (5)], and in fact  $f(S, k; 3) \leq 6k^2 - 13k + 6$  (see Theorem 2.8); more generally, from this same reference it follows that if  $m$  is even, and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then the set  $\{jm\} \cup \{x : x \equiv \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}\}$  is 3-accessible.

We leave to the reader as an easy exercise the proof of the following result.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a set of positive integers and let  $k, r, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $f(S, k; r) = M$ , then  $f(jS, k; r) = j(M-1) + 1$ .*

Using Lemma 2.1, with  $c = 0$  and  $r = 2$ , it is clear that the set  $\{2^i : i \geq 0\}$  is 2-accessible. The following result tells us more.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1, 3\}$ , and define  $S = \{(a-1)a^j : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{(a-1)^2 a^j : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then  $2 \leq DA(S) \leq a$  and  $f(S, k; 2) \leq a^k - a + 1$ .*

*Proof.* To show that  $DA(S) \leq a$ , let  $\chi$  be the  $(a+1)$ -coloring defined by  $\chi(x) = x \pmod{a+1}$ . Assume that  $\chi(y) = \chi(z)$  and that  $z - y \in S$ . By the definition of  $\chi$ ,  $a+1$  divides  $z - y$ , and therefore either  $(a+1)|(a-1)a^j$  or  $(a+1)|(a-1)^2 a^j$  for some  $j \geq 0$ . Since  $a \neq 1, 3$ , neither of these is possible.

Now let  $\alpha : [1, a^k - a + 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . We will show, by induction on  $k$ , that under  $\alpha$  there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term  $S$ -diffsequence. Obviously, it holds for  $k = 1$ . Now assume  $k \geq 2$ , and that it holds for  $k-1$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$  be a monochromatic  $S$ -diffsequence, say of color 0, that is contained in  $[1, a^{k-1} - a + 1]$ . Consider the set  $A = \{x_{k-1} + (a-1)a^i : 0 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ . Note that  $A \subseteq [1, a^k - a + 1]$ . If there exists  $y \in A$  of color 0, then  $X \cup \{y\}$  is a monochromatic  $k$ -term  $S$ -diffsequence. If, on the other hand, no such  $y$  exists, then  $A$  is a monochromatic  $k$ -term  $S$ -diffsequence.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *If  $S = \{2^i : i \geq 0\}$ , then  $DA(S) = 2$  and  $8(k-3) + 1 \leq f(S, k; 2) \leq 2^k - 1$  for all  $k \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\text{DA}(S) = 2$  and the upper bound follow from Theorem 2.3. For the lower bound, it is straightforward to show (by induction on  $k$ ) that, for  $k \geq 4$ , the 2-coloring  $\chi_k = (10010110)^{k-3}$  avoids monochromatic  $k$ -term  $S$ -diffsequences.  $\square$

The details of the proof of Corollary 2.4 are given in [9].

*Remark.* Note that  $a = 2$  is the only value of  $a$  for which  $\{a^i : i \geq 0\} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . This follows immediately from the observation that if  $\gcd(i, m) = 1$  and  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : x \equiv i \pmod{m}\}$ , then there exists a (fairly obvious) 2-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  that avoids monochromatic  $m$ -term  $S$ -diffsequences.

In [4] it was shown that if  $A \notin \mathcal{L}_r$  and  $B \notin \mathcal{L}_s$ , then  $A \cup B \notin \mathcal{L}_{rs}$  by using the canonical product coloring. The same simple argument can be used to prove the following lemma. We omit the details.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *If  $S \notin \mathcal{A}_r$  and  $T \notin \mathcal{A}_s$  and either  $S + T = \{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\} \subseteq S$  or  $S + T \subseteq T$ , then  $S \cup T \notin \mathcal{A}_{rs}$ .*

It is easy to see, using Lemma 2.1, that the set  $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1)$  is 2-accessible, since the set of odd numbers itself is an  $S$ -diffsequence. The next theorem tells us more about  $S$ . We omit the proof, which is given in [9].

**THEOREM 2.6.** *If  $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1)$ , then  $\text{DA}(S) = 3$ . Furthermore,  $f(S, k; 3) \leq 6k^2 - 13k + 6$ ,  $f(S, k; 2) = 3k - 3$  for  $k$  even, and  $f(S, k; 2) = 3k - 4$  for  $k$  odd.*

The proof of the following example is an easy exercise and is left to the reader.

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *Let  $F = \{F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, \dots\} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, \dots\}$  be the set of Fibonacci numbers. Then  $f(F, k; 2) \leq F_{k+2} - 2$  for  $k \geq 1$ .*

The following simple result provides us with examples of very sparse sets which are nonetheless accessible.

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *For  $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  infinite,  $T - T = \{t - s : s < t \text{ and } s, t \in T\} \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $s$  be the minimum element in  $T$  and consider  $T_s = \{t - s : t \in T\}$ . Some color class must contain an infinite number of elements of  $T_s$ . This gives an infinitely long monochromatic  $(T - T)$ -diffsequence. Since this argument holds for all  $r$ ,  $T - T \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

We now look at the accessibility of certain collections of congruence classes. In [4] it was proved that if  $A \in \mathcal{L}_2$ , then  $A$  must contain a multiple of every positive integer. We have seen that this is not true if we replace  $\mathcal{L}_2$  with  $\mathcal{A}_2$  (see, for example, Corollary 2.4 or Theorem 2.8). By the next proposition, we see that this condition is necessary in order for a set to be accessible. In addition to giving another example for which 2-accessible does not imply 2-large, it also shows that for all positive integers  $m$ , there exists a set having  $m$  as its degree of accessibility.

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *For  $m \geq 2$ , let  $S_m = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : m \nmid x\}$ . Then  $\text{DA}(S_m) = m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\text{DA}(S_m) \leq m - 1$  is easily seen by considering the  $m$ -coloring  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  defined by  $\chi(x) = x \pmod{m}$ . To prove the reverse inequality, let  $\chi$  be any  $(m - 2)$ -coloring of  $S_m$ . Then some color must contain an infinite number of elements from each of at least two of the residue classes  $1 \pmod{m}$ ,  $2 \pmod{m}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(m - 1) \pmod{m}$ . Thus, some color contains an infinite  $S_m$ -diffsequence and the proof is complete.  $\square$

For some results about the values of  $f(S_m, k; 2)$  for specific choices of  $m$ , we refer the reader to [9].

**3. Translations of the Set of Primes.** In [4], the question was raised as to whether there exists a translation of  $P$ , the set of primes, that is large, or for that matter 2-large. Since a 2-large set must contain a multiple of every integer,  $P + e \notin \mathcal{L}_2$  if  $e$  is even. Likewise, by Proposition 2.9, if  $e$  is even, then  $P + e \notin \mathcal{A}$ , and  $P$  itself

is not 4-accessible. In fact,  $P \notin \mathcal{A}_3$ . To see this, color the multiples of 9 green, the remaining even numbers red, and the remaining odd numbers blue. It is easy to see that any sequences of 9 reds, 9 blues, or 2 greens must have numbers that differ by a non-prime. We do not know if  $P$  is 2-accessible or if some even translation of  $P$  is 2-accessible. On the other hand, as we shall see in this section, all odd translations of  $P$  are 2-accessible. In fact, we prove the following stronger result.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be odd. For any  $k \geq 2$ , there exist  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in P$  such that  $p_i - p_{i-1} \in P + t$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ .*

Combining Theorem 3.1 with Lemma 2.1, we immediately get the following.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *If  $t$  is odd, then  $P + t \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .*

We prove Theorem 3.1 as an application of a powerful number theoretic result due to Balog [1]. The application is stated as Theorem 3.3 below. Before stating the theorem, we mention some notation.

Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ ,  $p \in P$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x; \mathbf{b}) &= |\{n : 1 < n + b_i \leq x \text{ is prime for every } 1 \leq i \leq k\}|; \\ \rho(p) &= \rho(p; \mathbf{b}) = |\{b_i \pmod{p} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}|; \\ \sigma(\mathbf{b}) &= \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p}\right); \\ T(x; \mathbf{b}) &= \sum_{R(x)} \frac{1}{\log(n+b_1) \log(n+b_2) \dots \log(n+b_k)}, \text{ where } R(x) = \{n : 1 < n + b_i \leq x \text{ for all } i, \\ & 1 \leq i \leq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we remind the reader of the following standard notation: For functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ , we write  $f(x) \gg g(x)$  if there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \geq c$ . Furthermore, for a parameter  $k$ , we write  $f(x) \gg_k g(x)$  if the constant  $c$  is dependent upon  $k$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** (*Balog*). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . Define*

$$B = \{(0, q_1 + t, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (q_i + t)) : q_i \in P, k < q_i \leq x/2k, 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}.$$

*Then*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{b} \in B} |\pi(x; \mathbf{b}) - \sigma(\mathbf{b})T(x; \mathbf{b})| \ll_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}.$$

*Remark.* This is a special case of Balog's theorem ([1], p.49) (where we use  $A = 2k$ ,  $c = 0$ ,  $D = 1$ , and  $a_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ).

We will need the following technical lemma.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  and let  $t \geq 1$  be odd. For  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in P^{k-1}$ , let*

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \left(0, q_1 + t, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (q_i + t)\right).$$

*Let*

$$M(x) = \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in P^{k-1} : \mathbf{q} \in \left(k, \frac{x}{2k}\right]^{k-1} \text{ and } \rho(p, \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) < p \text{ for all } p \in P \right\}.$$

*Then*  $|M(x)| \gg_k \left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{k-1}$ .

*Proof.* Our goal is to show that “most”  $\mathbf{q} \in P^{k-1}$  fail to define a complete set of residue classes modulo  $p$ , for all  $p \in P$ . First of all, it is clear that  $\rho(p, \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) < p$  for

any prime  $p > k$  since  $\rho(p, \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) \leq k$ . Hence, we need only to consider those primes  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_d \leq k$ ; let  $m = \prod_{i=1}^d r_i$ . We will obtain a lower bound for the number of  $\mathbf{q}$  such that  $\rho(r_i, \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) < r_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

It suffices to show that for some integer  $h$ , all entries of  $(h, h, \dots, h) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})$  are not divisible by  $r_i$ , for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . To this end, choose  $h$  such that  $\gcd(h, m) = 1$  (note that  $h$  is odd). Obviously, this condition holds for the first entry.

In order to have that each  $r_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , does not divide  $h + q_1 + t$  (the second entry in  $(h, h, \dots, h) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})$ ), it is sufficient that  $q_1$  belong to some particular congruence class  $c_1 \pmod{m}$ , where  $\gcd(c_1, m) = 1$ . Since  $t$  and  $h$  are both odd, such a  $c_1$  exists, and by Dirichlet's theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions, there are  $\gg_k \frac{x}{\log x}$  choices for  $q_1$ .

Similarly, once  $h, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1}$  have been chosen, we need only consider those  $q_j$  so that for each  $r_i$ ,  $q_j$  does not belong to any of the residue classes  $-(h + q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_{j-1} + jt) \pmod{r_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . So it suffices to have  $q_j$  belong to one specific congruence class  $c_j \pmod{m}$ , with  $\gcd(c_j, m) = 1$ .

Using the above criteria, we have at least  $\prod_{i=2}^d (r_i - 2)$  reduced residue classes modulo  $m/2$  in which the entries of  $(h, h, \dots, h) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})$  may reside. To see this, note that for  $2 \leq j \leq d$ , for each prime  $r_j$ , we cannot have  $c_j = 0 \pmod{r_j}$  or  $-(h + q_1 + \dots + q_{j-1} + jt) \pmod{r_j}$ , giving  $r_j - 2$  choices. Now, by Dirichlet's theorem we have  $\gg_k \frac{x}{\log x}$  choices for each  $q_i$ , and thus  $\gg_k \left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{k-1}$  valid choices for the  $(k-1)$ -tuple of primes  $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1})$  that belong to  $M(x)$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have the following result.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $k \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq 1$  and odd, and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . If*

$$W(x) = \left\{ (p, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) : p, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1} \text{ are primes and } k < q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1} \leq \frac{x}{2k} \right\}$$

and

$$S(x) = \left\{ (p, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in W : p + \sum_{j=1}^i (q_j + t) \leq x \text{ is prime for all } i (0 \leq i \leq k) \right\},$$

then  $|S(x)| \gg_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k-1} x}$ .

*Proof.* We use the notation from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. In order to apply Theorem 3.3, we first obtain effective bounds for  $\rho, \sigma$ , and  $T$ .

We first show that  $0 < \sigma(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) < \infty$ . The fact that  $\sigma(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) < \infty$  is shown in [2]. We see that for all  $\mathbf{q} \in M(x)$ , by the definition of  $M(x)$  we have  $\rho(p; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) < p$  for all primes  $p$ . Since it is also true that  $\rho(p; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) \leq k$  for any prime  $p$ , we have

$$\sigma(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) \geq \prod_{p \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\right) \prod_{p > k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{p}\right) = \sigma_k, \quad (3.1)$$

a constant dependent upon only  $k$ . We next show that  $\sigma_k > 0$ .

Clearly, we have the finite product in (3.1) positive, so we must show that the infinite product in (3.1) converges to a positive constant. To this end, let  $1 + a_p = (1 - 1/p)^{-k} (1 - k/p)$ . By the binomial theorem, we have  $a_p = \frac{-\sum_{i=2}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} p^{-i}}{(1-1/p)^k}$ . Since  $|a_p| \leq \frac{\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{-i}}{(1-1/p)^k} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{-2}}{(1-1/p)^k} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{-2}}{1/2^k} = 2^k (2^k - k - 1) p^{-2}$ , we see that

$\sum_{p \in P} a_p$  converges absolutely. It follows that  $\prod_{p \in P} (1 + a_p)$  converges to a positive number. Thus, from (3.1),

$$\text{for all } \mathbf{q} \in M(x), \sigma(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) \geq \sigma_k > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

We next bound  $T(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q}))$  by using

$$\begin{aligned} |\{n : 1 < n + b_i \leq x, 1 \leq i \leq k\}| &= (x - b_k) + O(1) \\ &= x - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (q_i + t) + O(1) \\ &> x - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} q_i - kt \\ &\gg_{k,t} x - k \left( \frac{x}{2k} \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$T(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) \gg_{k,t} \frac{x}{2 \log^k x}. \quad (3.3)$$

We now apply our above bounds to complete the proof. Noting that  $\{\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q}) : \mathbf{q} \in M(x)\} \subseteq B$  (where  $B$  is as defined in Theorem 3.3), Theorem 3.3 implies that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in M(x)} \left| \pi(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) - \sigma(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) T(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) \right| \ll_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}. \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $N(x) = P^{k-1} \cap (k, \frac{x}{2k}]^{k-1}$ . Since we can write

$$W(x) = \bigcup_{p \in P} \bigcup_{\mathbf{q} \in N(x)} \{(p, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1})\},$$

we have

$$|S(x)| \geq \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in M(x)} \pi(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})).$$

Using (3.2) and (3.3) along with Lemma 3.4, inequality (3.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in M(x)} \pi(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) &\gg_k \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in M(x)} \sigma(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) T(x; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q})) - O\left(\frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}\right) \\ &\gg_{k,t} \sigma_k |M(x)| \left(\frac{x}{2} + O(1)\right) \left(\frac{1}{\log^k x}\right) - O\left(\frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}\right) \\ &\gg_{k,t} \sigma_k \left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{\log^k x}\right) - O\left(\frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}\right) \\ &\gg_{k,t} \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k-1} x}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Having Lemma 3.5, we are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1: We choose primes  $p_1, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}$  so that  $p_i = p_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (q_j + t) \in P$ , for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $p_i - p_{i-1} = q_{i-1} + t$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , we are done.

**4. Open Questions And Some Exact Values.** There are many interesting questions left unanswered about accessibility. Here is a list of some.

1. Let  $T = \{2^i : i \geq 0\}$ . What is the exact value of  $f(T, k; 2)$ ? In Table 1 (below) we give the first few values of this function.
2. What is the exact value of  $f(S, k; 3)$ , where  $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1)$ ?
3. What is a formula for  $f(S_m, k; 2)$ ? Calculations for the case  $m = 6$  support our conjecture that for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$f(S_6, k; 2) = \begin{cases} (5k - 4)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (5k - 5)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ (5k - 6)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (5k - 7)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

Also note that (see [9]) if we let  $am \leq k < (a+1)m$ ,  $a$  a nonnegative integer, we have  $2k + 2a - 1 \leq f(S_m, k; 2)$ , with equality when  $a = 0$ . We believe that this inequality is an equality for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

4. If  $t$  is an odd positive integer, what is  $\text{DA}(P+t)$ ? Moreover, is it true that for every 2-coloring of  $P$ , there exist arbitrarily long monochromatic  $(P+t)$ -diffsequences? If the answer to the latter question is true, then by Lemma 2.1,  $P+t \in \mathcal{A}_3$ .
5. What is the order of magnitude of  $f(P+t, k; 2)$  for a fixed odd positive integer  $t$ ? Table 1 below includes some specific values of this function.
6. As stated earlier,  $P \notin \mathcal{A}_3$ . Is  $P \in \mathcal{A}_2$ ? If so, what is the magnitude of  $f(P, k; 2)$ ? We have calculated the first several values of  $f(P, k; 2)$  (see Table 1).
7. Let  $F$  be the set of Fibonacci numbers. What is  $\text{DA}(F)$ ? What is the order of magnitude of  $f(F, k; 2)$ ?
8. For  $k, m \geq 2$ , what can we say about  $\text{DA}(S)$  and  $f(S, k; 2)$  where  $S$  is the union of more than one congruence class modulo  $m$ ?

The following table gives the exact value of  $f(S, k; 2)$  for various  $S$  and  $k$ . The symbols  $T$ ,  $F$ , and  $P$  denote  $\{2^i : i \geq 0\}$ , the set of Fibonacci numbers, and the set of primes, respectively.

$S \setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$T$	3	7	11	17	25	35	51
$F$	3	5	9	11	15	19	21
$P$	5	9	13	21	25	33	?
$P+1$	7	13	21	27	35	?	?
$P+2$	9	17	25	33	?	?	?
$P+3$	11	21	31	42	?	?	?
$P+4$	13	25	37	?	?	?	?
$P+5$	15	29	?	?	?	?	?
$P+6$	17	33	?	?	?	?	?
$P+7$	19	37	?	?	?	?	?
$S_5$	3	5	7	11	13	15	19
$S_6$	3	5	7	9	13	15	17

**Table 1**

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Andrew Granville for guiding us to Balog's theorem and for his invaluable assistance with the proofs of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5. We also thank Scott Ahlgren for helping with some details of the proof of Lemma 3.5.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Balog, The prime  $k$ -tuplets conjecture on average, in *Analytic Number Theory: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of Paul T. Bateman* (B.C. Berndt et. al., Editors, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990), 47-75.
- [2] P.T. Bateman and R.A. Horn, A heuristic asymptotic formula concerning the distribution of prime numbers, *Math. Comp.* **16** (1962), 363-367.
- [3] T.C. Brown, P. Erdős, and A.R. Freedman, Quasi-progressions and descending waves, *J. Comb. Theory (A)* **53** (1990), 81-95.
- [4] T.C. Brown, R.L. Graham, and B. Landman, On the set of common differences in van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions, *Canadian Math. Bull.* **42**(1) (1999), 25-36.
- [5] V. Jungić, On Brown's conjecture on Accessible Sets, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **110** (2005), 175-178.
- [6] B. Landman, Ramsey functions related to the van der Waerden numbers, *Discrete Math.* **102** (1992), 265-278.
- [7] B. Landman, On some generalizations of the van der Waerden number  $w(3)$ , *Discrete Math.* **207** (1-3) (1999), 137-147.
- [8] B. Landman, Avoiding arithmetic progressions (mod  $m$ ) and arithmetic progressions, *Utilitas Math.* **52** (1997), 173-182.
- [9] B. Landman and A. Robertson, Ramsey Theory on the Integers, *Am. Math. Soc.*, STML **24**, 2004, 317pp.
- [10] B.L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* **15** (1927), 212-216.