

ZERO-SUM GENERALIZED SCHUR NUMBERS

Aaron Robertson*

*Department of Mathematics, Colgate University
Hamilton, New York, U.S.A*

Abstract

Let r and k be positive integers with $r \mid k$. Denote by $S_3(k; r)$ the minimum integer n such that every coloring $\chi : [1, n] \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ admits a solution to $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = x_k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \chi(x_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$. We give some formulas and lower bounds for various instances.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05D10.

1. Introduction

We start with the definition of the standard generalized Schur numbers. For any positive integers k and r , there exists a minimal integer $S(k; r)$ such that any r -coloring χ of $[1, S(k; r)]$ admits a monochromatic solution to $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = x_k$. This follows directly from Ramsey's theorem by defining the coloring of each edge ij of the complete graph K_n to be $\chi(|j - i|)$. A monochromatic K_k under this coloring with vertices $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ means that $i_{j+1} - i_j$ and $i_k - i_1$ are all the same color under χ . Letting $x_j = i_{j+1} - i_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ and $x_k = i_k - i_1$ finishes the proof. This is a generalization of the Schur numbers, which are the special case $k = 3$. (Note that these definitions do not agree with those found in [4].) An alternative method of showing that $S(k; r)$ exists would be to provide an upper bound for it. Beutelspacher and Brestovansky [4] showed that $S(k; 2) = k^2 - k - 1$ thereby providing the independent existence of $S(k; r)$ for $r = 2$.

In this article we change the monochromatic property to a zero-sum property.

Definition 1.1. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be a sequence of non-negative integers and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We say that the sequence is *m-zero-sum* if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

The foundational zero-sum result is the Erdős-Ginzberg-Ziv theorem [12], which states that any sequence of $2n - 1$ integers contains an n -zero-sum subsequence of n integers. Since around 1990, research activity concerning zero-sum results has flourished, through both the lens of additive number theory and Ramsey theory. An important extension of the Erdős-Ginzberg-Ziv theorem is the weighted Erdős-Ginzberg-Ziv theorem

*E-mail address: arobertson@colgate.edu; Website: <http://www.aaronrobertson.org>

due to Grynkiewicz [14]. It allows us to multiply the integers in the Erdős-Ginzberg-Ziv theorem by weights; in particular, if w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n is an n -zero-sum sequence and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-1}$ is a sequence of $2n - 1$ integers, then there exists an n -term subsequence $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}$ and a permutation π of $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j a_{\pi(i_j)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Further recent results can be found in [1], [3], and [13] among many others.

Most investigations of zero-sum sequences do not have a structure imposed on them. This is in contrast to zero-sum results on edgewise colored graphs, which have been around for many years (see, e.g., [2], [5], [8], and [11]). Some notable exceptions are found in works of Bialostocki, such as [7] and [9] where the zero-sum sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i < x_n$ and in [6] where $x_{i+1} - x_i \leq x_i - x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. These exceptions, however, do not have a rigid structure imposed on them due to the use of inequality. Very recently, a rigid structure similar to what we are investigating in this article was investigated in [10]. This article continues investigation of zero-sum sequences with an imposed rigid structure.

Throughout the paper we let \mathcal{E} represent the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = x_k$.

Definition 1.2. Let $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $r \mid k$. We denote by $S_3(k; r)$ the minimum integer such that every coloring of $[1, S_3(k; r)]$ with the colors $0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ admits an r -zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} . We denote by $S_{3,2}(k; r)$ the minimum integer such that every coloring of $[1, S_{3,2}(k; r)]$ with the colors 0 and 1 admits an r -zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} .

The above definition assumes the existence of the respective minimum numbers. Existence follows directly from the existence of the generalized Schur numbers $S(k; r)$. Note that we need only prove the existence of $S_3(k; r)$ since we easily have $S_{3,2}(k; r) \leq S_3(k; r)$ as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_r$. The existence of $S_3(k; r)$ comes from $S_3(k; r) \leq S(k; r)$ as any monochromatic solution to \mathcal{E} is r -zero-sum when $r \mid k$. When $r \nmid k$, coloring every integer of \mathbb{Z}^+ with the color 1 does not admit a k -term r -zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} and so we write $S_3(k; r) = S_{3,2}(k; r) = \infty$ in this situation.

2. Some Calculations

The author wrote the fortran programs `ZSGS.f` and `ZSGS2.f`, available at www.aaronrobertson.org, to determine the numbers $S_3(k; r)$ and $S_{3,2}(k; r)$, respectively, for small values of k and r . In addition to a standard backtrack algorithm for traversing colorings, we must have a quick subroutine to determine solutions to $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = x_k$ since checking $\approx n^k$ possible arrays (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) on $[1, n]$ will quickly become problematic. To this end, in Algorithm 1, below, we give the pseudocode for our recursive subroutine. In the code, we assume $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{k-1}$.

```

inputs:  $t, k$ ;
output: Set  $S$  of solutions to  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = t$ ;
1 Let  $n = k - 1$ ;
2 Let  $R$  be a partial solution, initialized to the array  $(-, -, \dots, -, t)$  of length  $k$ ;
3 Let  $S$  be the set of solutions, initialized empty;
4 call solutions( $t, n, S, R$ );
5 return;

6 Subroutine solutions( $t, n, S, R$ )
7   if  $t \leq 0$  then
8     | return;
9   end
10  if  $n = 1$  then
11    | We have found a solution ( $R$  is complete) so we add  $R$  to  $S$  and return;
12  else
13    | for  $i$  from  $\lceil \frac{t}{n} \rceil$  to  $R_{n+1}$  do
14      |    $R_n = i$ ;
15      |   call solutions( $t - i, n - 1, S, R$ );
16    | end
17  end
18 return  $S$ ;

```

Algorithm 1: Solutions to $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i = t$

Using this algorithm along with standard backtracking, we calculated the following values.

$k \setminus r$	2	3	4	5
2	1	∞	∞	∞
3	∞	10	∞	∞
4	5	∞	13	∞
5	∞	∞	∞	38
6	9	15	∞	∞
7	∞	∞	∞	∞
8	13	∞	27	∞
9	∞	24	∞	∞
10	17	∞	∞	≥ 45
11	∞	∞	∞	∞
12	21	33	43	∞

Table 1: Values and a lower bound for $S_3(k; r)$ for small k and r . The lower bound was the best one achieved after 28 days of computing time.

$k \setminus r$	2	3	4	5
2	1	∞	∞	∞
3	∞	5	∞	∞
4	5	∞	11	∞
5	∞	∞	∞	19
6	9	13	∞	∞
7	∞	∞	∞	∞
8	13	∞	25	∞
9	∞	22	∞	∞
10	17	∞	∞	41
11	∞	∞	∞	∞
12	21	31	41	∞

Table 2: Values for $S_{3,2}(k; r)$ for small k and r

3. Some Formulas and Bounds

Proposition 3.1. *Let k be an even positive integer. Then $S_3(k; 2) = S_{3,2}(k; 2) = 2k - 3$.*

Proof. The fact that $S_3(k; 2) = S_{3,2}(k; 2)$ is by definition so we need only show that $S_3(k; 2) = 2k - 3$. The formula obviously holds for $k = 2$ so we may assume that $k \geq 4$. To see that $S_3(k; 2) \geq 2k - 3$ consider the 2-coloring of $[1, 2k - 4]$ defined by coloring every integer in $[1, k - 2]$ with color 0 and every integer in $[k - 1, 2k - 4]$ with color 1. (In the sequel, we will describe this coloring by $0^{k-2}1^{k-2}$.) If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} are all of color 0, then x_k must be of color 1 since $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \geq k - 1$. Assuming that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{k-1}$, we can assume that x_{k-1} has color 1. But then $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \geq 2k - 3$, a contradiction.

Moving on to the upper bound, consider an arbitrary coloring $\chi : [1, 2k - 3] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Assume for a contradiction that χ does not admit a 2-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} . We may assume $\chi(1) = 0$ since χ admits a 2-zero-sum solution if and only if $\widehat{\chi}$ defined by $\widehat{\chi}(i) = 1 - \chi(i)$ does. Using $\chi(1) = 0$ we deduce that $\chi(k - 1) = 1$. Considering the solution $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (1, 1, \dots, 1, k - 1, 2k - 3)$ we conclude that $\chi(2k - 3) = 0$.

We now find that the solution $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (1, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2k - 3)$ has $\sum_{i=1}^k \chi(x_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ regardless of the color of 2 (since k is even so that we have an even number of occurrences of 2), a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $3 \mid k$. Then $S_3(k; 3) \geq 3k - 3$.*

Proof. We prove this by giving a coloring $\chi : [1, 3k - 4] \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$ that avoids 3-zero-sum solutions to \mathcal{E} . To this end, define χ by

$$(012)^{\frac{k}{3}-1}(011)^{\frac{k}{3}}(021)^{\frac{k}{3}-1}02.$$

We will show that no solution to \mathcal{E} is 3-zero-sum under χ . We assume that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{k-1}$ and will use the notation

$$T(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \quad \text{and} \quad C(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(x_i).$$

For an arbitrary solution to \mathcal{E} given by (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) , we let A_j be the set of x_i of color j , for $j = 0, 1, 2$ restricted to $i \leq k - 1$.

Case I. $x_{k-1} \leq k - 1$. Since $x_{k-1} \leq k - 1$, we see that, for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, in this case we have:

- (1) $\chi(x_i) = 0$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$;
- (2) $\chi(x_i) = 1$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$; and
- (3) $\chi(x_i) = 2$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Subcase i. $T(k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) = 1$ since $x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $x_k \geq k - 1$. We will show that $C(k - 1) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ thereby showing that there is no 3-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} in this subcase. Assume, for a contradiction, that there exists a solution with $C(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

We know that $T(k - 1) \equiv |A_0| + 2|A_1| \equiv (k - 1 - |A_1| - |A_2|) + 2|A_1| \equiv |A_1| - |A_2| + k - 1 \equiv |A_1| - |A_2| + 2 \pmod{3}$. Hence, $|A_2| \equiv |A_1| + 2 \pmod{3}$. Using this, we have $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| \equiv 3|A_1| + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, contradicting our assumption that $C(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. †

Subcase ii. $T(k - 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) = 0$ since $x_k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We will show that we do not have $C(k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $T(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| - |A_2| + 2 \pmod{3}$ so that $|A_2| \equiv |A_1| + 1 \pmod{3}$. Then we have $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| \equiv 3|A_1| + 2$ and we conclude that $C(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ so that our solution is not 3-zero-sum. †

Subcase iii. $T(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) \neq 0$ since $x_k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $T(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| - |A_2| + 2 \pmod{3}$ so that $|A_2| \equiv |A_1| \pmod{3}$. Then we have $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| \equiv 3|A_1|$ and we conclude that $C(k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Since $\chi(x_k) \neq 0 \pmod{3}$, our solution is not 3-zero-sum. †

This completes Case I. ◇

Case II. $x_{k-1} \geq k$. In order to have $x_k \leq 3k - 4$ we must have $x_{k-2} \leq k - 1$. Since $x_{k-2} \leq k - 1$, we can use the arguments in Case I by considering A_j restricted to $i \leq k - 2$. To this end, let $B_j = A_j \setminus \{x_{k-1}\}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Subcase i. $T(k - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We must have $x_{k-1} \equiv x_k \pmod{3}$ in this subcase. Hence, we know that $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (we cannot have $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$ since this gives $x_k > 3k - 4$, which is out of bounds). We will show that we must have $C(k - 2) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ so that we cannot have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using the arguments in Case I we can conclude that $|B_2| \equiv |B_1| + 1 \pmod{3}$. From here we deduce that $C(k - 2) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, so that $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and we are done with this subcase. †

Subcase ii. $T(k - 2) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. In this situation we must have $1 + x_{k-1} \equiv x_k \pmod{3}$. Looking at χ we see that $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We will show that $C(k - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ so that χ does not contain a 3-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} in this subcase. Using the arguments in Case I we conclude that $T(k - 2) \equiv |B_1| - |B_2| + 1 \pmod{3}$ so that $|B_1| \equiv |B_2| \pmod{3}$. This gives us $C(k - 2) \equiv 3|B_1| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, finishing this subcase. †

Subcase iii. $T(k-2) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. In this situation we must have $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We will show that $C(k-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ so that χ does not contain a 3-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} in this subcase. Using the arguments in Case I we conclude that $T(k-2) \equiv |B_1| - |B_2| + 1 \pmod{3}$ so that $|B_2| \equiv |B_1| + 2 \pmod{3}$. This gives us $C(k-2) \equiv 3|B_1| + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, finishing this subcase. †

This concludes the proof of Case II. ◊

Having exhausted all possibilities, the proof is complete. □

When we restrict the number of colors to just two, we can provide a formula for the associated number.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $3 \mid k$. Then $S_{3,2}(k; 3) = 3k - 5$.*

Proof. To see that $S_{3,2}(k; 3) > 3k - 6$ consider the coloring $0^{k-2}1^{2k-4}$. In any solution to \mathcal{E} we must have at least one integer of color 1. In order to be 3-zero-sum we must then have at least 3 integers of color 1. But then $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \geq 1(k-3) + 2(k-1) = 3k - 5 > 3k - 6$ so we cannot have a solution with more than 2 integers of color 1.

To show that $S_{3,2}(k; 3) \leq 3k - 5$, assume, for a contradiction, that $\chi : [1, 3k - 5] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ does not admit a 3-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} . We may assume that $\chi(1) = 0$ by considering $\widehat{\chi}(i) = 1 - \chi(i)$ and noticing that a solution is 3-zero-sum under χ if and only if the solution is 3-zero-sum under $\widehat{\chi}$ (by the divisibility property of k). Considering the solution $(1, 1, \dots, 1, k-1)$ we must have $\chi(k-1) = 1$.

Case I. $\chi(2) = 0$. Since $\chi(k-1) = 1$, from $(1, 1, \dots, 1, k-1, k-1, 3k-5)$ we see that $\chi(3k-5) = 0$. In turn, since $1+3+3+\dots+3 = 3k-5$ we see that $\chi(3) = 1$. Finally, consider $(2, 2, 3, 3, \dots, 3, 3k-5)$. The sum of the colors for this solution is $(k-3)$, which is congruent to 0 modulo 3 since $3 \mid k$, a contradiction. †

Case II. $\chi(2) = 1$. Since $2+2+\dots+2 = 2k-2$, we have $\chi(2k-2) = 0$. We also have $\chi(3k-5) = 0$ by considering the solution $(2, 2, \dots, 2, k-1, 3k-5)$. In turn, since $1+3+3+\dots+3 = 3k-5$ we have $\chi(3) = 1$. Now, for $0 \leq i \leq k-3$, by considering the solution $(2, 2, \dots, 2, 3, 3, 2k)$ we have $\chi(2k) = 0$. Next, consider $(1, 1, \dots, 1, k, 2k-2)$ to see that $\chi(k) = 1$. But now $(1, 1, \dots, 1, 2, 2, k, 2k)$ is a 3-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} , a contradiction. †

As the two cases cover all situations, the proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $4 \mid k$. Then $S_3(k; 4) \geq 4k - 5$.*

Proof. We prove this by giving a coloring $\chi : [1, 4k - 6] \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ that avoids 4-zero-sum solutions to \mathcal{E} . To this end, define χ by

$$(0123)^{\frac{k}{4}-1}(0120)(0220)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(3210)^{\frac{k}{4}-1}32.$$

We will show that no solution to \mathcal{E} is 4-zero-sum under χ . We assume that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{k-1}$ and will again use the notation

$$T(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \quad \text{and} \quad C(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(x_i).$$

For an arbitrary solution to \mathcal{E} given by (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) , we let A_j be the set of x_i of color j , for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ restricted to $i \leq k - 1$.

Case I. $x_{k-1} \leq k - 1$. Since $x_{k-1} \leq k - 1$, we see that, for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, in this case we have:

- (1) $\chi(x_i) = 0$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- (2) $\chi(x_i) = 1$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$;
- (3) $\chi(x_i) = 2$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; and
- (4) $\chi(x_i) = 3$ if and only if $x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Subcase i. $T(k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) = 0$ since $x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We will show that $C(k - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ thereby showing that there is no 4-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} in this subcase.

We have $T(k - 1) \equiv |A_0| + 2|A_1| + 3|A_2| \equiv (k - 1 - |A_1| - |A_2| - |A_3|) + 2|A_1| + 3|A_2| \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| - |A_3| + 3 \pmod{4}$. Since we have $T(k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ in this subcase, we conclude that $|A_3| \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 3 \pmod{4}$. Using this, we have $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 3|A_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| + 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ so that there is no 4-zero-sum solution in this subcase. †

Subcase ii. $T(k - 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) = 0$ or 3 since $x_k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We will show that $C(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ so that we know $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and hence we do not have a 4-zero-sum solution. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $|A_3| \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 2 \pmod{4}$. Then we have $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 3|A_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| + 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and we conclude that $C(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ so that $C(k) \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ and our solution is not 4-zero-sum. †

Subcase iii. $T(k - 1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) = 2$ since $x_k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and we cannot have $\chi(x_k) = 1$ since this means $x_k = k - 2$, which is not possible. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $|A_3| \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 3|A_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| + 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so that $C(k) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and our solution is not 4-zero-sum. †

Subcase iv. $T(k - 1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We must have $\chi(x_k) = 1$ or 2 since $x_k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $|A_3| \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k - 1) \equiv |A_1| + 2|A_2| + 3|A_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. This gives us that $C(k) \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ so that our solution is not 4-zero-sum. †

This completes Case I. ◇

Case II. $x_{k-2} \leq k - 1$ and $x_{k-1} \geq k$. Since $x_{k-2} \leq k - 1$, we can use the arguments in Case I by considering A_j restricted to $i \leq k - 2$. Thus, we let $B_j = A_j \setminus \{x_{k-1}\}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Subcase i. $T(k - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We must have $x_{k-1} \equiv x_k \pmod{4}$. Looking at χ , we see that $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \in \{0, 3\}$. We will show that $C(k - 2) \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ thereby showing that there is no 4-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} in this subcase.

We have $T(k - 2) \equiv |B_0| + 2|B_1| + 3|B_2| \equiv (k - 2 - |B_1| - |B_2| - |B_3|) + 2|B_1| + 3|B_2| \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| - |B_3| + 2 \pmod{4}$. Since we have $T(k - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ in this subcase,

we conclude that $|B_3| \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 2 \pmod{4}$. Using this, we have $C(k-2) \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 3|B_3| \equiv 4|B_1| + 8|B_2| + 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, hence $C(k-2) \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ and this subcase is complete. \dagger

Subcase ii. $T(k-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We must have $x_k \equiv x_{k-1} + 1 \pmod{4}$. From this we conclude that $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \in \{0, 2, 3\}$. We will show that $C(k-2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ so that we know $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and hence we do not have a 4-zero-sum solution. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $|B_3| \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 1 \pmod{4}$. Then we have $C(k-2) \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 3|B_3| \equiv 4|B_1| + 8|B_2| + 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and we conclude that $C(k-2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ so that $C(k) \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ and our solution is not 4-zero-sum. \dagger

Subcase iii. $T(k-2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Looking at our coloring, we see that we can only have $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) = 0$ if $x_{k-1} \geq 3k - 3$. But then $T(k-1) > 4k - 6$, which is out of bound. Thus, we must have $x_k \equiv x_{k-1} + 2 \pmod{4}$. From this we conclude that $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \in \{1, 2\}$. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $|B_3| \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| \pmod{4}$. Then we have $C(k-2) \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 3|B_3| \equiv 4|B_1| + 8|B_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and we conclude that $C(k) \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ so that our solution is not 4-zero-sum. \dagger

Subcase iv. $T(k-1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We must have $x_k \equiv x_{k-1} + 3 \pmod{4}$. As in Subcase iii directly above, we cannot have $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) = 3$ since that would imply that $T(k-1) > 4k - 6$. Hence, we see that $\chi(x_{k-1}) + \chi(x_k) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Following the argument in Subcase i, we have $|B_3| \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 3 \pmod{4}$. Then we have $C(k-2) \equiv |B_1| + 2|B_2| + 3|B_3| \equiv 4|B_1| + 8|B_2| + 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. But then $C(k) \equiv 1, 2$, or $3 \pmod{4}$ so that $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ is not possible.

This completes Case II. \diamond

Case III. $x_{k-2} \geq k$. We must have $x_{k-3} \leq k - 1$ for otherwise $T(k-1) \geq 4k - 4$. Also, we have $x_k \geq 3k - 3$ so there is a one-to-one correspondence between x_k and $\chi(x_k)$. Since $x_{k-3} \leq k - 1$, we can use the arguments in Case I by considering A_j restricted to $i \leq k - 3$. To this end, let $D_j = A_j \setminus \{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Note that, under χ , the only possible colors of x_{k-2} and x_{k-1} are 0 and 2.

Subcase i. $T(k-3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We have $T(k-3) \equiv |D_0| + 2|D_1| + 3|D_2| \equiv (k - 3 - |D_1| - |D_2| - |D_3|) + 2|D_1| + 3|D_2| \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| - |D_3| + 1 \pmod{4}$. Since we have $T(k-3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ in this subcase, we conclude that $|D_3| \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 1 \pmod{4}$. Using this, we have $C(k-3) \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 3|D_3| \equiv 4|D_1| + 8|D_2| + 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase a. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. We have $x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ so that $T(k-1) \equiv 0, 1$, or $2 \pmod{4}$. We also know that $C(k-1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ so we need $\chi(x_k) = 1$ in order to have a 4-zero-sum solution. But the only possible integers of color 1 are congruent to 3 modulo 4, which is not possible since $T(k-1) \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase b. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 0$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$ or $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 2$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 3$, which yields $x_k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This means we need $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since we have $T(k-3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ in this subcase. But we know that one of x_{k-2} and x_{k-1} has color 0, and so is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4, and the other has color 2, and so is congruent to 2 or 3 modulo 4. Hence,

we cannot have $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and, consequently, no 4-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} exists.

Subsubcase c. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 1$, which yields $x_k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We know that x_{k-2} and x_{k-1} are congruent to either 2 or 3 modulo 4 so that $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}$. But then $T(k-1) \not\equiv 3 \equiv x_k \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

This completes Subcase i. †

Subcase ii. $T(k-3) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Following the argument in Case III.i we conclude that $|D_3| \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| \pmod{4}$ so that $C(k-3) \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 3|D_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase a. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. We have $x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}$ so that $T(k-1) \equiv 1, 2, \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$. We also know that $C(k-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ so we need $\chi(x_k) = 0$ in order to have a 4-zero-sum solution. But the only possible integers of color 0 are congruent to 0 modulo 4, which is not possible since $T(k-1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase b. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 0$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$ or $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 2$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 2$, which yields $x_k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. This means we need $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since we have $T(k-3) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in this subcase. But we know that one of x_{k-2} and x_{k-1} has color 0, and so is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4, and the other has color 2, and so is congruent to 2 or 3 modulo 4. Hence, we cannot have $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and, consequently, no 4-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} exists.

Subsubcase c. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 0$, which yields $x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We know that x_{k-2} and x_{k-1} are congruent to either 2 or 3 modulo 4 so that $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}$. But then $T(k-1) \equiv 1, 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$ so that $T(k-1) \not\equiv x_k \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

This completes Subcase ii. †

Subcase iii. $T(k-3) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Following the argument in Case III.i we conclude that $|D_3| \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 3 \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k-3) \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 3|D_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| + 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase a. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. We have $x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}$ so that $T(k-1) \equiv 0, 2, \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$. We also know that $C(k-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ so we need $\chi(x_k) = 3$ in order to be 4-zero-sum. But the only possible integers of color 3 are congruent to 1 modulo 4, which is not possible since $T(k-1) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase b. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 0$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$ or $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 2$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 1$, so that $x_k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. This means we need $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since we have $T(k-3) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ in this subcase. As in Case III.ii.b, we cannot have $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and, consequently, no 4-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} exists.

Subsubcase c. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 3$, so that $x_k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We know that x_{k-2} and x_{k-1} are congruent to either 2 or 3 modulo 4 so that $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}$. But then $T(k-1) \equiv 0, 2$

or 3 (mod 4) so that $T(k-1) \not\equiv x_k \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

This completes Subcase iii. †

Subcase iv. $T(k-3) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Following the argument in Case III.i we conclude that $|D_3| \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 2 \pmod{4}$ so that $C(k-3) \equiv |D_1| + 2|D_2| + 3|D_3| \equiv 4|A_1| + 8|A_2| + 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase a. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. We have $x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ so that $T(k-1) \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$. We also know that $C(k-1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ so we need $\chi(x_k) = 2$ in order to be 4-zero-sum. But the only possible integers of color 2 are congruent to 2 modulo 4, which is not possible since $T(k-1) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Subsubcase b. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 0$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$, or $\chi(x_{k-2}) = 2$ and $\chi(x_{k-1}) = 0$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 0$, which yields $x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. This means we need $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since we have $T(k-3) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ in this case. As in Case III.ii.b, we cannot have $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and, consequently, no 4-zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} exists.

Subsubcase c. $\chi(x_{k-2}) = \chi(x_{k-1}) = 2$. In order to have $C(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we require $\chi(x_k) = 2$, which yields $x_k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. As in Case III.ii.c, we have $x_{k-2} + x_{k-1} \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}$. But then $T(k-1) \equiv 0, 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$ so that $T(k-1) \not\equiv x_k \pmod{4}$. Hence, $C(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

This is the end of the proof of Subcase iv. †

This completes Case III. ◇

Having exhausted all cases, the theorem's proof is complete. □

The last instance we investigate are those numbers along the diagonal.

Proposition 3.2. *Let k be an odd positive integer. Then $S_3(k; k) \geq 2(k^2 - k - 1)$.*

Proof. We will show that the k -coloring $(01)^{k-2}(0(k-1))^{(k-1)(k-2)}(01)^{k-2}0$ avoids k -zero-sum solutions to \mathcal{E} . It is easy to check that we cannot have a solution to \mathcal{E} with all integers of color 1 or all integers of color $k-1$. Hence, in order to have a k -zero-sum solution, the number of integers colored 1 must equal the number of integers colored $k-1$. Now, k being odd implies that we have an odd number of integers of color 0. Next, we note that the only integers of color 0 are odd, while the only integers of color 1 or $k-1$ are even. By comparing the parities of $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i$ and x_k , this cannot occur. Hence, we cannot have a k -zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} under this coloring. □

If we restrict to just two colors, then the associated number is the same as $S(k; 2)$ since any k -zero-sum solution to \mathcal{E} must necessarily be monochromatic. In other word, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have $S_{3,2}(k; k) = k^2 - k - 1$.

4. Conclusion and Open Questions

The area of inquiry of zero-sum sequences with rigid structure is ripe for future research. What can be said about zero-sum Rado numbers in addition to what is found in [10]? What

can we say about zero-sum sequences $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_k$ with $x_{i+1} - x_i$ from a prescribed set (say the (shifted) primes, powers of 2, etc)?

For specific questions related to this article, we ask the following.

- Q1. Is it true that $S_3(k; 3) = 3k - 3$ for $k \geq 6$?
- Q2. Prove or disprove: $S_3(k; 4) = 4k - 5$ for $k \geq 8$.
- Q3. What is the exact value of $S_{3,2}(k; 4)$?
- Q4. Is it true that $S_3(k; k)$ is of order k^2 ?

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for a very careful reading and corrections.

References

- [1] S. D. Adhikari and E. Mazumdar, The polynomial method in the study of zero-sum theorems, *Int. J. Number Theory* **11** (2015), 1451-1461.
- [2] N. Alon and Y. Caro, On three zero-sum Ramsey-type problems, *J. Graph Theory* **17** (1993), 177-192.
- [3] P. Balister, Y. Caro, C. Rousseau, and R. Yuster, Zero-sum square matrices, *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 489-497.
- [4] A. Beutelspacher and W. Brestovansky, Generalized Schur Numbers, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **969** (1982), 30-38.
- [5] A. Bialostocki, Zero sum trees: a survey of results and open problems, in *Finite and Infinite Combinatorics in Sets and Logic*, NATO ASI Series **411** (Series C: Math. and Physical Sci.), Springer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [6] A. Bialostocki, G. Bialostocki, Y. Caro, and R. Yuster, Zero-sum ascending waves, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **32** (2000), 103-114.
- [7] A. Bialostocki, G. Bialostocki, and D. Schaal, A zero-sum theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **101** (2003), 147-152.
- [8] A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker, On the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem and the Ramsey numbers for stars and matchings, *Discrete Math.* **110** (1992), 1-8.
- [9] A. Bialostocki, R. Sabar, and D. Schaal, On a zero-sum generalization of a variation of Schur's equation, *Graphs Combin.* **24** (2008), 511-518.
- [10] N. Brown, On Zero-Sum Rado Numbers for the Equation $ax_1 + x_2 = x_3$, Masters thesis, South Dakota State University, 2017.
- [11] Y. Caro, Zero-sum problems – a survey, *Discrete Math.* **152** (1996), 93-113.
- [12] P. Erdős, A. Ginzberg, and A. Ziv, Theorem in additive number theory, *Bulletin Research Council Israel* **10F** (1961-2), 41-43.
- [13] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey, *Expo. Math.* **24** (2006), 337-369.
- [14] D. Gryniewicz, A weighted Erdős-Ginzberg-Ziv theorem, *Combinatorica* **26** (2006), 445-453.
- [15] B. Landman and A. Robertson, *Ramsey Theory on the Integers*, second edition, American Math. Society, 2014.
- [16] Y. Li and J. Shu, A lower bound for off-diagonal van der Waerden numbers, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **44** (2010), 243-247.

- [17] B. L. Van der Waerden, Beweis einer baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* **15** (1927), 212-216.