AN OBSERVATION ON THE EXTENSION OF ABEL'S LEMMA

Alexander E. Patkowski

Department of Mathematics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4S 0A2 alexpatk@hotmail.com

Received: 2/27/10, Revised: 7/26/10, Accepted: 8/25/10, Published: 12/1/10

Abstract

We prove a general formula for a certain class of sums of tails. A new proof of some known identities is given, and a general identity that appears to be the first of its kind is established.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In the work of Andrews, Jiménez-Urroz and Ono [2], it was shown that sums over the differences of q-products and truncated q-products have very interesting applications to both partitions and generating functions for values of L-functions (see [5, 8] as well). Series of this type are more casually termed sums of tails [5]. One elegant example, given in Ramanujan's "lost" notebook [4], is:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left((-q)_{\infty} - (-q)_n \right) = (-q)_{\infty} D(q) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(-q)_n},\tag{1}$$

where

$$D(q) = -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{1-q^n}$$

and where the q-Pochhammer symbol [7] $(\xi)_n = (\xi; q)_n := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - \xi q^j)$ has been used. In [1], Andrews gave the first proof of (1) and a related identity found in Ramanujan's "lost" notebook [4]. Zagier [9] also studied series of this type after Ramanujan, and his work gave some of the motivation for the results contained in [2].

Proposition 2.1 of [1] is the key formula to obtaining these special sums of tails identities, and its proof requires use of Abel's lemma, which states that if $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = L$, then $\lim_{t\to 1^-} (1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n = L$. For a proof of Proposition 2.1, which we now state, see [4], Chapter 7, "Special Identities."

(Proposition 2.1. [2]) Suppose that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha(n) z^n$ is analytic for |z| < 1. If α is a complex number for which

(i)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \alpha(n)) < +\infty$$

and

(ii)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n(\alpha - \alpha(n)) = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{z \to 1^{-}} \frac{d}{dz} (1-z) f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \alpha(n)).$$

Andrews and Freitas [3] have found how to generalize Proposition 2.1 of [2] to the p-th derivative. Two examples from [3], which are of interest to our study, are:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{(q)_n} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q)_n} \frac{1 - (q)_n}{1 - q^n}, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{(t)_n}{(q)_n} \right)^2 = \frac{(t)_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q/t)_n t^n}{(q)_n} \left(\frac{(q)_n}{(t)_n} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{1 - q^n}.$$
 (3)

Here (2) is [3, pg.148, eq.(iii)] and a corollary of (3), and (3) is [3, pg.148, eq.(ii)]. As it turns out, these two identities are also a consequence of our main theorem, and can be viewed as a corollary of Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 1 Assuming the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1, we have for each integer $r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \alpha(n))^r = -\sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} (\alpha)^{r-k} (-1)^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha^k - \alpha^k(n)).$$
(4)

Throughout this note we will be primarily concerned with applications to q-series, and so we will not run into any problems with our choices of $\alpha(n)$.

2. Proof of Main Theorem

The proof of Theorem 1 essentially relies on Proposition 2.1, which is why one can view Theorem 1 as a corollary of Proposition 2.1. First, we need the binomial theorem [7, p. 25]:

$$(x+y)^{r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{r}{k} x^{r-k} y^{k}.$$
 (5)

Set $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha(n) = \eta_n(r) := (\lambda - \lambda_n)^r$, and assume $\eta_n(r)$ satisfies (i) and (ii), where λ_n also satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1 and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$. Following the proofs in [1], set ϵ to be the differential operator $\epsilon = \lim_{t\to 1^-} \frac{d}{dt}$. Then, using the fact that $\alpha = \lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n(r) = 0$, by Proposition 2.1, it follows that

$$-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_n)^r = \epsilon (1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n(r) t^n = \epsilon (1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_n)^r t^n$$
$$= \epsilon (1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (\lambda)^{r-k} (-\lambda_n)^k t^n$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (\lambda)^{r-k} (-1)^k \epsilon (1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_n)^k t^n$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} (\lambda)^{r-k} (-1)^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^k - \lambda_n^k).$$

In the fifth line we have used Proposition 2.1 and the fact that if k = 0, then $\lambda_n^0 = 1$ and $\epsilon(1-t) \sum_{n \ge 0} t^n = 0$.

3. Proof of (3)

Here we provide proofs of (2) and (3) using Theorem 1. Clearly (2) is just the case t = 0 of (3), so we will just prove, in detail, the identity (3).

Proposition 2 Identity (3) is valid.

Proof. If we take r = 2 in Theorem 1 we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\alpha - \alpha(n)\right)^2 = 2\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\alpha - \alpha(n)\right) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\alpha^2 - \alpha(n)^2\right).$$
(6)

Now taking $\alpha := (t)_{\infty}/(q)_{\infty}$ and $\alpha(n) := (t)_n/(q)_n$, we find α satisfies (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.1, and further

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{(t)_n}{(q)_n} \right)^2 = 2 \frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{(t)_n}{(q)_n} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{(t)_n}{(q)_n} \right)^2 \right).$$
(7)

In [3, Corollary 4.3 (vi)] we find

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{(t)_n}{(q)_n}\right)^2 \right) = -\left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q/t)_n t^n}{(q)_n (1-q^n)} \left(\frac{(q)_n}{(t)_n} + 1\right).$$
(8)

By Corollary 4.2 of [2] with a = q we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{(t)_n}{(q)_n} \right) = -\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q/t)_n t^n}{(q)_n (1-q^n)}.$$
(9)

Since $\alpha = (t)_{\infty}/(q)_{\infty}$, we find by (6) that (3) readily follows after inserting (9) and (8).

4. The Case r > 2

The slightly more difficult task with Theorem 1 is finding identities for r > 2. We consider an identity for general r > 2, for a particular choice of $\alpha(n)$. First we need a new result from a paper by Fang [6]. Throughout this section we will use standard notation for the *q*-binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_0 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} := \frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^{m_0})}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^{m_1})(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^{m_0-m_1})}.$$

Theorem 3 (Fang [6, Corollary 6.1]) For $0 \le m_{t+1} \le m_t \le \cdots \le m_1 \le m_0 = m$, where t, m, and the m_t are non-negative integers, and |x| < 1, we have

$$(q;q)_{\infty}^{t+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c;q)_n x^n}{(q;q)_n^{t+3}} = \frac{(cx;q)_{\infty}}{(x;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x;q)_m (-1)^m q^{m(m+1)/2}}{(q,cx;q)_m}$$
(10)

$$\times \sum_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_{t+1}} \begin{bmatrix} m_0\\m_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1\\m_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_2\\m_3 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} m_t\\m_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} q^{\sum_{i=0}^t m_{i+1}(m_{i+1}-m_i)}.$$

INTEGERS: 10 (2010)

Now set $\alpha := 1/(q)_{\infty}^{t+3}$, $\alpha(n) := 1/(q)_n^{t+3}$, and put

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(q;q)_n^{t+3}}.$$

Using Proposition 2.1, Equation (10) with c = 0 and x = z, we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{t+3}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}^{t+3}} \right) = \epsilon(1-z)f(z) = \epsilon(1-z)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(q;q)_{n}^{t+3}}$$
$$= \epsilon(1-z)\frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}^{t+2}(z;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z;q)_{m}(-1)^{m}q^{m(m+1)/2}}{(q;q)_{m}}$$
$$\times \sum_{0 \le m_{t+1} \le m_{t} \le \dots \le m_{1} \le m_{0} = m} \begin{bmatrix} m_{0} \\ m_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{1} \\ m_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{2} \\ m_{3} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} m_{t} \\ m_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{t} m_{i+1}(m_{i+1}-m_{i})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{t+3}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{1-q^n} + \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{t+3}} \epsilon \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m q^{m(m+1)/2} (z;q)_m}{(q;q)_m} \\ \times \sum_{0 \le m_{t+1} \le m_t \le \dots \le m_1 \le m_0 = m} {m_0 \brack m_1} {m_1 \brack m_2} {m_2 \brack m_3} \cdots {m_t \brack m_{t+1}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^t m_{i+1} (m_{i+1} - m_i)}.$$

Finally, observing that $\epsilon(z;q)_m/(q;q)_m = -1/(1-q^m)$, we find the last equation produces the following lemma.

Lemma 4 For each non-negative integer t, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{t+3}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}^{t+3}} \right) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{t+3}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1-q^{n}} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} q^{m(m+1)/2}}{(1-q^{m})} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{0 \le m_{t+1} \le m_{t} \le \dots \le m_{1} \le m_{0} = m} {m_{0} \brack m_{1}} {m_{2} \brack m_{2}} {m_{2} \brack m_{3}} \cdots {m_{t} \brack m_{t+1}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{t} m_{i+1}(m_{i+1}-m_{i})}$$

$$(11)$$

We can now obtain the following new result.

Theorem 5 For any integer r > 2, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}} \right)^{r} = r(q)_{\infty}^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} - \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1)(q)_{\infty}^{-r}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}q^{n(n+1)/2}}{1 - q^{n}} \right)$$

$$-(q)_{\infty}^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-3} \binom{r}{k+3} (-1)^{k+3} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}q^{m(m+1)/2}}{(1 - q^{m})} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{0 \le m_{k+1} \le m_{k} \le \cdots \\ \le m_{1} \le m_{0} = m}} \binom{m_{0}}{m_{1}} \binom{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \binom{m_{2}}{m_{3}} \cdots \binom{m_{k}}{m_{k+1}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} m_{i+1}(m_{i+1} - m_{i})} \right). (12)$$

Proof. First observe that, from Theorem 1, we can write for r > 2,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \alpha(n))^{r} = r\alpha^{r-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \alpha(n)) - \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)\alpha^{r-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha^{2} - \alpha(n)^{2}) - \sum_{k=3}^{r} \binom{r}{k} (\alpha)^{r-k} (-1)^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha^{k} - \alpha^{k}(n)).$$
(13)

Choosing $\alpha(n) := 1/(q)_n$ and $\alpha := 1/(q)_\infty$ in (13) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}} \right)^{r} = r(q)_{\infty}^{-(r-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}} \right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} r(r-1)(q)_{\infty}^{-(r-2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{2}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}^{2}} \right)$$
$$-\frac{r^{-3}}{2} \left(\frac{r}{k+3} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \right)^{r-(k+3)} (-1)^{k+3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left((q)_{\infty}^{-(k+3)} - (q)_{n}^{-(k+3)} \right).$$
(14)

Since (11) holds for each non-negative integer t, we insert it into the third line of (14) to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{(q)_{n}} \right)^{r} = r(q)_{\infty}^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} - \frac{1}{2} r(r - 1)(q)_{\infty}^{-r}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} q^{n(n+1)/2}}{1 - q^{n}} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{r-3} \binom{r}{k+3} (\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}})^{r-(k+3)} (-1)^{k+3} \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{k+3}}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} q^{m(m+1)/2}}{(1 - q^{m})} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{0 \le m_{k+1} \le m_{k} \le \cdots \\ \le m_{1} \le m_{0} = m}} \binom{m_{0}}{m_{1}} \binom{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \binom{m_{2}}{m_{3}} \cdots \binom{m_{k}}{m_{k+1}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} m_{i+1}(m_{i+1} - m_{i})} \right), (15)$$

upon invoking [2, pg.19, eq.(6.7)], and [2, pg.19, eq.(5.4)]. Lastly, canceling out the product $(q)_{\infty}^{-k-3}$, gives the theorem.

5. Concluding Remarks

The results contained herein suggest that there should be some further interesting consequences of Proposition 2.1. One way we could obtain a generalization of Theorem 1 is choosing a different $\eta_n(r)$ in our proof. Namely, we could choose

$$\eta_n(r) = \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i - \alpha_i(n)),$$

where at least some of the $\alpha_i(n)$ are different for each *i* with $1 \leq i \leq r$. (Choosing all the $\alpha_i(n)$ to be equal to each other for each *i* gives Theorem 1.) The trick here is to ensure that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n(r) = 0$. The difficult task in applications of Theorem 1 to *q*-series is finding the simpler, more common expressions for integers r > 2, for the right hand side of (4). We can, however, obtain more results like Theorem 5 using Fangs' more general identity [6, pg.1404, Theorem 6.1]. It would be interesting to see some applications of Proposition 2.1 outside of *q*-series.

References

- G. E. Andrews, Ramanujan's Lost Notebook V: Eulers partition identity, Advances in Mathematics 61 (1986), 156-164.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, J. Jiménez-Urroz and K. Ono, q-series identities and values of certain Lfunctions, Duke Math. Journal 108 (2001), 395-419.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, and P. Freitas, Extension of Abel's lemma with q-series implications, Ramanujan J. 10 (2005), 137-152.
- [4] G. E. Andrews, and B. C. Berndt, Ramanujan's lost notebook, Part II. Springer, New York, 2009. xii+418 pp.
- [5] K. Bringmann and B. Kane, New identities involving sums of the tails related to real quadratic fields, Special issue of the Ramanujan Journal in honor of G.E. Andrews's 70th birthday, The Ramanujan J., accepted for publication.
- J.-P. Fang, q-Differential operator identities and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332 (2007), 1393-1407.
- [7] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] A. E. Patkowski Divisors, partitions and some new q-series identities, Colloq. Math. 117 (2009), 289-294.
- D. Zagier, Vassiliev invariants and a strange identity related to the Dedekind eta-function, Topology 40 (2001), pages 945-960.