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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$ and let it be of the form $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}$, where $n_{i} \mid n_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i<r$ and $n_{1}>1$. Let $A=\{1,-1\}$. Given a sequence $S$ with elements in $G$ and of length $n+k$ such that the natural number $k$ satisfies $k \geq 2^{r^{\prime}-1}-1+\frac{r^{\prime}}{2}$, where $r^{\prime}=\left|\left\{i \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}: 2 \mid n_{i}\right\}\right|$, if $S$ does not have an $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence of length $n$, we obtain a lower bound on the number of $A$-weighted $n$-sums of the sequence $S$. This is a weighted version of a result of Bollobás and Leader. As a corollary, one obtains a result of Adhikari, Chen, Friedlander, Konyagin and Pappalardi. A result of Yuan and Zeng on the existence of zero-smooth subsequences and the DeVos-Goddyn-Mohar Theorem are some of the main ingredients of our proof.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$, written additively. The Davenport constant $D(G)$ is defined to be the smallest natural number $t$ such that any sequence of elements of $G$ of length $t$ has a non-empty subsequence whose sum is zero (the identity element of the group).

Another interesting constant, $E(G)$, is defined to be the smallest natural number $t$ such that any sequence of elements of $G$ of length $t$ has a subsequence of length $n$ whose sum is zero. A classical result of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv [8] says that $E(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})=2 n-1$.

The constants $D(G)$ and $E(G)$ were being studied independently until Gao [9] (see also [11], Proposition 5.7.9) established the following result connecting these two invariants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(G)=D(G)+n-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalizations of the constants $E(G)$ and $D(G)$ with weights were considered in [2] and [4] for finite cyclic groups and generalizations for an arbitrary finite abelian group $G$ were introduced later [1].

Given an abelian group $G$ of order $n$, and a finite non-empty subset $A$ of integers, the Davenport constant of $G$ with weight $A$, denoted by $D_{A}(G)$, is defined to be the least positive integer $t$ such that for every sequence $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)$ with $x_{i} \in G$, there exists a non-empty subsequence $\left(x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{l}}\right)$ and $a_{i} \in A$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} x_{j_{i}}=$ 0 . Similarly, $E_{A}(G)$ is defined to be the least positive integer $t$ such that every sequence of elements of $G$ of length $t$ contains a subsequence $\left(x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{n}}\right)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{j_{i}}=0$, for some $a_{i} \in A$. When $G$ is of order $n$, one may consider $A$ to be a non-empty subset of $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and one avoids the trivial case $0 \in A$.

In several papers (see [2], [15], [12], [3]) the problem of determining the exact values of $E_{A}(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})$ and $D_{A}(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})$ has been taken up for various weight sets $A$.

In the present paper we take up a particular weighted generalization of a result of Bollobás and Leader [6] (see also [19]).

More precisely, we prove the following theorem. For some terminology used in the statement of the theorem, one may look into the next section.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of order $n$ and let it be of the form $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}$, where $1<n_{1}|\cdots| n_{r}$. Let $A=\{1,-1\}$ and $k$ be a natural number satisfying $k \geq 2^{r^{\prime}-1}-1+\frac{r^{\prime}}{2}$, where $r^{\prime}=\mid\left\{i \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}: n_{i}\right.$ is even $\} \mid$. Then, given a sequence $S=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n+k}\right)$, with $x_{i} \in G$, if $S$ has no $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence of length $n$, there are at least $2^{k+1}-\delta$ distinct $A$-weighted $n$ sums, where $\delta=1$, if $2 \mid n$ and $\delta=0$, otherwise.

For a finite abelian group $G$ of order $n$, Gao and Leader [10] obtained some result on the description of some sequences which do not have 0 as an $n$ sum and at which the minimum number of $n$ sums is attained.

## 2. Notations and Preliminaries

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of order $n$ written additively and let $A$ be a nonempty subset of $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Given a sequence $S=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{r}\right)$ of elements of $G$ and $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}\right) \in A^{r}$, we define $\sigma(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} s_{i}$ and $\sigma^{\bar{a}}(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} s_{i}$. If $\sigma(S)=0$ (resp. $\sigma^{\bar{a}}(S)=0$ for some $\bar{a} \in A^{r}$ ), we say that $S$ is a zero-sum sequence (resp. an $A$-weighted zero-sum sequence).

If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $\phi_{H}: G \rightarrow G / H$ will denote the natural homomorphism and given a sequence $S=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{r}\right)$ of elements of $G, \phi_{H}(S)$ will denote the sequence $\left(\phi_{H}\left(s_{1}\right), \phi_{H}\left(s_{2}\right), \cdots, \phi_{H}\left(s_{r}\right)\right)$ with elements in $G / H$.

The length of a sequence $S$ will be denoted by $|S|$; we think that this will not have any confusion with the usual notation $|G|$ used to denote the order of a finite group $G$.

For a subsequence $S^{\prime}$ of a sequence $S$, we use $S \backslash S^{\prime}$ to denote the sequence obtained by removing the elements of the subsequence $S^{\prime}$ from $S$.

Generalizing a definition in [20], we call a sequence $S$ with elements in $G$ an A-weighted zero-smooth sequence if for any $1 \leq \ell \leq|S|$, there exists an $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence of $S$ of length $\ell$. When $A=\{1\}, S$ is simply called a zerosmooth sequence.
Remark. We observe that if $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{r}\right)$ and $V=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{s}\right)$ are sequences of elements of $G$ such that $U$ is an $A$-weighted zero-smooth sequence and $V$ is an A-weighted zero-sum sequence with $|V| \leq|U|+1$, then the sequence $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{r}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{s}\right)$, obtained by appending $V$ to $U$, is an $A$-weighted zero-smooth sequence.

We shall need the following result of Yuan and Zeng [20]:
Theorem A (Yuan, Zeng) Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$ and $S$ a sequence with elements in $G$ such that $|S| \geq n+D(G)-1$. Assume that the element 0 is repeated maximum number of times in $S$. Then there exists a subsequence $S_{1}$ of $S$ which is zero-smooth and $\left|S_{1}\right| \geq|S|-D(G)+1$.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{r}\right), r \geq n$, be a sequence of finite non-empty subsets of $G$. Let $\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all group elements representable as a sum of $n$ elements chosen from distinct terms of $\mathcal{A}$ and let $H=\operatorname{stab}\left(\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right)=\left\{g \in G: g+\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})=\right.$ $\left.\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right\}$. The following result of DeVos, Goddyn and Mohar [7] generalizes Kneser's addition theorem [14] (one may also look into [16] or [18]).

Theorem B (DeVos, Goddyn, Mohar) With the above notation, we have

$$
\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right| \geq|H|\left(1-n+\sum_{g \in G / H} \min \left\{n,\left|\left\{j: g \cap A_{j} \neq \emptyset\right\}\right|\right\}\right)
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In the case $r^{\prime}=0$, it is possible to have $k=0$. We observe that in this case, $|S|=n$ and if $\sigma(S)=t \neq 0$, then $-\sigma(S)=-t \neq 0$. Again, $n$ being odd, $G$ does not have any element of order 2 and thus there are at least two distinct $A$-weighted $n$-sums. So, the result is true in this case and we may assume that $k \geq 1$.

If possible, suppose that the result is not true and choose a counterexample $(G, S, k)$ with $|G|=n$ minimal.

Considering the sequence $\mathcal{A}=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n+k}\right)$, where $A_{i}=A x_{i}$ for each $i$,
$1 \leq i \leq n+k$, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \notin \Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})  \tag{2}\\
\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right| & <2^{k+1}-\delta \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $L=\operatorname{stab}\left(\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right)$. We claim that $L=\langle 0\rangle$.
If possible, let $L \neq\langle 0\rangle$, so that $|G / L|<n$. Writing the identity element of $G / L$ as $\mathbf{0}$, if for every subsequence $S^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \cdots, x_{i_{d}}\right\}$ of $S$ of length $d=$ $|G / L|+k, \mathbf{0}$ is representable as a sum of $|G / L|$ elements from distinct terms of the sequence $\left(\phi_{L}\left(A x_{i_{1}}\right), \phi_{L}\left(A x_{i_{2}}\right), \cdots, \phi_{L}\left(A x_{i_{d}}\right)\right)$, then we get pairwise disjoint subsequences $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{|L|}$, each of length $|G / L|$ and $\bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \cdots, \bar{a}_{|L|} \in A^{|G / L|}$ such that $\sigma^{\bar{a}_{i}}\left(\phi_{L}\left(S_{i}\right)\right)=\mathbf{0}$, for each $i \in\{1,2, \cdots,|L|\}$.

Therefore, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{|L|} \sigma^{\bar{a}_{i}}\left(\phi_{L}\left(S_{i}\right)\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

Writing $\theta=\sigma^{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(S_{1}\right)+\sigma^{\bar{a}_{2}}\left(S_{2}\right)+\cdots+\sigma^{\bar{a}_{|L|}}\left(S_{|L|}\right)$, since $-\theta$ also belongs to $L=$ $\operatorname{stab}\left(\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right)$ and $\theta \in \Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $0 \in \Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})$, which contradicts (2).

Hence there exists a subsequence $S^{\prime}$ of $S$ with length $|G / L|+k$ (observe that a permissible value $k$ for $G$ is obviously permissible for $G / L)$ such that $\mathbf{0} \notin \Sigma_{|G / L|}\left(\phi_{L}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is the subsequence of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponding to the sequence $S^{\prime}$ and hence by minimality of $|G|$, we have

$$
\left|\Sigma_{|G / L|}\left(\phi_{L}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \geq 2^{k+1}-\delta^{\prime} \geq 2^{k+1}-\delta
$$

and hence $\left|\Sigma_{|G / L|}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2^{k+1}-\delta$, where $\delta^{\prime}$ is the parity of $|G / L|$ and $\delta$ is that of $n$.

Since the length of the subsequence $\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is $n+k-(|G / L|+k)=n-|G / L|$,

$$
\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right| \geq\left|\Sigma_{|G / L|}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2^{k+1}-\delta
$$

- a contradiction to (3).

Therefore, we have $L=\langle 0\rangle$ and hence by Theorem B, we have

$$
\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right| \geq 1-n+\sum_{x \in G} \min \left\{n,\left|\left\{i: 1 \leq i \leq n+k, x \in A_{i}\right\}\right|\right\}
$$

Since (2) implies that no element of $G$ can be in $n$ distinct $A_{i}$ 's, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right| & \geq 1-n+\sum_{x \in G} \min \left\{n,\left|\left\{i: 1 \leq i \leq n+k, x \in A_{i}\right\}\right|\right\} \\
& =1-n+\sum_{x \in G}\left|\left\{i: 1 \leq i \leq n+k, x \in A_{i}\right\}\right| \\
& =1-n+\sum_{i=1}^{n+k}\left|A_{i}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing $t=\left|\left\{j: 1 \leq j \leq n+k,\left|A_{j}\right|=1\right\}\right|$, from (2) and the above inequality we have,

$$
n-1 \geq\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right| \geq 1-n+2(n+k-t)+t
$$

and hence,

$$
t \geq 2(k+1)
$$

Rearranging, if needed, we assume that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{t}\right)$ is the subsequence of $S$ such that $\left|A_{i}\right|=\left|A x_{i}\right|=1$ for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ and the element $x_{1}$ is repeated maximum number of times in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{t}\right)$.

We observe that all the $x_{i}$ 's appearing in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{t}\right)$ are either equal to the zero element of the group or those of order 2 , when $n$ is even.

Consider the sequence $S^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n+k}\right)$, where $y_{i}=x_{i}-x_{1}$, for each $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n+k$. Write $\mathcal{B}=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{n+k}\right)$, where $B_{i}=A y_{i}=A\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)$, for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n+k$.

Observing that $\left|A x_{1}\right|=1$, if we consider a typical element $\epsilon_{i_{1}} y_{i_{1}}+\epsilon_{i_{2}} y_{i_{2}}+\cdots+$ $\epsilon_{i_{n}} y_{i_{n}}$, of $\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{B})$, where $\epsilon_{j} \in\{1,-1\}$, then it can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{i_{1}}\left(x_{i_{1}}-x_{1}\right)+\epsilon_{i_{2}}\left(x_{i_{2}}-x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\epsilon_{i_{n}}\left(x_{i_{n}}-x_{1}\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{i_{1}} x_{i_{1}}+\epsilon_{i_{2}} x_{i_{2}}+\cdots+\epsilon_{i_{n}} x_{i_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i_{j}} x_{1}=n x_{1}=0$.
Hence, $\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{A})=\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{B})$ and from (2) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \notin \Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{B})  \tag{4}\\
\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{B})\right| & <2^{k+1}-\delta \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By our construction, in the subsequence $S_{1}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{t}\right)$ of $S^{\prime}$, all the elements $y_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq t$, satisfy $2 y_{i}=0$ and $y_{1}=0$ is repeated maximum number of times.

Depending on the parity of $n$, we consider the following two cases:
Case I ( $n$ is odd). We observe that in this case, $y_{i}=0$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$. Now, we choose a maximal $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence $S_{2}$ of $S^{\prime} \backslash S_{1}$, possibly empty. If $\left|\left(S \backslash S_{1}\right) \backslash S_{2}\right| \leq k$, then $(n+k)-\left|S_{1}\right|-\left|S_{2}\right| \leq k \quad \Rightarrow \quad n-\left|S_{2}\right| \leq\left|S_{1}\right|$ and hence by appending a subsequence of (zeros) $S_{1}$ of length $n-\left|S_{2}\right|$ to $S_{2}$ we get an $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence of $S^{\prime}$ of length $n$, which is a contradiction to (4).

Thus, there exists a subsequence $S_{3}=y_{j_{1}} y_{j_{2}} \cdots y_{j_{k+1}}$ of $\left(S^{\prime} \backslash S_{1}\right) \backslash S_{2}$ which does not have any non-empty $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence, by maximality of $S_{2}$.

Consider the set

$$
X=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \epsilon_{i} y_{j_{i}}: \epsilon_{i} \in A=\{1,-1\}\right\}
$$

If for $\epsilon_{i}, \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} \in A=\{1,-1\}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \epsilon_{i} y_{j_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} y_{j_{i}}
$$

then, writing $I=\left\{i: \epsilon_{i} \neq \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$,

$$
2 \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_{i} y_{j_{i}}=0
$$

which implies, since $n$ is odd, that $\sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_{i} y_{j_{i}}=0$, which leads to a contradiction to the maximality of $S_{2}$ if $I$ is non-empty.

Thus, we have $|X| \geq 2^{k+1}$. Now, considering the sum of a fixed subsequence of $S^{\prime} \backslash S_{3}$ of length $n-(k+1)$, and adding that to various sums in $X$, we have $\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{B})\right| \geq 2^{k+1}-$ a contradiction to (5).
Case II ( $n$ is even). Put $H=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{t}\right\rangle$. As we have already observed, $2 y_{i}=0$, for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$. Hence $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r^{\prime}}$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H| \leq 2^{r^{\prime}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by a result of Olson [17] on the Davenport constant of $p$-groups,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(H) \leq D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r^{\prime}}\right)=r^{\prime}+1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by our assumption, $k \geq 2^{r^{\prime}-1}-1+\frac{r^{\prime}}{2}$, by (6) we have,

$$
\left|S_{1}\right|=t \geq 2(k+1) \geq 2^{r^{\prime}}+r^{\prime} \geq|H|+D(H)-1
$$

Also, 0 is repeated maximum number of times in $S_{1}$.
So, we can apply Theorem A and it follows that $S_{1}$ has a zero-smooth subsequence $T_{1}$ such that $\left|T_{1}\right| \geq\left|S_{1}\right|-D(H)+1$.

Therefore, from the fact $\left|S_{1}\right|=t \geq 2(k+1)$ and (7) we have

$$
\left|T_{1}\right| \geq 2 k+2-r^{\prime}
$$

Again, since, $k \geq 2^{r^{\prime}-1}-1+\frac{r^{\prime}}{2}$, we have $k-r^{\prime} \geq 2^{r^{\prime}-1}-1-\frac{r^{\prime}}{2} \geq-1$, we have

$$
\left|T_{1}\right| \geq 2 k+2-r^{\prime}=k+2+k-r^{\prime} \geq k+1
$$

We choose a maximal $A$-weighted zero-smooth subsequence $T$ of $S^{\prime}$. We have, $|T| \geq\left|T_{1}\right| \geq k+1$. Further, (4) implies that $|T|<n$.

Consider the subsequence $S^{\prime} \backslash T=y_{s_{1}} y_{s_{2}} \cdots y_{s_{k+l}}$, say, (since $|T|<n, l \geq 1$ ) and the set

$$
Y=\left\{\sum_{i \in I} y_{s_{i}}: I \subset\{1,2, \cdots, k+1\}, I \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

Now, if for subsets $I, J$ of $\{1,2, \cdots, k+1\}$, with $I \neq J, I \neq \emptyset, J \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\sum_{i \in I} y_{s_{i}}=\sum_{i \in J} y_{s_{i}},
$$

then we have

$$
\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} \delta_{i} y_{s_{i}}=0, \quad \delta_{i} \in A
$$

where $I^{\prime}=(I \cup J) \backslash(I \cap J)$.
Since it is clear that $I^{\prime}$ is non-empty, and $1 \leq\left|I^{\prime}\right| \leq k+1 \leq|T|$, by the observation made in the Remark in Section 2, appending the subsequence corresponding to $I^{\prime}$ to $T$, we get a contradiction to the maximality of $T$. Therefore, we have $|Y|=2^{k+1}-1$. Adding $y_{s_{k+2}}+\cdots+y_{s_{k+l}}$ to each of the distinct sums in $Y$, we get $2^{k+1}-1$ distinct sums $y_{s_{k+2}}+\cdots+y_{s_{k+l}}+\sum_{i \in I} y_{s_{i}}: I \subset\{1,2, \cdots, k+1\}, I \neq \emptyset$.

Now, for a given $I \subset\{1,2, \cdots, k+1\}$, as $n-(|I|+l-1) \leq n-l=|T|$, we can append an $n-(|I|+l-1)$ length $A$-weighted zero-sum subsequence of $T$ to $y_{s_{k+2}}+\cdots+y_{s_{k+l}}+\sum_{i \in I} y_{s_{i}}$ to make an $A$-weighted $n$-sum without changing the value of the sum.

Thus, $\left|\Sigma_{n}(\mathcal{B})\right| \geq 2^{k+1}-1$, which is a contradiction to (5), and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. It is not difficult to observe that for a finite abelian group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}, 1<n_{1}|\cdots| n_{r}$, satisfying $|G|>2^{\left(2^{r^{\prime}-1}-1+\frac{r^{\prime}}{2}\right)}$, where $r^{\prime}=\left|\left\{i \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}: 2 \mid n_{i}\right\}\right|$, and $A=\{1,-1\}$, our theorem along with some counter examples like those given in [2] (see also [5]), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G|+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\lfloor\log _{2} n_{i}\right\rfloor \leq E_{A}(G) \leq|G|+\left\lfloor\log _{2}|G|\right\rfloor \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the exact value of $E_{A}(G)$ when $G$ is cyclic (thus giving another proof of the main result in [2]) and unconditional bounds in many cases.

However, we mention that when $A=\{1,-1\}$, finding the corresponding bounds for $D_{A}(G)$ for a finite abelian group $G$ and the exact value of $D_{A}(G)$ when $G$ is cyclic, is not so difficult (see [2], [5]). Therefore, from the relation

$$
E_{A}(G)=D_{A}(G)+n-1
$$

which generalizes (1) for an abelian group $G$ with $|G|=n$ and a non-empty subset $A$ of $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, established for cyclic groups by Yuan and Zeng [21] and for general finite abelian groups by Grynkiewicz, Marchan and Ordaz [13], the result (8) follows.
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