INTEGERS 11 (2011)


# ON BASES WITH A T-ORDER 

Quan-Hui Yang ${ }^{1}$<br>School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China<br>yangquanhui01@163.com<br>Feng-Juan Chen<br>School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China and Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, China<br>cfjsz@126.com

Received: 5/24/10: Revised: 11/3/10, Accepted: 11/15/10, Published: 1/13/11


#### Abstract

For any set $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, a basis $A$ is said to have a $T$-order $s$ if every sufficiently large integer is the sum of $s-t_{1}$ or $s-t_{2}$ or $\ldots$ or $s-t_{n}$ elements taken from $A$ (allowing repetitions), where $s$ is the least integer with this property. We write $\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)=s$. In this paper, we characterize those bases $A$ which have a $T$-order.


## 1. Introduction

A set $A$ of nonnegative integers is said to be an asymptotic basis of order $r$ if every sufficiently large integer can be expressed as a sum of at most $r$ elements taken from $A$ (allowing repetitions) and $r$ is the least integer with this property. We write $\operatorname{ord}(A)=r$. A basis $A$ is said to have an exact order $r^{\prime}$ if every sufficiently large integer is the sum of exactly $r^{\prime}$ elements taken from $A$ (again, allowing repetitions) and $r^{\prime}$ is the least integer with this property. In this case we write $\operatorname{ord}^{*}(A)=r^{\prime}$. In [6], Erdős and Graham characterized those bases $A$ which have an exact order. They proved the following result: a basis $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ has an exact order if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{a_{k+1}-a_{k}: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}=1$. For related research, one may refer to [1-5].

In this note, we introduce the concept of $T$-order as follows and generalize the result by Erdős and Graham[6].

Definition 1. For any set $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, a basis $A$ is said to have a $T$-order if there exists an integer $s$ such that every sufficiently large integer is the sum of $s-t_{1}$ or $s-t_{2}$ or $\ldots$ or $s-t_{n}$ integers taken from $A$ (allowing repetitions). We indicate the least such $s$ by $\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)=s$.

[^0]Definition 2. For any set $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, a basis $A$ is said to have an exact $T$-order if $A$ has a $T$-order, and $A$ does not have a $T^{\prime}$-order for any set $T^{\prime} \subsetneq T$.

By the definition, it's obvious that $\operatorname{ord}^{*}(A)=\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)$ when $T=\{0\}$. It is easy to find examples of bases $A$ which do not have a $T$-order. For example, if $A=\{x>0: x \equiv 1(\bmod 3)\}$ and $T=\{0,1\}$, then $A$ doesn't have a $T$-order. By the definition, we know that a basis $A$ has a $T$-order for any set $T$ when it has an exact order. Meanwhile, if $0 \in T$, then $\operatorname{ord}(A) \leqslant \operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A) \leqslant \operatorname{ord}^{*}(A)$. It is clear that if $0 \in T, 0 \in A$ and $\operatorname{ord}(A)=r$, then $\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)=\operatorname{ord}^{*}(A)=r$. However, it is not difficult to construct examples of bases $A$ such that

$$
\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)>\operatorname{ord}(A) \operatorname{or}_{\operatorname{ord}^{*}}(A)>\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)
$$

For example, if

$$
T=\{0,1\}
$$

and

$$
A_{1}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{x: 3^{2 k}+1 \leqslant x \leqslant 3^{2 k+1}\right\}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{ord}\left(A_{1}\right)=3 \text { and } \operatorname{ord}^{(T)}\left(A_{1}\right)=4
$$

If

$$
T=\{0,1\} \text { and } A_{2}=\{x>0: x \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \text { or } x \equiv 3(\bmod 6)\}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}\left(A_{2}\right)=3 \text { and } \operatorname{ord}^{*}\left(A_{2}\right)=5
$$

In this paper, we characterize those bases $A$ which have a $T$-order.

## 2. Bases with a $T$-order

For $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, let $D(A)=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{a_{k+1}-a_{k}: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$. It is easy to see that $D(A)$ does not depend on the order of $A$.

Lemma 3. If $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a basis, then $\left(a_{k}, D(A)\right)=1$ for all positive integers $k$.

Proof. If there exists $k_{0}$ such that $\left(a_{k_{0}}, D(A)\right)=d>1$, then $d \mid a_{k}$ for all $k$. Therefore any sum of elements taken from $A$ is a multiple of $d$, which contradicts the condition that $A$ is a basis. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

For $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and a positive integer $h$, define $h A$ as the $h$-fold sum set of A:

$$
h A=\left\{a_{i_{1}}+a_{i_{2}}+\cdots+a_{i_{h}}: i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{h}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4. If $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a basis, then there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $n A \cap(n+D(A)) A \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $D(A)=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{a_{k+1}-a_{k}: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, there is a positive integer $t$ such that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left\{a_{k+1}-a_{k}: 1 \leqslant k \leqslant t\right\}=D(A)
$$

Thus, there exist integers $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{t}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{t} c_{k}\left(a_{k+1}-a_{k}\right)=D(A) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $p_{k}$ and $q_{k}$ by

$$
p_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a_{k+1} & \text { if } & c_{k} \geqslant 0, \\
a_{k} & \text { if } & c_{k}<0,
\end{array} \quad q_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a_{k} & \text { if } & c_{k} \geqslant 0, \\
a_{k+1} & \text { if } & c_{k}<0 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Then (1) can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right|\left(p_{k}-q_{k}\right)=D(A)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right| p_{k}=D(A)+\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right| q_{k}
$$

Let

$$
K=\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right| p_{k} q_{k}
$$

Since

$$
K=\sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\left|c_{k}\right| p_{k}} q_{k} \in\left(\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right| p_{k}\right) A
$$

and

$$
K=\sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{\left|c_{k}\right| q_{k}} p_{k} \in\left(\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right| q_{k}\right) A
$$

we have $K \in n A \cap(n+D(A)) A$, where $n=\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left|c_{k}\right| q_{k}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Theorem 5. For any set $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, a basis $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ has a $T$ order if and only if $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ contains a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$.

Proof. (Necessity). Suppose that $\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)=s$. Since $D(A)=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{a_{k+1}-a_{k}\right.$ : $k=1,2, \ldots\}$, we have $a_{k+1} \equiv a_{k}(\bmod D(A))$ for all $k$. Therefore, any sum of $s-t_{i}$ elements of $A$ is congruent to $\left(s-t_{i}\right) a_{1}$ modulo $D(A)$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. If $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ does not contain a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$, then $\left(s-t_{1}\right) a_{1},\left(s-t_{2}\right) a_{1}, \ldots,\left(s-t_{n}\right) a_{1}$ does not contain a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$ either. It contradicts $\operatorname{ord}^{(T)}(A)=s$.
(Sufficiency). Suppose that $\operatorname{ord}(A)=r$. By Lemma 4, there exist two positive integers $K$ and $n$ such that

$$
K \in n A \cap(n+D(A)) A
$$

Then, for any integer $w \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w K \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{w}(w n+k D(A)) A . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s=(([r / D(A)]-1) n+[r / D(A)] D(A))+t_{n}$. Now we prove that every sufficiently large integer $x$ can be represented as the sum of $s-t_{1}$ or $\cdots$ or $s-t_{n}$ elements taken from $A$. Let $x_{1}=x-([r / D(A)]-1) K$.

Case 1: $D(A) \mid x_{1}$. By Lemma 3, we have $\left(a_{k}, D(A)\right)=1$ and $a_{k} \equiv a_{1}(\bmod$ $D(A))$ for any integer $k \geqslant 1$. Thus

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{D(A) \mid i, i \leqslant r} i A .
$$

Setting $w=[r / D(A)]-1$ in (2), we obtain

$$
x=x_{1}+([r / D(A)]-1) K \in(([r / D(A)]-1) n+[r / D(A)] D(A)) A=\left(s-t_{n}\right) A
$$

Case 2: $D(A) \nmid x_{1}$. By Lemma 3, we have $\left(a_{1}, D(A)\right)=1$. Since $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ contains a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$, we have that $\left(t_{n}-\right.$ $\left.t_{n}\right) a_{1},\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right) a_{1}, \ldots,\left(t_{n}-t_{1}\right) a_{1}$ also contains a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$. Thus, there exists an integer $i$ such that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and

$$
\left(t_{n}-t_{i}\right) a_{1} \equiv x_{1} \quad(\bmod D(A))
$$

By Case 1, we have

$$
x_{1}-\left(t_{n}-t_{i}\right) a_{1}+([r / D(A)]-1) K \in(([r / D(A)]-1) n+[r / D(A)] D(A)) A
$$

Hence for any sufficiently large integer $x$, there exists an integer $i(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ such that
$x=x_{1}+([r / D(A)]-1) K \in\left(([r / D(A)]-1) n+[r / D(A)] D(A)+\left(t_{n}-t_{i}\right)\right) A=\left(s-t_{i}\right) A$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark. By the proof Theorem 5, we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}^{(T)} A \leqslant(([r / D(A)]-1) n+[r / D(A)] D(A))+t_{n}
$$

Corollary 6. For any set $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, a basis $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ has an exact $T$-order if and only if $D(A)=n$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ is a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$.

Proof. $A$ has an exact $T$-order if and only if $A$ has a $T$-order and $A$ does not have a $T^{\prime}$-order for any set $T^{\prime} \subsetneq T$. By Theorem $5, T$ contains a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$ and $T^{\prime}$ does not contain a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$. Namely $D(A)=n$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ is a complete system of incongruent residues modulo $D(A)$.

Remark. Let $T=\{0\}$, by Corollary 6, we can get the result by Erdős and Graham.
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