



## A RELATION BETWEEN TRIANGULAR NUMBERS AND PRIME NUMBERS

**Rigoberto Flórez**

*Division of Mathematics, Science and Engineering, University of South Carolina  
Sumter, Sumter, SC, U.S.A.  
florezr@uscsumter.edu*

**Leandro Junes**

*Division of Mathematics, Science and Engineering, University of South Carolina  
Sumter, Sumter, SC, U.S.A.  
junesl@uscsumter.edu*

*Received: 3/26/11 , Revised: 5/30/11, Accepted: 8/4/11, Published: 9/13/11*

### Abstract

We study a relation between factorials and their additive analog, the triangular numbers. We show that there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $n! = 2^k T$  where  $T$  is a product of triangular numbers. We discuss the primality of  $T \pm 1$  and the primality of  $|T - p|$  where  $p$  is either the smallest prime greater than  $T$  or the greatest prime less than  $T$ .

### 1. Introduction

There is a natural relation between triangular numbers and factorials. Triangular numbers are the additive analogs of factorials. We show that there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $n! = 2^k T$  where  $T$  is a product of triangular numbers. The number of factors of  $T$  depends on the parity of  $n$ .

There are many open questions about the relationship between prime numbers and factorials. For example, are there infinitely many primes of the form  $n! \pm 1$ ? Erdős [4] asked if there are infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $p - k!$  is composite for each  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k! \leq p$ . Fortune's conjecture [5] asks whether the product of the first  $n$  consecutive prime numbers plus or minus one is a prime. Since  $T$  is a product of triangular numbers, it is natural to ask whether  $T \pm 1$  is a prime. It is also natural to ask whether  $|T - p|$  is a prime number, where  $p$  is either the smallest prime greater than  $T$  or the greatest prime less than  $T$ .

In this paper we prove that there are infinitely many cases for which  $T \pm 1$  is not a prime. We also give both numerical and theoretical evidence for the primality of

$|T - p|$  where  $p \neq T \pm 1$ .

We now formally state the question. We denote by  $t_n$  the  $n^{\text{th}}$  triangular number where  $n \geq 0$  with  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_n = t_{n-1} + n$ . We define  $T(k) = \prod_{i=1}^k t_{2i-1}$  and  $T'(k) = t_5 \prod_{i=3}^k t_{2i}$  for  $k > 2$  an integer. If there is no ambiguity, we use  $T$  to mean either  $T(k)$  or  $T'(k)$ .

**Question 1.** *If  $T$  is either  $T(k)$  or  $T'(k)$ , and  $p$  is either the smallest prime greater than  $T + 1$  or the greatest prime less than  $T - 1$ , then*

- (1) *are there infinitely many primes of the form  $T \pm 1$ ?*
- (2) *Is  $|T - p|$  a prime number?*

**2. Preliminaries**

In this section we introduce some notation. Throughout the paper we use  $k$  to represent a positive integer. We prove that  $n! = 2^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i)$  if  $n = 2k$  and  $n! = 2^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t_{k+1} - t_i)$  if  $n = 2k + 1$ . Proposition 2, part (2) is in [2, 3]. Proposition 2, part (1) is a natural relation. Therefore, we believe that it is known, but unfortunately we have not found this property in the mathematics literature.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $n$  is a positive integer, then*

- (1)  $n! = \begin{cases} 2^k T(k) & \text{if } n = 2k \\ 2^{k+1} T'(k) & \text{if } n = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$
- (2)  $T(k) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i)$ .
- (3)  $2T'(k) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t_{k+1} - t_i)$ .

*Proof.* We prove part (1) for  $n = 2k$ , the other case is similar.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k T(k) &= 2^k \cdot t_1 \cdot t_3 \dots t_{2k-1} \\ &= 2^k \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 2}{2} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 4}{2} \dots \frac{(2k-1) \cdot 2k}{2} \\ &= (2k)! = n!. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove part (2). We suppose that  $n = 2k$ . From part (1) we know that  $n! = 2^k T(k)$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k T(k) &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \dots k \cdot (k+1) \dots (2k-3) \cdot (2k-2) \cdot (2k-1) \cdot 2k \\ &= [1 \cdot 2k] \cdot [2 \cdot (2k-1)] \cdot [3 \cdot (2k-2)] \dots [k \cdot (k+1)] \\ &= [k \cdot (k+1)] \dots [3 \cdot (2k-2)] \cdot [2 \cdot (2k-1)] \cdot [1 \cdot (2k)] \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (k-i) \cdot (k+i+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (k^2 + k - i^2 - i) \\
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (k(k+1) - i(i+1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(k) &= \frac{1}{2^k} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (k(k+1) - i(i+1)) \\
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \frac{i(i+1)}{2} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

We prove part (3). We suppose that  $n = 2k + 1$ . It is easy to see that  $2T'(k) = \frac{T(k+1)}{(k+1)}$ . Thus,

$$2T'(k) = \frac{T(k+1)}{k+1} = \frac{1}{k+1} \prod_{i=0}^k (t_{k+1} - t_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t_{k+1} - t_i). \quad \square$$

Notice that  $2T'(k) = \prod_{i=1}^k t_{2i}$ . Therefore, we can ask Question 1 replacing  $T'(k)$  by  $2T'(k)$ . Numerical calculations show that Question 1, part (2) is true for  $2T'(k)$  with  $k \leq 1000$ . We have found that there are only 9 prime numbers of the form  $2T'(k) - 1$  for  $k \leq 1000$  and 12 prime numbers of the form  $2T'(k) + 1$  for  $k \leq 1000$ .

Since  $t_k = \binom{k+1}{2}$ , Proposition 2, part (1) can be restated as

$$n! = 2^k \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{2i}{2} = 2^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \binom{k+1}{2} - \binom{i+1}{2} \right) \text{ if } n = 2k$$

and

$$n! = 2^k \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{2i+1}{2} = 2^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \binom{k+2}{2} - \binom{i+1}{2} \right) \text{ if } n = 2k + 1.$$

We use Theorem 3 to prove Propositions 6 and 7. These propositions give upper bounds for the number of primes in an interval.

Let  $f$  be a real function and  $g$  be a positive function. We use  $f \ll g$  to mean that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq cg(x)$  for all  $x$  in the domain of  $f$ . This is also denoted by  $f = O(g)$ . For the following two theorems  $q$  is a prime. If  $N$  is a positive even integer, we write  $\pi_N(x)$  to denote the number of primes  $b$  up to  $x$  such that  $N + b$  is also prime, and, we write  $r(N)$  to denote the number of representations of  $N$  as the sum of two primes.

**Theorem 3.** [6, Theorems 7.2 and 7.3] *If  $N$  is a positive even integer, then*

$$(1) \pi_N(x) \ll \frac{x}{(\ln x)^2} \prod_{q|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

$$(2) r(N) \ll \frac{N}{(\ln N)^2} \prod_{q|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

### 3. Evidences for Primality of $|T - p|$

In this section we provide strong evidence that Question 1, part (2) is probably true. We use the prime number theorem to give a first approach for the validity of this question, and construct several examples that show that  $|T - l|$  is a prime where  $l$  is a prime number. We found that if  $l$  is in a specific interval, then  $|T - l|$  is a prime (we give a detailed description of this interval below.) We give an upper bound for the number of primes in this interval.

Propositions 4 and 6 give a theoretical support to believe that the facts shown in the following examples may be true in general. In Section 5 there are 2 tables that show some primes of the form  $Q - T$  and  $T - q$ , where  $Q$  is the smallest prime greater than  $T$  and  $q$  greatest prime less than  $T$ . We have observed that  $Q$  is in the interval  $(T, T + p^2)$  where  $p$  is either the smallest prime greater than  $2k$  if  $T = T(k)$  or is the smallest prime greater than  $2k + 1$  if  $T = T'(k)$ . From Table 4 we can verify that either  $p \leq Q - T < p^2$  or  $Q - T = 1$ . From Table 1 we can verify that either  $T - p^2 < q \leq T - p$  or  $T - q = 1$ . Using a computer program the authors verified that this fact is also true for all  $k \leq 10^3$ . Since every number in  $(T + 1, T + p)$  is composite, we are going to analyze the behavior of  $Q$  in  $[T + p, T + p^2)$  and  $Q = T + 1$ . In Proposition 4 we show that if  $T + p \leq Q < T + p^2$ , then it proves Question 1, part (2).

We first give a heuristic argument to show that if  $Q \neq T + 1$ , then  $T + p \leq Q < T + p^2$ . It is known from prime number theorem that if  $q$  is the next prime greater than a number  $m + 1$ , then  $q$  is near  $m + \ln m$ . So,  $Q$  is near  $T + \ln T$ . If  $p$  is the next prime greater than  $n$ , then

$$\ln(T) = \ln \left( \frac{n!}{2^k} \right) \sim n \ln n - n - k \ln 2 + 1 < p^2.$$

Therefore, if  $Q \neq T + 1$  and  $Q < T + \ln T$ , then  $T + p \leq Q < T + p^2$ .

We now give some examples that show that there are several primes  $l$  that satisfy  $T + p \leq l < T + p^2$ . Proposition 6 gives a general upper bound for the total number of primes of the form  $T + b$  in  $[T + p, T + p^2)$  where  $b$  is a prime.

If  $k = 3$ , then  $T(3) = 90$ ,  $2k = 6$  and  $p = 7$ . So,  $p^2 = 49$ . These give rise to the interval  $[T + p, T + p^2) = [97, 139)$ . In this interval there are 9 primes. Thus,  $Q - T(3)$  is prime where  $Q$  is a prime with  $97 \leq Q < 139$ . Indeed, all possible outcomes for  $Q - T(3)$  are:  $97 - 90 = 7$ ;  $101 - 90 = 11$ ;  $103 - 90 = 13$ ;  $107 - 90 = 17$ ;  $109 - 90 = 19$ ;  $113 - 90 = 23$ ;  $127 - 90 = 37$ ;  $131 - 90 = 41$ ;  $137 - 90 = 47$ . Note that 139 is a prime, but  $139 - 90 = 49 = 7^2$ .

For the next example we need  $k > 3$ . If we take  $k = 4$ , then  $T'(4) = 11340$ ,  $2k + 1 = 9$  and  $p = 11$ . So, these give rise to the interval  $[T + p, T + p^2) = [11351, 11461)$ . For every prime  $Q$  in  $[11351, 11461)$ , it holds that  $Q - T'(4)$  is a prime. That is,  $11351 - 11340 = 11$ ;  $11353 - 11340 = 13$ ;  $11369 - 11340 = 29$ ;  $11383 - 11340 = 43$ ;  $11393 - 11340 = 53$ ;  $11399 - 11340 = 59$ ;  $11411 - 11340 = 71$ ;  $11423 - 11340 = 83$ ;  $11437 - 11340 = 97$ ;  $11443 - 11340 = 103$ ;  $11447 - 11340 = 107$ .

We have observed that  $Q - T$  is also a prime for some primes  $Q$  greater than  $T + p^2$ . That is, if there is no prime number between  $T$  and  $T + p^2$ , this does not automatically mean that Question 1, part (2) will fail. For example, if  $k = 5$ , then  $T(5) = 113400$ ,  $2k = 10$  and  $p = 11 > 2k$ . So,  $p^2 = 121$ . These give rise to the interval  $[T + p, T + p^2) = [113411, 113521)$ . The number  $T(5) + 121 = 113400 + 121 = 113521 = 61 \cdot 1861$ . We analyze the behavior of  $Q - T(5)$ , for consecutive primes  $Q$  beyond of  $T(5) + 11^2$ . The outcomes for  $Q - T(5)$  are:  $113537 - 113400 = 137$ ;  $113539 - 113400 = 139$ ;  $113557 - 113400 = 157$ ;  $113567 - 113400 = 167$ ;  $113591 - 113400 = 191$ .

This example shows that if we take a prime  $Q$  beyond  $T + p^2$ , then  $Q - T$  is not automatically composite. Thus, even if there is no prime number between  $T$  and  $T + p^2$ , we can expect that  $Q - T$  may be a prime. Notice, if the next prime greater than  $T$  is  $Q = T + p^2$ , then the question fails.

The following example shows that there are several primes  $q$  such that  $T(k) - q$  is either one or a prime with  $T(k) - p^2 < q < T(k)$ .

If  $k = 3$ , then  $T(3) = 90$ ,  $2k = 6$  and  $p = 7$ . So,  $p^2 = 49$ . These give rise to the interval  $(T - p^2, T - p] = (41, 83]$ . In this interval there are 10 primes  $q$ . All possible outcomes for  $T(3) - q$  are:  $90 - 83 = 7$ ;  $90 - 79 = 11$ ;  $90 - 73 = 17$ ;  $90 - 71 = 19$ ;  $90 - 67 = 23$ ;  $90 - 61 = 29$ ;  $90 - 59 = 31$ ;  $90 - 53 = 47$ ;  $90 - 47 = 43$ ;  $90 - 43 = 47$ . In this example, 41 is prime, but  $90 - 41 = 49 = 7^2$ . Note that  $T(3) - 1 = 89$  is prime. In Table 3 there are some  $k$  values for which  $T(k) - 1$  is prime.

We now give some notation needed for Propositions 4 and 6. We use  $p_r$  to mean the smallest prime greater than  $n$  when  $n$  is either  $2k$  if  $T = T(k)$  or  $2k + 1$  if  $T = T'(k)$ . The subscript  $r$  takes a special role:  $r - 1$  counts the number of primes less than or equal to  $n$ .

Propositions 6 and 7 are a direct application of Theorem 3. We obtain an upper bound for the number of primes in the intervals  $[T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$  and  $(T - p_r^2, T + p_r]$ . If there is a prime in the intervals  $[T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$  then it gives a positive answer for Question 1, part (2). If Cramer's Conjecture [1] is true, then there is a prime in  $[T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $l$  be a prime and  $k > 3$ .*

- (1) *If  $T + p_r \leq l < T + p_r^2$ , then  $l - T$  is prime.*
- (2) *If  $T - p_r^2 < l \leq T - p_r$ , then  $T - l$  is prime.*

*Proof.* We prove part (1) for  $T = T(k)$ , the other case and part (2) are similar. Suppose that  $T + p_r \leq l < T + p_r^2$ . Since  $T(k) = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k}$ , every prime  $t < 2k$  divides  $T(k)$ . Thus, if  $t < 2k$  is a prime, then  $t$  does not divide  $l - T(k)$ . We know that  $p_r \leq l - T(k) < p_r^2$ . Since  $p_r^2$  is the smallest composite number that satisfies that  $T(k)$  and  $p_r^2$  are relatively prime,  $l - T$  is a prime number.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *If  $p$  is a prime and  $k > 3$ , then*

- (1) *if  $p \in [T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$ , then  $p$  has the form  $T + b$  where  $b$  is a prime.*
- (2) *if  $p \in (T - p_r^2, T - p_r]$ , then  $p$  has the form  $T - b$  where  $b$  is a prime.*

*Proof.* We prove part (1); part (2) is similar. Suppose that  $p \in [T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$ , by Proposition 4,  $p - T$  is prime. Therefore,  $p = T + (p - T)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *The number of primes in  $[T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$  is  $O((n + 1)r^2)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the case  $n = 2k$ , the other case is similar. By Corollary 5 the number of primes in  $[T + p_r, T + p_r^2)$  is  $\pi_T(p_r^2)$  as in Theorem 3, part (1). Thus,

$$\pi_T(p_r^2) \ll \frac{p_r^2}{(\ln p_r^2)^2} \prod_{p|T} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

$$\pi_T(p_r^2) \ll \frac{p_r^2}{4(\ln p_r)^2} \prod_{t=1}^n \frac{t+1}{t} = \left(\frac{p_r}{\ln p_r}\right)^2 \frac{n+1}{4}.$$

If  $r$  tends to infinity, then by the Prime Number Theorem  $r \sim \frac{p_r}{\ln p_r}$ . This implies that  $\pi_T(p_r^2) = O(r^2(n + 1))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *The number of primes in  $(T - p_r^2, T - p_r]$  is  $O\left(\frac{T}{(\log T)^2}(n + 1)\right)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S_T(p_r)$  be the number of primes of the form  $T - l$  where  $l < p_r^2$  is prime. By Corollary 5 the number of primes in  $(T - p_r^2, T - p_r]$  is  $S_T(p_r)$ . If  $T - l$  is a prime where  $l < p_r^2$  is a prime, then  $T$  can be written as a sum of two primes. Indeed,  $T = (T - l) + l$ . This and Theorem 3, part (2), imply that

$$S_T(p_r) \leq r(T) \ll \frac{T}{(\log T)^2} \prod_{q|T} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \leq \frac{T}{(\log T)^2} \prod_{t=1}^n \left(\frac{t+1}{t}\right) = \frac{T}{(\log T)^2} (n+1).$$

This proves that  $S_T(p_r)$  is  $O\left(\frac{T}{(\log T)^2} (n+1)\right)$ . □

#### 4. Primality of $T \pm 1$

We are going to discuss whether a number of the form  $T \pm 1$  is not a prime. From Tables 4 and 1 we observe that there are few primes of the form  $T \pm 1$ . For example, in our search we have found only 6 primes of the form  $T(k) - 1$ , for  $2 \leq k \leq 2000$  (see Table 2). Table 3 shows all  $k$  values for which  $T \pm 1$  is prime, for  $k \leq 2000$ . Note that  $T(2000) \sim 1.59 \times 10^{12072}$ .

Propositions 8, 9 and 10 prove that there are infinitely many  $k$  such that  $T \pm 1$  is not a prime. These results give rise to another question. Are there infinitely many primes of the form  $T \pm 1$ ? We now formally state the propositions.

**Proposition 8.** *If  $p > 7$  is a prime number with  $p$  equal to either  $2k + 1$  or  $2k + 3$ , then*

(1)  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  if and only if  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) + 1$ .

(2)  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  if and only if  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) - 1$ .

*Proof.* We suppose that  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and prove that  $p$  divides  $T(k) + 1$ . If  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , then

$$(2k)! = \left(2 \frac{p-1}{2}\right)! = (p-1)!$$

Therefore, by Wilson's theorem  $(2k)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , by the law of quadratic reciprocity 2 is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ . Therefore, by Euler's criterion  $2^k = 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This and Proposition 2 imply that

$$T(k) = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} = \frac{(p-1)!}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,  $p$  divides  $T(k) + 1$ .

We suppose that  $p = T(k) + 1$ . That is,

$$p = T(k) + 1 = \frac{(p-1)!}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} + 1.$$

Therefore,  $(p-1)! = (p-1)2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . This implies that  $(p-2)! = 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . That is a contradiction. This proves that  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) + 1$ .

We now suppose that  $k = \frac{p-3}{2}$ . Since

$$T(k) = \frac{(p-3)!}{2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} = \frac{(p-3)!(-2)(-1)}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(2^{-1})(2)},$$

$$\frac{(p-3)!}{2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} \equiv \frac{(p-3)!(p-2)(p-1)}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,  $p$  divides  $T(k) + 1$ . If  $p = T(k) + 1$ , then

$$p - 1 = \frac{(p-3)!}{2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}}. \tag{1}$$

Since  $p > 7$ ,  $p - 3 = 2t$  for some  $t \geq 4$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (p-3)! &= (2t)! &= 2 \cdot 4 \dots (2t) \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \dots (2t-1) \\ &= 2^t(1 \cdot 2 \dots t) \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \dots (2t-1)) \\ &= 2^t \cdot t! \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \dots (2t-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(p-3)!/2^t = t! \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \dots (2t-1))$ . This, (1) and  $p - 3 = 2t$  imply that  $2(t+1) = t! \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \dots (2t-1))$ . That is a contradiction, since  $2(t+1) < t!$  for  $t \geq 4$ . This proves that  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) + 1$ .

Conversely, we assume that  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) + 1$  and prove that  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . We suppose that  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Since  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) + 1$ ,  $T(k) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . So,  $(2k)! \equiv -2^k \pmod{p}$ . Therefore,  $(p-1)! \equiv -2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$ . This and the Wilson's theorem imply that  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . By the law of quadratic reciprocity 2 is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ . This implies that  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ .

We now suppose that  $k = \frac{p-3}{2}$ . Since  $p$  divides  $T(k) + 1$ ,  $T(k) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . So,  $(2k)! \equiv -2^k \pmod{p}$ . Therefore,  $\left(2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\right)! \equiv -2^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \pmod{p}$ . Thus,

$$(p-3)!(p-2)(p-1) \equiv -2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}(-2)(-1) \pmod{p}.$$

This implies that

$$(p-1)! \equiv -2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(2^{-1})(-2)(-1) \pmod{p}.$$

Since  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This implies that  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ .



Proof of part (2). We prove that  $p$  divides  $T(k) - 1$ . Suppose that  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ . Wilson's theorem and  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$  imply that  $(2k)! \equiv -1 \pmod p$ . Since  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ , by the quadratic reciprocity law, 2 is not a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ . Therefore, by Euler's criterion,  $2^k = 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod p$ . This implies that  $T(k) \equiv 1 \pmod p$ . So,  $p$  divides  $T(k) - 1$ . We suppose  $p = T(k) - 1$ . That is,  $p = \frac{(p-1)!}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} - 1$ . So,  $(p-1)! = (p+1)2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . That is a contradiction.

If  $k = \frac{p-3}{2}$ , then

$$T(k) = \frac{(p-3)!}{2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} \equiv \frac{(p-3)!(p-2)(p-1)}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \equiv 1 \pmod p.$$

So, the proof follows as above, proving that  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T(k) - 1$ .

We prove that  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ . Suppose that  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Since  $p$  divides  $T(k) - 1$ ,  $T(k) \equiv 1 \pmod p$ . So,  $(2k)! \equiv 2^k \pmod p$ . Therefore,  $(p-1)! \equiv 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod p$ . This and Wilson's theorem imply that  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod p$ . By the law of quadratic reciprocity, 2 is not a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ . This implies that  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ .

We now suppose that  $k = \frac{p-3}{2}$ . Since  $p$  divides  $T(k) - 1$ ,  $T(k) \equiv 1 \pmod p$ . So,  $(2k)! \equiv 2^k \pmod p$ . Therefore,  $\left(2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\right)! \equiv 2^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \pmod p$ . Thus,

$$(p-3)!(p-2)(p-1) \equiv 2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}(-2)(-1) \pmod p.$$

This implies that  $(p-1)! \equiv 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(2^{-1})(-2)(-1) \pmod p$ . This and Wilson's theorem imply that  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod p$ . Thus,  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *If  $p > 3$  is a prime number with  $p = 2k + 3$ , then*

- (1)  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$  if and only if  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T'(k) - 1$ .
- (2)  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$  if and only if  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $T'(k) + 1$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of parts (1) and (2) are similar to the proofs of Proposition 8, parts (1) and (2), respectively.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number such that  $p = 4k + 1$ . Then  $p \equiv 5 \pmod 8$  if and only if  $p$  is a proper divisor of either  $T(k) + 1$  or  $T(k) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$ . Obviously,

$$(p-1)! = (1)(p-1)(2)(p-2) \dots \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(p - \frac{p-1}{2}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$(p - 1)! \equiv (1)(-1)(2)(-2) \dots \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

So,

$$(p - 1)! \equiv \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

Since  $p = 4k + 1$ ,  $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 1$ . These and Wilson's theorem imply that

$$\left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \tag{2}$$

We now prove that  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  if and only if  $p$  is a proper divisor of either  $T(k) - 1$  or  $T(k) + 1$ .

$$(T(k))^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } \left[\frac{(2k)!}{2^k}\right]^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } \frac{\left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2}{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

This and (2), imply that

$$(T(k))^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}.$$

Since  $p = 4k + 1$ ,  $(T(k))^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

It is easy to see that if  $p$  is a divisor of either  $T(k) + 1$  or  $T(k) - 1$ , then  $p$  is a proper divisor of either  $T(k) + 1$  or  $T(k) - 1$ , respectively.  $\square$

**5. Tables**

k	$T(k) - q =$ prime or 1	$T'(k) - q =$ prime or 1
2	$6 - 5 = 1$	$15 - 13 = 2$
3	$90 - 89 = 1$	$315 - 313 = 2$
4	$2520 - 2503 = 17$	$11340 - 11329 = 11$
5	$113400 - 113383 = 17$	$623700 - 623699 = 1$
6	$7484400 - 7484383 = 17$	$48648600 - 48648583 = 17$
7	$681080400 - 681080383 = 17$	$5108103000 - 5108102983 = 17$
8	$81729648000 - 81729647983 = 17$	$694702008000 - 694702007959 = 41$
9	$12504636144000 - 12504636143963 = 37$	$118794043368000 - 118794043367959 = 41$

Table 1: Some primes of the form  $T - q$ .

k	Primes of the form $T(k) - 1$ for $1 < k \leq 2000$
2	5
3	89
56	274017871895886614355245021851226872507509096980847975994844266521420 29924543150032469649484554965935628461823103365296621138763556226647 0399999999999999999999999999999999
92	450018843569393882276227680596716006487089310681842539412514262048834 586837442952353379844205073472685159662546130153568890072873003795362 844451732581991505888011382020736335842085227184693441046947485669624 634485050019491730954221690926915254316208777513302761668607999999999 9999999999999999999999999999999999
162	391548904515671716051346787260500894329100804861599843863236605693157 753938515286639559527448080180307092749222111738171154934229102563766 290007325839516166193652888106370272813680446264582621040916668979828 580909916493415772072696168113862960117719779637815600306771585482508 107493783060331912640281361853801867542860886655307894329862579460676 242332750442838738797300511969290692778986492294540611691256473129914 302664438196211535426598076748503430292272338133961040599560472739917 745073510746720620786978825877351293154441445603700969180904816639999 999 99999
170	340835263800046398325677066929789037599272966910781694237134220511694 592407221674541257352326694161941173174852612734995048749948298785427 864201761896754518975857870525407100505502667584445509342421176972834 591260193220046550390720555465344872560673854426589683541035239901055 283433221132729908219748626265401668191417034808684514905620110985521 966631215768857310684931442273323569549523637187288201582664169777656 534508255699021660672565431211046992785044507318407554205409308573862 694583409249597473614199749407605708422218605584741173228268059043735 7667360308440198837539595878399999999999999999999999999999999999999 999

Table 2: Some primes of the form  $T(k) - 1$ .

Form	$k$ values for which $T \pm 1$ is prime	Search limit
$T(k) + 1$	2, 4, 6, 70, 146, 448, 978	2000
$T(k) - 1$	2, 3, 56, 92, 162, 170	2900
$T'(k) + 1$	7, 16, 18, 24, 38, 44, 194, 286, 382, 895	1000
$T'(k) - 1$	5, 12, 16, 24, 41, 46, 75, 337, 904, 2485	3200

Table 3: Some  $k$  values for which  $T \pm 1$  is prime.

k	$Q - T(k) = \text{prime or } 1$	$Q - T'(k) = \text{prime or } 1$
2	$7 - 6 = 1$	$17 - 15 = 2$
3	$97 - 90 = 7$	$317 - 315 = 2$
4	$2521 - 2520 = 1$	$11351 - 11340 = 11$
5	$113417 - 113400 = 17$	$623717 - 623700 = 17$
6	$7484401 - 7484400 = 1$	$48648617 - 48648600 = 17$
7	$681080429 - 681080400 = 29$	$5108103001 - 5108103000 = 1$
8	$81729648019 - 81729648000 = 19$	$694702008041 - 694702008000 = 41$
9	$12504636144029 - 12504636144000 = 29$	$118794043368047 - 118794043368000 = 47$

Table 4: Some primes of the form  $Q - T$ .

**Acknowledgment** The authors are indebted to Florian Luca, for his comments that helped to improve the paper. We also thank A. Castaño for inspiring us to work on this problem.

**References**

[1] H. Cramer, On the order of magnitude of the differences between consecutive prime numbers, *Acta. Arith.* **2** (1937), 23-46.

[2] R. Flórez, Advanced Problem H-662, *Fibonacci Quart.* **45** (2007), 376.

[3] R. Flórez, Solution to Advanced Problem H-662, *Fibonacci Quart.* **46/47** (2008/09), 379.

[4] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*. Springer, New York, 2004.

[5] S. W. Golomb, The Evidence for Fortune’s Conjecture. *Mathematics Magazine*, **54** (1981), 209–210.

[6] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory*. Springer, New York, 1996.