

# A COMBINATORIAL PROOF OF A RECURSIVE FORMULA FOR MULTIPARTITIONS

Oleg Lazarev<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA olazarev@princeton.edu

Holly Swisher<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA swisherh@math.oregonstate.edu

Received: 4/27/11, Revised: 6/25/11, Accepted: 8/22/11, Published: 9/19/11

#### Abstract

For  $k \ge 1$ , let  $p_k(n)$  count the number of k-component multipartitions of a nonnegative integer n, and let  $\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$  be the usual divisor function. In this paper, we give a combinatorial proof of the recursive formula

$$p_k(n) = \frac{k}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n p_k(n-r)\sigma(r),$$

both for  $k \ge 1$ , where  $p_k(n)$  is defined as above, and also for k < 0, which requires a subtler approach.

This formula was used by Gandhi in 1963 to prove several theorems, which yield numerous Ramanujan type congruences for  $p_k(n)$ , including some well-known congruences for Ramanujan's  $\tau$ -function.

#### 1. Introduction

The subject of partitions has a long fascinating history, including connections to several areas of mathematics, and mathematical physics (see [5], [3] for a glimpse into some of this history). In particular, the generalization of partitions to k-component multipartitions (also known as k-colored partitions) has been a rich subject in its own right (see [6] for a nice survey of this area). We begin by reviewing partitions and multipartitions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0852030.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0852030.

# 1.1. Partitions

We recall that a *partition* of a positive integer n is defined to be a nonincreasing sequence of positive integers called *parts* that sum to n (these are often written as a sum). For n = 0 we consider the empty set the unique "empty partition" of 0. We write  $\lambda \vdash n$  to denote that  $\lambda$  is a partition of n, and also say that  $\lambda$  has *size* n, written  $|\lambda| = n$ . For example, the following gives all the partitions  $\lambda \vdash 5$ :

$$5 = 4 + 1 = 3 + 2 = 3 + 1 + 1 = 2 + 2 + 1 = 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1.$$

A partition  $\lambda \vdash n$  with parts  $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_k$  can be represented graphically by a *Ferrers diagram*  $F(\lambda)$ , which consists of a left-justified array of n cells, where the *i*th row contains  $l_i$  cells corresponding to the *i*th part of  $\lambda$ . We refer to each cell in  $F(\lambda)$  with an ordered pair (i, j) representing the position of the cell in the *i*th row and *j*th column of  $F(\lambda)$ . For example, the Ferrers diagram of the partition 2+2+1of 5 with marked cell (2, 1) is given by the following.



We define the *partition function* p(n) to count the total number of partitions of n. In order to define p(n) on all integers we make the further definition that p(n) = 0when n < 0. We see from our example above that p(5) = 7.

The generating function for p(n) has the following infinite product form due to Euler,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)}.$$

One of the most celebrated results in partition theory is the following list of Ramanujan's congruences for p(n). For all integers  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$
  

$$p(7n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$
  

$$p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

Work of Ono and Ahlgren [12], [4], [1] has shown that for any m coprime to 6 there exist infinitely many nonnested arithmetic progressions for which  $p(an+b) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . However, it has been shown by Ahlgren and Boylan [2] that the three Ramanujan congruences above are the only congruences for p(n) of the form

$$p(qn+b) \equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

for q prime.

# 1.2. Multipartitions

Partitions can be easily generalized in the following way. We define a *k*-component multipartition of a positive integer n to be a k-tuple of partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\lambda_i| = n.$$

We write  $\lambda \vdash_k n$  if  $\lambda$  is a k-component multipartition of n. The following gives all the multipartitions  $\lambda \vdash_2 3$ :

 $(3, \emptyset), (2+1, \emptyset), (1+1+1, \emptyset), (2, 1), (1+1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 1+1), (\emptyset, 3), (\emptyset, 2+1), (\emptyset, 1+1+1).$ 

We define  $p_k(n)$  to count the number of k-component multipartitions of n, again defining  $p_k(0) = 1$  and  $p_k(n) = 0$  for n < 0. We note that ordering does matter in this definition, so a rearrangement of distinct  $\lambda_i$  yields a distinct multipartition. In addition, we note that since the empty set is a partition of 0, some  $\lambda_i$  may equal  $\emptyset$ . From our example above, we see that  $p_2(3) = 10$ .

The generating function for  $p_k(n)$  is seen to follow from the generating function for p(n) by taking the kth power. Namely,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n) q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)^k}.$$
(1)

For this reason, multipartitions are often referred to as *powers of the partition function*.

This generating function provides a definition of  $p_k(n)$  for k < 0. We will give a combinatorial interpretation of  $p_k(n)$  for these cases in Section 4.

### 1.3. Congruences for Multipartitions

Much work has been done on the study of Ramanujan type congruences for multipartitions. This can be seen in papers by Andrews [6], Atkin [7], Kiming and Olsson [10], Serre [13], Newman [11], Boylan [8], and Gandhi [9], to name a few.

For example, in [9], Gandhi establishes several theorems which yield numerous Ramanujan type congruences for  $p_k(n)$ , for various k. For example,

$$p_{6}(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p_{8}(7n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$p_{12}(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11},$$

$$p_{-4}(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p_{-6}(7n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$p_{-10}(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

These theorems also yield some well-known congruences for Ramanujan's  $\tau$ -function, due to the fact that  $\tau(n) = p_{-24}(n-1)$ .

These results stem from the following important recursive formula for  $p_k(n)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Fix an integer  $k \neq 0$ . For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , we have that

$$p_k(n) = \frac{k}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n p_k(n-r)\sigma(r),$$

where  $\sigma(r) = \sum_{d|r} d$  is the usual divisor function.

Proposition 1 can be derived quickly from (1) using logarithmic differentiation. However, this sheds little light into this recursive relationship. In this paper, we give a combinatorial proof of Proposition 1 for  $k \ge 1$ , in terms of k-component multipartitions. In addition, we provide a combinatorial proof of Proposition 1 for k < 0, where a subtler interpretation is needed.

### 2. The Case k = 1

We first demonstrate the proof when k = 1, the case involving usual partitions. When k = 1, Proposition 1 states that for all integers  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$n \cdot p(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} p(n-r)\sigma(r).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

When n = 0 we see that (2) holds trivially, interpreting the empty sum as 0. Fix  $n \ge 1$ . The left hand side of (2) can be interpreted as the number of partitions of n such that exactly one square of its Ferrers diagram is marked. I.e., let

$$S_n : \{ (\lambda, (i, j)) : \lambda \vdash n, (i, j) \in F(\lambda) \}.$$

For example, the element (3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1, (3, 2)) of  $S_{10}$  is represented by the following.

Since each partition  $\lambda$  of n contains exactly n squares in its Ferrers diagram, we see that

$$|S_n| = n \cdot p(n).$$

To interpret the right hand side, we first consider each summand separately. For each  $1 \le r \le n$ , let

 $T_{n,r} := \{ (\lambda, \mu, j) : \lambda \vdash n - r, \ \mu \vdash r, \ \mu \text{ rectangular}, \ j \text{ a column of } F(\mu) \},\$ 

where when we say  $\mu$  is rectangular, we mean that all parts of  $\mu$  are equal, so that  $F(\mu)$  has a rectangular shape.

For example, (3 + 2 + 1, 2 + 2, 2) is an element of  $T_{10,4}$ . It is represented by the following pair.



Notice that for each rectangular partition  $\mu$  of r, the number of columns of  $\mu$  is a distinct divisor of r. Thus, the total number of columns of rectangular partitions  $\mu$  of r is  $\sigma(r)$ . Thus we see that  $|T_{n,r}| = p(n-r)\sigma(r)$ .

Since the  $T_{n,r}$  are clearly disjoint for distinct r, if we define  $T_n := \bigcup_{r=1}^n T_{n,r}$ , then

$$|T_n| = \sum_{r=1}^n p(n-r)\sigma(r).$$

We thus have a combinatorial interpretation for the right hand side of (2).

**Example 2.** Let n = 3. Then  $S_3$  contains the following 9 elements

$$S_{3} = \{(3, (1, 1)), (3, (1, 2)), (3, (1, 3)), (2 + 1, (1, 1)), (2 + 1, (1, 2)), (2 + 1, (2, 1)), (1 + 1 + 1, (1, 1)), (1 + 1 + 1, (2, 1)), (1 + 1 + 1, (3, 1))\},\$$

which correspond to the following Ferrers diagrams:

				Ε

In addition,  $T_3$  contains the following 9 elements

$$T_3 = \{(2,1,1), (1+1,1,1), (1,2,1), (1,2,2), (1,1+1,1), (\emptyset,3,1), (\emptyset,3,2), (\emptyset,3,3), (\emptyset,1+1+1,1)\}$$

which correspond to the following pairs of Ferrers diagrams.



Here the first two are in  $T_{3,1}$ , the next three are in  $T_{3,2}$ , and the last four are in  $T_{3,3}$ .

We prove (2) by constructing a bijection  $\Phi_n : S_n \to T_n$ . We can describe the map  $\Phi_n$  most easily by stating what it does to an element of  $S_n$  in terms of Ferrers diagrams.

Consider an arbitrary element of  $S_n$ , say  $(\lambda, (i, j))$ . The marked square (i, j) lies in a particular row of  $F(\lambda)$ , i.e., in a particular part of  $\lambda$  of size s. More specifically, (i, j) lies in the *m*th occurrence of the part s in  $\lambda$ .

For example, in the element  $(3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1, (3, 2)) \in S_{10}$ , the marked square (3, 2) lies in the second occurrence of the part 2 in  $\lambda = 3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1$ .



The map  $\Phi$  separates the first m occurrences of the part s to form a rectangular partition  $\mu = s + s + \cdots + s$ , where the part s is repeated m times. Since ms squares were removed from  $F(\lambda)$ ,  $|\mu| = ms$ . The resulting partition  $\lambda'$  that remains after the m copies of s are removed must then satisfy  $|\lambda'| = n - ms$ . Finally, we mark the *j*th column of  $\mu$  in correspondence to the marking of the square (i, j) that was used to create  $\mu$ . In this way, we define  $\Phi_n : S_n \to T_n$ .

**Example 3.** We've seen that for  $(3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1, (3, 2)) \in S_{10}$ , the square (3, 2) lies in the second occurrence of the part 2. Thus s = 2, and  $\mu = 2 + 2$ . Removing 2 + 2 from  $\lambda$  leaves  $\lambda' = 3 + 2 + 1$ . Finally, since (3, 2) was marked in  $\lambda$ , we mark column 2 in  $\mu$ . Thus we have that  $\Phi_{10}(3+2+2+2+1, (3, 2)) = (3+2+1, 2+2, 2)$ .



It is easy to see that the map  $\Phi_n$  is invertible, because this process is completely reversible. If we start with an element  $(\lambda, \mu, j) \in T_n$ , then by our construction of  $T_n, (\lambda', \mu, j) \in T_{n,k}$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . We can thus simply insert the rectangular partition  $\mu = s + s + \cdots + s$  of k = ms into the partition  $\lambda'$  after any parts of size greater than s, and before any parts of size s or less. This creates a new partition  $\lambda$ , and since  $|\lambda'| = n - k$ , we have that  $|\lambda| = n$ . The last square of the marked column of  $\mu$  now becomes the marked (i, j) square of  $\lambda$ .

Thus  $\Phi_n$  is a bijection, and we have established our combinatorial proof of (2).

# 3. The Case k > 1

In this section, we generalize the ideas from Section 2 to the case when k > 1, the case involving k-component multipartitions. When k > 1, Proposition 1 states that for all integers  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$n \cdot p_k(n) = k \sum_{r=1}^n p_k(n-r)\sigma(r).$$
(3)

INTEGERS: 11 (2011)

Again, when n = 0 we see that (3) holds trivially. Fix  $n \ge 1$ .

A k-component multipartition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$  of n can also be viewed as a partition of n for which each part is allowed to be one of k colors, one color for each component. We then must not count rearrangements of colors, so if we label the k colors by  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ , we require repeated parts to occur in nondecreasing colors. Such a partition is called a k-colored partition of n. Thus we will write  $\lambda \vdash_k n$  to denote both that  $\lambda$  is a k-component multipartition of n and also that  $\lambda$  is a k-colored partition of n multipartitions in this way. In addition, we will now use colors for parts in our Ferrers diagrams, so we will mark individual cells or columns with crossed lines.

**Example 4.** The following gives all the 2-colored partitions of 3 (we use boldface to denote the second color):

3, 3, 2+1, 2+1, 2+1, 2+1, 1+1+1, 1+1+1, 1+1+1, 1+1+1, 1+1+1.

This corresponds to listing the 2-component multipartitions of 3 from our example in Section 1.2 in the following order:

 $(3, \emptyset), (\emptyset, 3), (2+1, \emptyset), (2, 1), (1, 2), (\emptyset, 2+1), (1+1+1, \emptyset), (1+1, 1), (1, 1+1), (\emptyset, 1+1+1).$ 

For each color  $k \geq 1$ , define

$$S_{k,n} := \{ (\lambda, (i, j)) : \lambda \vdash_k n, (i, j) \in F_k(\lambda) \}.$$

For example,  $(3+2+2+2+1, (3, 2)) \in S_{2,10}$  is represented by the following (where shading represents the second color).



Since each k-colored partition  $\lambda$  of n contains exactly n squares in its Ferrers diagram, we see that

$$|S_{k,n}| = np_k(n).$$

In addition, for each  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , let

 $T_{k,n,r} := \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) : \lambda' \vdash_k n - r, \ \mu \vdash_k r \text{ rectangular with all parts} \\ \text{color } c, \ j \text{ a column of } F(\mu) \}.$ 

For example,  $(\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + 1, \mathbf{2}, 2, 2) \in T_{2,10,2}$  is represented by the following.

Г				٦.
		Ι,	,	- 1
				_

As we noted in Section 2, the total number of columns of rectangular partitions  $\mu$  of r is  $\sigma(r)$ . Hence the total number of columns of rectangular partitions  $\mu$  of r with all parts a single color is  $k\sigma(r)$ . Therefore, we see that  $|T_{k,n,r}| = p_k(n-r) \cdot k\sigma(r)$ . Since the  $T_{k,n,r}$  are clearly disjoint for distinct r, if we define  $T_{k,n} := \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} T_{k,n,r}$ , then

$$|T_{k,n}| = \sum_{r=1}^{n} p_k(n-r) \cdot k\sigma(r) = k \sum_{r=1}^{n} p_k(n-r)\sigma(r).$$

We thus have a combinatorial interpretation for the right hand side of (3).

As in Section 2, we prove (3) by constructing a bijection  $\Phi_{k,n} : S_{k,n} \to T_{k,n}$ . This map is constructed in an analogous way to the  $\Phi_n$  in Section 2, however there is one main difference. When removing parts of size *s* from our *k*-colored partition  $\lambda$  of *n*, we remove the first *m* occurrences of the part *s* that are the same color as the part in which the marked square (i, j) lies.

**Example 5.** For  $(\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{2} + 1, (3, 2)) \in S_{2,10}$ , although the cell (3, 2) lies in the second occurrence of the part 2, it lies in the first occurrence of the part 2 with color 2. Thus, s = 2,  $\mu = \mathbf{2}$ , and removing  $\mu$  from  $\lambda = \mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$  leaves  $\lambda' = \mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$ . Thus we have that  $\Phi_{2,10}(\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}, (3, 2)) = (\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 2)$ .



As with  $\Phi_n$  in Section 2, it is easy to see that the map  $\Phi_{k,n}$  is invertible, because this process is completely reversible. If we start with an element  $(\lambda', \mu, j, r) \in T_{k,n}$ , then by our construction of  $T_{k,n}$ ,  $(\lambda', \mu, j, r) \in T_{k,n,r}$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . We can thus simply insert the rectangular partition  $\mu = s + s + \cdots + s$  of k = ms into the partition  $\lambda'$  after any parts of size greater than s, and before any parts of size s or less, so that the color is in the appropriate order. This creates a new partition  $\lambda$ , and since  $|\lambda'| = n - k$ , we have that  $|\lambda| = n$ . The last square of the marked column of  $\mu$  now becomes the marked (i, j) square of  $\lambda$ .

Thus  $\Phi_{k,n}$  is a bijection, and we have established our combinatorial proof of (3).

#### 4. The Case k < 0

When k < 0, we do not have the definition of  $p_k(n)$  as the number of k-component multipartitions of n. However, considering the generating function for  $p_k(n)$  as defined for  $k \ge 1$  in (1), we define  $p_{-k}(n)$  for all -k < 0 and  $n \ge 0$ , by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{-k}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^k.$$

**Example 6.** Let k = 2 represent two colors, where as before we use boldface to denote the second color. Then

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{-2}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^2 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)(1-q^n) \\ &= 1-q^1-q^1+q^{1+1}-q^2-q^2+q^{1+2}+q^{1+2}+q^{1+2}+q^{1+2}-q^3-q^3-q^3-q^{1+1+2}-\cdots \\ &= (1+q^{1+1}+q^{1+2}+q^{1+2}+q^{1+2}+q^{1+2}+\cdots)-(q^1+q^1+q^2+q^2+q^3+q^3+q^{1+1+2}+\cdots). \end{split}$$

So for example,  $p_{-2}(3) = 4 - 2 = 2$ .

We see then that we can give  $p_{-k}(n)$  a combinatorial interpretation in the following way. Define  $p_{-k}^{\text{even}}(n)$  to count the number of k-colored partitions of n into an even number of parts, where common parts are distinctly colored (thus each part can occur at most k times). Likewise, define  $p_{-k}^{\text{odd}}(n)$  to count the number of k-colored partitions of n into an odd number of parts, where common parts are distinctly colored. For short, if a partition has common parts distinctly colored we will call the parts *cpd-colored*. Then for all  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$p_{-k}(n) = p_{-k}^{\text{even}}(n) - p_{-k}^{\text{odd}}(n)$$

When -k < 0, Proposition 1 becomes for all integers  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$n \cdot p_{-k}(n) = -k \sum_{r=1}^{n} p_{-k}(n-r)\sigma(r).$$
(4)

Again, when n = 0 we see that (4) holds trivially. Fix  $n \ge 1$ . To interpret the left hand side, we define

 $\begin{array}{lll} SD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}} & := & \{(\lambda,(i,j)): \lambda \vdash_k n \text{ into an even number of cpd-colored parts}, \, (i,j) \in F_k(\lambda)\}, \\ SD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}} & := & \{(\lambda,(i,j)): \lambda \vdash_k n \text{ into an odd number of cpd-colored parts}, \, (i,j) \in F_k(\lambda)\}. \end{array}$ 

For example,  $(\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + 1)$  shown below is an element of  $SD_{2.8}^{\text{even}}$ .



$$n \cdot p_{-k}(n) = |SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| - |SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|.$$

In addition, for each  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , let

 $TD_{k,n,r}^{\text{even}} := \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) : \lambda' \vdash_k n - r \text{ into an even number of cpd-colored parts}, \\ \mu \vdash_k r \text{ rectangular with all parts color } c, j \text{ a column of } F(\mu) \},$ 

 $TD_{k,n,r}^{\text{odd}} := \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) : \lambda' \vdash_k n - r \text{ into an odd number of cpd-colored parts}, \\ \mu \vdash_k r \text{ rectangular with all parts color } c, j \text{ a column of } F(\mu) \}.$ 

For example,  $(\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + 1, \mathbf{2}, 2, 2)$  shown below is an element of  $TD_{2.8.2}^{\text{even}}$ .



As we noted in Section 3, the total number of columns of rectangular partitions  $\mu$  of r with all parts a single color is  $k\sigma(r)$ . Therefore, we see that  $|TD_{k,n,r}^{\text{even}}| = p_{-k}^{\text{even}}(n-r) \cdot k\sigma(r)$ , and  $|TD_{k,n,r}^{\text{odd}}| = p_{-k}^{\text{odd}}(n-r) \cdot k\sigma(r)$ . Since the  $TD_{k,n,r}^{\text{even}}$ , and  $TD_{k,n,r}^{\text{odd}}$  are clearly disjoint for distinct r, if we define  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} := \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} T_{k,n,r}^{\text{even}}$  and  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} := \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} T_{k,n,r}^{\text{odd}}$ , then

$$|TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = k \sum_{r=1}^{n} p_{-k}^{\text{even}}(n-r)\sigma(r),$$
$$|TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}| = k \sum_{r=1}^{n} p_{-k}^{\text{odd}}(n-r)\sigma(r).$$

Thus

$$-k\sum_{r=1}^{n} p_{-k}(n-r)\sigma(r) = -k\sum_{r=1}^{n} \left( p_{-k}^{\text{even}}(n) - p_{-k}^{\text{odd}}(n) \right)\sigma(r) = |TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}| - |TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}|,$$

and we have a combinatorial interpretation for the right hand side of (4).

We will now prove (4) by showing that

$$|TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}| - |TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = |SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| - |SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|.$$
(5)

We note that it is not the case that  $|TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}| = |SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}|$  and  $|TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = |SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|$ . For example,  $|SD_{2,2}^{\text{even}}| = 2$ , since the only partition of 2 into an even number of parts is 1 + 1, so the only 2-colored partition of 2 with cpd-colored parts is 1 + 1. Marking the cells in the Ferrers diagram yields the following elements in  $SD_{2,2}^{\text{even}}$ .



However,  $TD_{2,2}^{\text{odd}} = 4$ . This can be seen by first observing that  $TD_{2,2,2}^{\text{odd}}$  is empty, since there are no partitions of zero into an odd number of parts. Thus  $TD_{2,2}^{\text{odd}} = TD_{2,2,1}^{\text{odd}}$ , and as both  $\lambda'$  and  $\mu$  must partition 1, the four elements are given by the following.



Thus, in order to prove (5), we need more care than in previous sections. We first notice that  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$ ,  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  are subsets of  $T_{k,n}$ , and  $SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$ ,  $SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  are subsets of  $S_{k,n}$ . Thus we can consider the inverse of our map from Section 3,  $\Phi_k^{-1}: T_{k,n} \to S_{k,n}$  restricted to the sets  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$ , and  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ . We use  $\Phi_k^{-1}$  to partition the sets  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$ , and  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ . In particular, we define

$$\begin{array}{lll} E_{k,n}^{\text{even}} & := & \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} : \Phi_k^{-1}((\lambda', \mu, j, c)) \in SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \}, \\ O_{k,n}^{\text{even}} & := & \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} : \Phi_k^{-1}((\lambda', \mu, j, c)) \in SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \}, \\ B_{k,n}^{\text{even}} & := & \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} : \Phi_k^{-1}((\lambda', \mu, j, c)) \notin SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \}, \end{array}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{split} E_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} &:= \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} : \Phi_k^{-1}((\lambda', \mu, j, c)) \in SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \}, \\ O_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} &:= \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} : \Phi_k^{-1}((\lambda', \mu, j, c)) \in SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \}, \\ B_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} &:= \{ (\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} : \Phi_k^{-1}((\lambda', \mu, j, c)) \notin SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \}. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} = E_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup O_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup B_{k,n}^{\text{even}},$$
  
$$TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} = E_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \cup O_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \cup B_{k,n}^{\text{odd}},$$

and these unions are disjoint.

# **Lemma 7.** The sets $E_{k,n}^{even}$ and $O_{k,n}^{odd}$ are empty.

Proof. Recall that elements  $(\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in T_{k,n}$  have the property that the parts of  $\mu$  are all of the same color, c. Thus, if  $\Phi_k^{-1}$  is to map  $(\lambda', \mu, j, c)$  into  $SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\mu$  must contain at most one part to ensure cpd-colored parts. Since  $\mu$  is a partition of  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\mu$  must then contain exactly one part. Thus by the construction of the map  $\Phi_k$ , the number of parts in  $\lambda'$  must increase by 1 when combined with  $\mu$  to form  $\lambda$ . So it will never be the case that  $\Phi_k^{-1}$  maps an element of  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$  to  $SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$ , or an element of  $TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  to  $SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ , and we have established the lemma.

Since the map  $\Phi_k$  is a bijection it follows from Lemma 7 that the restrictions  $\Phi_k^{-1}: O_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \to SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  and  $\Phi_k^{-1}: E_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \to SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$  are bijections. Thus  $|O_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| =$ 

INTEGERS: 11 (2011)

$$\begin{split} |SD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}}|, \, |E_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}}| &= |SD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}}|, \, \mathrm{and} \text{ we have that} \\ |TD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}}| - |TD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}}| &= |SD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}}| - |SD_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}}| + |B_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}}| - |B_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}}|. \end{split}$$

It remains only to show the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** The sets  $B_{k,n}^{odd}$  and  $B_{k,n}^{even}$  have the same cardinality.

*Proof.* In order for an element  $(\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in TD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ , with  $\mu \vdash r$  containing m parts of size s and color c, to be in the subset  $B_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ , one of two things must happen. Either  $\lambda'$  already includes a part of size s and color c, so that  $\Phi_k^{-1}(\lambda', \mu, j, c) \notin SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  for any value of m, or  $\lambda'$  has no parts of size s and color c, but  $m \geq 2$ , so that  $\Phi_k^{-1}(\lambda', \mu, j, c) \notin SD_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup SD_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ . With this in mind, define

$$\begin{array}{lll} BY_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}} & := & \{(\lambda',\mu,j,c) \in B_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}} : \lambda' \text{ has a part of size } s, \, \mathrm{color} \ c\}, \\ BN_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}} & := & \{(\lambda',\mu,j,c) \in B_{k,n}^{\mathrm{even}} : \lambda' \text{ has no part of size } s, \, \mathrm{color} \ c\}, \end{array}$$

and

 $\begin{array}{ll} BY_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}} & := & \{(\lambda',\mu,j,c) \in B_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}} : \lambda' \text{ has a part of size } s, \, \mathrm{color} \, c\}, \\ BN_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}} & := & \{(\lambda',\mu,j,c) \in B_{k,n}^{\mathrm{odd}} : \lambda' \text{ has no part of size } s, \, \mathrm{color} \, c\}. \end{array}$ 

Thus, since  $B_{k,n}^{\text{even}} = BY_{k,n}^{\text{even}} \cup BN_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$  and  $B_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} = BY_{k,n}^{\text{odd}} \cup BN_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  are disjoint unions, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| &= |BY_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| + |BN_{k,n}^{\text{even}}|, \text{ and} \\ |B_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}| &= |BY_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}| + |BN_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that  $|BY_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = |BN_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|$  and  $|BN_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = |BY_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|$ , thus establishing the lemma.

Let  $(\lambda', \mu, j, c) \in BY_{k,n}^{\text{even}}$ . Then  $\lambda'$  is a partition of n - r into an even number of parts, and  $\mu$  is a partition of r = ms into m parts of size s and color c. We can modify  $(\lambda', \mu, j, c)$  by removing the part of size s and color c from  $\lambda'$  that must exist by definition, and attaching it to  $\mu$ . For example, the following illustrates this process for the element  $(\mathbf{3} + 2 + \mathbf{2} + 1, \mathbf{2}, 2, 2) \in BY_{2,10}^{\text{even}}$ .



We then obtain an element  $(\lambda'', \mu', j, c)$  where  $\lambda''$  is now a partition of n - (m - 1)sinto an odd number of parts, that contains no part of size s and color c, and  $\mu'$  is now a partition of (m + 1)s with m + 1 parts of size s and color c. Thus  $(\lambda'', \mu', j, c) \in BN_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ , because  $m + 1 \ge 2$ . This process is reversible, since any element of  $(\lambda'', \mu', j, c) \in BN_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$  must have the property that  $\mu'$  contains at least two parts. Thus  $|BY_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = |BN_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|$ , and with the same process applied to  $BY_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}$ , we see that  $|BN_{k,n}^{\text{even}}| = |BY_{k,n}^{\text{odd}}|$ .

#### References

- Scott Ahlgren. Distribution of the partition function modulo composite integers M. Math. Ann. 318 (2000), 795–803.
- [2] Scott Ahlgren and Matthew Boylan. Arithmetic properties of the partition function. Invent. Math. 153 (2003), 487–502.
- [3] Scott Ahlgren and Ken Ono. Addition and counting: the arithmetic of partitions. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (2001), 978–984.
- [4] Scott Ahlgren and Ken Ono. Congruence properties for the partition function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98 (2001), 12882–12884.
- [5] George E. Andrews. The theory of partitions. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. Reprint of the 1976 original.
- [6] George E. Andrews. A survey of multipartitions: congruences and identities. In Surveys in number theory, volume 17 of Dev. Math., pages 1–19. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [7] A. O. L. Atkin. Ramanujan congruences for  $p_{-k}(n)$ . Canad. J. Math. **20** (1968), 67-78; corrigendum, in **21** (1968).
- [8] Matthew Boylan. Exceptional congruences for powers of the partition function. Acta Arith. 111 (2004), 187–203.
- [9] J. M. Gandhi. Congruences for p<sub>r</sub> (n) and Ramanujan's τ function. Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 265–274.
- [10] Ian Kiming and Jørn B. Olsson. Congruences like Ramanujan's for powers of the partition function. Arch. Math. (Basel) 59 (1992), 348–360.
- [11] Morris Newman. Some theorems about  $p_r(n)$ . Canad. J. Math. 9 (1957), 68–70.
- [12] Ken Ono. Distribution of the partition function modulo m. Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000), 293–307.
- [13] Jean-Pierre Serre. Sur la lacunarité des puissances de  $\eta.~Glasgow~Math.~J.$  27 (1985), 203–221.