# FIRST REMARK ON A $\zeta\textsc{-analogue}$ of the stirling numbers

M. Dziemiańczuk Institute of Informatics, University of Gdańsk, Poland mdziemia@inf.ug.edu.pl

Received: 1/12/10, Revised: 10/9/10, Accepted: 12/9/10, Published: 1/31/11

#### Abstract

The so-called  $\zeta$ -analogues of the Stirling numbers of the first and second kind are considered. These numbers cover ordinary binomial and Gaussian coefficients, p, q-Stirling numbers and other combinatorial numbers studied with the help of object selection, Ferrers diagrams and rook theory.

Our generalization includes these and now also the p, q-binomial coefficients. This special subfamily of F-nomial coefficients encompasses among others, Fibonomial ones. The recurrence relations with generating functions of the  $\zeta$ -analogues are delivered here. A few examples of  $\zeta$ -analogues are presented.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{w} = \{w_i\}_{i \ge 1}$  be a vector of complex numbers  $w_i$ . The generalized Stirling numbers of the first kind  $C_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  and the second kind  $S_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  are defined as follows:

$$C_k^n(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \cdots w_{i_k},$$
  

$$S_k^n(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k \le n} w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \cdots w_{i_k}.$$
(1)

If the elements of the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}$  are positive integers then the coefficients are interpreted as a selection of k objects from k of n boxes without and with box repetition allowed, respectively. In this case the number of distinct objects in the s-th box is designated by the s-th element of the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}$ .

One shows that the numbers  $C_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  and  $S_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  cover among others, binomial coefficients, Gaussian coefficients and the Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, see for example Konvalina [6, 7]. Indeed, if we fix  $w_i = 1$ , we obtain ordinary

binomial coefficients:

$$C_k^n(\mathbf{1}) = \binom{n}{k}, \qquad S_k^n(\mathbf{1}) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}.$$

Setting  $w_i = q^{i-1}$  gives us Gaussian coefficients:

$$C_k^n(\mathbf{q}) = q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_q, \qquad S_k^n(\mathbf{q}) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}_q$$

In this note, the ordinary Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined in the following way

$$(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-nx) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k {n+1 \brack n+1-k} x^k,$$

and the second kind

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-nx)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n+k \choose n} x^{k}.$$

Letting  $\mathbf{i} = \langle 1, 2, 3, \ldots \rangle$ , i.e.,  $w_i = i$ , gives

$$C_k^n(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{bmatrix} n+1\\ n-k+1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad S_k^n(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} n+k\\ n \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{i}_{p,q} = \langle [1]_{p,q}, [2]_{p,q}, \ldots \rangle$  where  $[i]_{p,q} = \sum_{s=1}^{i} p^{i-s} q^{s-1}$ , then we obtain p, q-Stirling numbers considered by Wachs and White [12]

$$p^{\binom{n}{2}}S_k^n(\mathbf{i}_{p,q}) = \begin{Bmatrix} n+k\\n \end{Bmatrix}_{p,q},$$

which satisfy the following recursive relation

$$\binom{n}{k}_{p,q} = p^{k-1} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{p,q} + [k]_{p,q} \binom{n-1}{k}_{p,q} +$$

We refer the reader also to Wagner [13], Médicis and Leroux [11].

Notice, that the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}$  in the definition of the coefficients  $C_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  and  $S_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  is constant and independent of the number *n*. The  $\zeta$ -analogue of the Stirling numbers introduced in the next section do not require this assumption. We define the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  dependent on the number *n* and a complex number  $\zeta$ .

We show that our approach covers the well-known combinatorial numbers mentioned above and contains, e.g., Fibonomial and more general p, q-binomial coefficients [2, 3, 4] relevant with *cobweb* posets' partitions and hyper-boxes tilings considered by Kwaśniewski [9] and the present author [5].

# 2. A $\zeta$ -analogue of the Stirling Numbers

Take a vector  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  of *n* complex numbers  $w_i \zeta^{n-i}$ , where i = 1, 2, ..., n, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta) = \left\langle w_1 \zeta^{n-1}, \, w_2 \zeta^{n-2}, \, \dots, \, w_{n-1} \zeta, \, w_n \right\rangle. \tag{2}$$

We write it as  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n$  for short and denote the *i*-th element of  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n$  by  $\hat{w}_{n,i}$  or just  $\hat{w}_i$  for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We assume  $\mathbf{w}_0(\zeta) = \emptyset$  and  $\hat{w}_0 = 0$ .

It is important to notice, that the *j*-th element of  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  is not equal to the *j*-th element of  $\mathbf{w}_m(\zeta)$  while  $n \neq m$  in general. Indeed,  $w_j \zeta^{n-j} \neq w_j \zeta^{m-j}$ .

**Definition 1.** For any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  the  $\zeta$ -analogues of the Stirling numbers of the first kind  $\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  and the second kind  $\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  are defined as follows:

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k} \le n} \hat{w}_{i_{1}} \hat{w}_{i_{2}} \cdots \hat{w}_{i_{k}}, \qquad (3a)$$

$$\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k \le n} \hat{w}_{i_1} \hat{w}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{w}_{i_k}, \tag{3b}$$

with  $\hat{C}_0^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = \hat{S}_0^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = 1$  due to the empty product.

#### 2.1. Combinatorial Interpretation

If the  $\hat{w}_i$  are positive integers, the coefficients  $\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  and  $\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  denote the number of ways to select k objects from k of n boxes without box repetition allowed and with box repetition allowed, respectively. In this case, the size of the *i*-th box is designated by the *i*-th element of  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. However, all the results in this note holds for any vector  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  of complex numbers and can be proved algebraically.

**Theorem 2.** For any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = w_{n}\zeta^{k-1}\hat{C}_{k-1}^{n-1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n-1}) + \zeta^{k}\hat{C}_{k}^{n-1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n-1}),$$
(4a)

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = w_{n}\hat{S}_{k-1}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) + \zeta^{k}\hat{S}_{k}^{n-1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n-1}),$$
(4b)

where  $\hat{C}_{0}^{n}(\mathbf{\hat{w}}_{n}) = \hat{S}_{0}^{n}(\mathbf{\hat{w}}_{n}) = 1$  and  $\hat{C}_{s}^{n}(\mathbf{\hat{w}}_{n}) = 0$  for s > n,  $\hat{S}_{k}^{0}(\mathbf{\hat{w}}_{0}) = 0$  for k > 0.

*Proof.* The proof uses terms of the combinatorial interpretation of these coefficients, but still holds for any vector  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n$  of complex numbers. In point of fact, we consider the sums (3a), (3b) and only play with its summations.

Fix a natural number n and take the weight vector  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n = \langle w_1 \zeta^{n-1}, \dots, w_{n-1} \zeta, w_n \rangle$ . (a) Consider a k-selection with the last n-th box being selected  $(i_k = n)$  and not

# INTEGERS: 11 (2011)

selected  $(i_k < n)$ , respectively (repetition of boxes is not allowed)

$$\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k = n} \prod_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \zeta^{n-i_j} + \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k < n} \prod_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \zeta^{n-i_j}.$$

Observe that we can rewrite the right-hand side of the above as follows:

$$w_n \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{k-1} \le n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} w_{i_j} \zeta^{n-i_j} + \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n-1} \prod_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \zeta^{n-i_j}.$$

As we have already noticed, the vector  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n \equiv \mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  is dependent on n, and the j-th element of  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  is  $\zeta$  times as large as the j-th element of  $\mathbf{w}_{n-1}(\zeta)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . Hence

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = w_{n}\zeta^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} w_{i_{j}}\zeta^{n-1-i_{j}} + \zeta^{k} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} w_{i_{j}}\zeta^{n-1-i_{j}} = w_{n}\zeta^{k-1}\hat{C}_{k-1}^{n-1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n-1}) + \zeta^{k}\hat{C}_{k}^{n-1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n-1}).$$

(b) In the same way we prove the case with box repetition allowed.

**Notation 3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Denote by  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{(m)}$  the vector

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}^{(m)} = \langle w_{m+1}\zeta^{n-1}, w_{m+2}\zeta^{n-2}, \dots, w_{m+n-1}\zeta, w_{m+n} \rangle.$$

For m = 0 we have  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n^{(0)} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{w}}_n$ .

**Proposition 4.** For any  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n+m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \zeta^{j \cdot m} \hat{C}_{j}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) \hat{C}_{k-j}^{m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{m}^{(n)}),$$
(5a)

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{n+m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \zeta^{j \cdot m} \hat{S}_{j}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) \hat{S}_{k-j}^{m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{m}^{(n)}).$$
(5b)

*Proof.* (a) We prove the first equation (5a). Consider the left-hand side, i.e., the sum

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n+m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+m}) = \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k} \le n+m} w_{i_{1}} \zeta^{n+m-i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}} \zeta^{n+m-i_{k}}.$$

Take  $j \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ . We only need to show that the above summation might be separated into (k + 1) disjoint sums where in the *j*-th one the first *j* variables

 $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_j$  take on values from the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  and the remaining (k - j) variables from  $\{n + 1, \ldots, n + m\}$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n+m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{j} \le n} w_{i_{1}} \zeta^{n+m-i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{j}} \zeta^{n+m-i_{j}} \\ \cdot \sum_{n+1 \le i_{j+1} < \dots < i_{k} \le n+m} w_{i_{j+1}} \zeta^{n+m-i_{j+1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}} \zeta^{n+m-i_{k}}$$

Finally, we need to correct the powers of  $\zeta$ 's as follows:

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \le n} w_{i_1} \zeta^{n+m-i_1} \cdots w_{i_j} \zeta^{n+m-i_j} = \zeta^{j \cdot m} \hat{C}_j^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n).$$

(b) The same proof remains valid for the coefficients  $\hat{S}_k^{n+m}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+m})$ .

This result provides a more general form of the recurrence relation (4a) for the coefficients  $\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$ . Indeed, letting n = n' - 1 and m = 1 in the equation (5a) gives (4a).

**Proposition 5.** For any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{j} \zeta^{k(n-j+1)-1} \hat{C}_{k-1}^{j-1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j-1}),$$
(6a)

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} \zeta^{k(n-j)} \hat{S}_{k-1}^{j}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j}).$$
(6b)

*Proof.* (a) Consider the sum (3a) from the definition of the coefficient  $\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  and separate it into (n-k+1) sums where in the *j*-th one the last variable  $i_k$  is equal to (k+j) for  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-k$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\n}}^{n-k} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{k-1} < i_{k} = k+j} w_{i_{1}} \zeta^{n-i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}} \zeta^{n-i_{k}}$$
(7)

$$=\sum_{j=k}^{n} w_j \zeta^{n-j} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \le j-1} w_{i_1} \zeta^{n-i_1} \cdots w_{i_{k-1}} \zeta^{n-i_{k-1}}.$$
 (8)

Taking out the common factor  $\zeta^{(n-j+1)}$  from (k-1) factors  $(w_i \zeta^{n-i})$  gives

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{j} \zeta^{k(n-j+1)-1} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{k-1} \le j-1} w_{i_{1}} \zeta^{j-1-i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k-1}} \zeta^{j-1-i_{k-1}}$$
$$= \sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{j} \zeta^{k(n-j+1)-1} \hat{C}_{k-1}^{j-1} (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j-1}).$$

(b) The second equation (6a) might be handled in much the same way. Observe only that the variable j takes on values from the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Proposition 6.** For any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n+1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \hat{C}_{k-j}^{n-j}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n-j}) \zeta^{(j+1)(k-j) + \binom{j}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} w_{n+1-i},$$
(9a)

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{n+1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \hat{S}_{k-j}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n})\zeta^{(k-j)}w_{n+1}^{j}.$$
(9b)

*Proof.* (a) Consider the sum (3a) of  $\hat{C}_k^{n+1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+1})$  and observe that it may be separated into (k+1) sums where in the *j*-th one (j = 0, 1, 2, ..., k) we have

$$1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{k-j} \le n-j; \quad i_{k-j+1} = n+1-j+1, \dots, i_k = n+1.$$

(b) In the case of the coefficient  $\hat{S}_k^{n+1}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n+1})$  we may separate the sum (3b) into (k+1) sums where in the *j*-th one (j = 0, 1, 2, ..., k) we have

$$1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_{k-j} \le n; \quad i_{k-j+1} = i_{k-j+2} = \dots = i_k = n+1.$$

The rest of the proof is straightforward and goes in much the same way as the proofs of Proposition 4 and Proposition 5.  $\hfill \Box$ 

#### 3. Generating Functions

Let  $n \ge 0$  and define two generating functions:

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}(x,y) = \sum_{k>0} (-1)^{k} \hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) x^{k} y^{n-k}, \qquad (10a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \sum_{k>0} \hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) x^k.$$
(10b)

**Theorem 7.** For  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$\mathcal{A}_n(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( y - w_i \zeta^{n-i} x \right), \qquad (11a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{n}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1 - w_{i}\zeta^{n-i}x)},$$
(11b)

with  $\mathcal{A}_0(x, y) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0(x) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Applying recurrences (4a) and (4b), respectively, shows that  $\mathcal{A}_n(x, y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n(x)$  satisfy

$$\mathcal{A}_n(x,y) = (y - w_n x) \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(\zeta x, y) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_0(x,y) = 1,$$
$$\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \frac{1}{(1 - w_n x)} \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\zeta x) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{B}_0(x) = 1.$$

Solving these recurrence relations proves (11a) and (11b).

**Corollary 8.** For any  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$  the coefficients  $\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  and  $\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  satisfy the following relations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^k \hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \hat{S}_{j-k}^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0, \qquad (12a)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} \hat{S}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(-1)^{j-k} \hat{C}_{j-k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0.$$
(12b)

*Proof.* Indeed, notice that  $\mathcal{A}_n(x, 1)\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \mathcal{B}_n(x)\mathcal{A}_n(x, 1) = 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the Cauchy product of power series with (11a) and (11b) finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Let f(x) be a series in powers of x. Then by the symbol  $[x^n]f(x)$  we will mean the coefficient of  $x^n$  in the series f(x). For example we have

$$\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = [x^k]\mathcal{B}_n(x) = [x^k]\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - w_i\zeta^{n-i}x)^n}$$

**Proposition 9.** Let  $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \langle \hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots, \hat{w}_n \rangle$  be the vector  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$ , such that  $\hat{w}_i \neq \hat{w}_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Then for  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{\hat{w}_{i}^{(n+k-1)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{w}_{i} - \hat{w}_{j}) \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\hat{w}_{j} - \hat{w}_{i})},$$
(13)

where  $\hat{w}_i = w_i \zeta^{n-i}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

*Proof.* Let us consider the generating function (11b). From the partial fraction decomposition we get

$$\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = [x^k] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - w_i \zeta^{n-i} x)} = [x^k] \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{(1 - w_i \zeta^{n-i} x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left( w_i \zeta^{n-i} \right)^k.$$

What is left is to find the coefficients  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . First, we multiply the above by the denominator of (11b), i.e., by  $\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - w_j \zeta^{n-j} x)$  to get

$$1 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} \left( 1 - w_{j} \zeta^{n-j} x \right).$$

Observe that if we evaluate the above with  $x = (w_i \zeta^{n-i})^{-1}$ , all summands except the *i*-th one vanish. Thus we obtain  $a_i$ , i.e.,

$$1 = a_i \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}} \left( 1 - \frac{w_j}{w_i} \zeta^{i-j} \right) \Rightarrow a_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}} \frac{1}{\left( 1 - \frac{w_j}{w_i} \zeta^{i-j} \right)} = \frac{\left( w_i \zeta^{n-i} \right)^{n-1}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^n \left( w_i \zeta^{n-i} - w_j \zeta^{n-j} \right)}.$$

Replacing  $w_i \zeta^{n-i}$  by  $\hat{w}_i$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, we can rewrite the above as

$$a_{i} = \frac{\hat{w}_{i}^{n-1}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} (\hat{w}_{i} - \hat{w}_{j})} = \frac{\hat{w}_{i}^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{w}_{i} - \hat{w}_{j}) \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\hat{w}_{i} - \hat{w}_{j})}$$
$$= (-1)^{n-i} \frac{\hat{w}_{i}^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{w}_{i} - \hat{w}_{j}) \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\hat{w}_{j} - \hat{w}_{i})}.$$

**Example 10.** Let **i** be the vector (1, 2, 3, ...), i.e.,  $\hat{w}_i = i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by Proposition 9 we obtain the well-known identity for the Stirling numbers of the second kind:

$$\hat{S}_{n-k}^{k}(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \frac{i^{n}}{i!(k-i)!}$$

### 4. Remarks and Examples

It is clear that  $\hat{C}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  and  $\hat{S}_k^n(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_n)$  generalize the Stirling numbers of the first kind  $C_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  and the second kind  $S_k^n(\mathbf{w})$  if  $\zeta = 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k, \dots)$  and

$$\hat{C}_k^n(\mathbf{w}_n(1)) \equiv C_k^n(\mathbf{w}), \qquad \hat{S}_k^n(\mathbf{w}_n(1)) \equiv S_k^n(\mathbf{w}).$$
(14)

Fix  $p,q \in \mathbb{C}$ . A sequence  $\{n_{p,q}\}_{n\geq 0}$  of the elements  $n_{p,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{n-i}q^{i-1}$  is called a (p,q)-sequence. In the literature, the elements of (p,q)-sequences are called (p,q)-analogues and are denoted by  $n_{p,q} \equiv [n]_{p,q}$  (see Briggs and Remmel [1]).

**Example 11.** (*p*, *q*-binomial coefficients)

The p, q-binomial coefficients generalize binomial, Gaussian and Fibonomial coefficients [2, 3, 4] and are defined as

$$\binom{n}{k}_{p,q} = \frac{n_{p,q}!}{k_{p,q}!(n-k)_{p,q}!} = \frac{n_{p,q}(n-1)_{p,q}\cdots(n-k+1)_{p,q}}{k_{p,q}(k-1)_{p,q}\cdots 1_{p,q}},$$

where  $n_{p,q}! = n_{p,q}(n-1)_{p,q} \cdots 1_{p,q}$  and  $0_{p,q} = 1$ .

#### INTEGERS: 11 (2011)

Therefore, if the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_n(p)$  takes the form  $\langle p^{n-1}, qp^{n-2}, \ldots, q^{n-2}p, q^{n-1} \rangle$ , one covers the family of p, q-binomial coefficients [2, 3, 4], i.e.,

$$\hat{C}_{k}^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n}(p)) = p^{\binom{k}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{p,q}, \qquad \hat{S}_{k}^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n}(p)) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}_{p,q}.$$
 (15)

Thus for any (p, q)-sequence with  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have at least three different combinatorial interpretations of its p, q-binomial coefficients: expressed in the language of cobweb posets partitions [9], tilings of hyper-boxes [4] and now as an object selection from weighted boxes.

#### **Example 12.** (Fibonomial coefficients)

It is easy to show that the Fibonacci numbers define a  $(\varphi, \rho)$ -sequence where  $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  and  $\rho = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ . Therefore, from the previous example, the  $\zeta$ -analogue also generalize the Fibonomial coefficients, i.e.,

$$\hat{C}_k^n(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n) = (-1)^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{Fib}, \qquad \hat{S}_k^n(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}_{Fib}, \tag{16}$$

with the weight vector  $\varphi_n = \langle \varphi^{n-1}, \rho \varphi^{n-2}, \dots, \rho^{n-2} \varphi, \rho^{n-1} \rangle$ . However, the combinatorial interpretation in terms of object selection cannot be applied in this case vector  $\varphi_n$  does not consist of only nonnegative integers. Fixing  $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from Corollary 8 we have also

$$\sum_{k=0}^{s} (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{Fib} \binom{n+s-k-1}{s-k}_{Fib} = 0.$$

**Example 13.** (p, q-Stirling numbers)

The  $\zeta$ -analogue generalizes the p, q-Stirling numbers [12]. Indeed, let us consider the vector  $\mathbf{i}_n(\zeta) = \langle [1]_{p,q} \zeta^{n-1}, [2]_{p,q} \zeta^{n-2}, \dots, [n]_{p,q} \rangle$ , where  $[i]_{p,q} = \sum_{s=1}^i p^{i-s} q^{s-1}$ for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\zeta = 1$ . Then we have

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{n}(\mathbf{i}_{n}(1)) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+k \\ n \end{matrix} \right\}_{p,q}, \qquad \hat{S}_{n-k}^{k}(\mathbf{i}_{n}(1)) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{p,q}.$$
(17)

Finally, by Theorem 2 we have that the  $\zeta$ -analogues of p, q-Stirling numbers satisfy

$${n \\ k }_{\zeta} = p^{k-1} \zeta^{n-k} {n-1 \\ k-1 }_{\zeta} + [k]_{p,q} {n-1 \\ k }_{\zeta}.$$

$$(18)$$

#### 5. Final Remarks

The form of the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_n(\zeta)$  given by (2) is one possible choice and we expect that there might be many other useful forms that can be applied here, e.g.

 $\hat{w}_{i,n} = w_i^{n-i}$ , etc. We leave it for further investigation. Our choice is caused by unifying p, q-binomial coefficients and generalized Stirling numbers.

Acknowledgements This note was inspired by the paper of Professor Kwaśniewski [8] where he asked about relations between *F*-nomial and Konvalina coefficients. The author thanks the referee for useful suggestions and corrections of this note.

#### References

- Karen S. Briggs and Jeffrey B. Remmel, A p,q-analogue of a Formula of Frobenius, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 10 (2003) no. R9, 1–26.s
- [2] R. D. Carmichael, On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms α<sup>n</sup> ± β<sup>n</sup>, Annals of Mathematics 15 (1913), 30-70.
- [3] Roberto B. Corcino, On p, q-Binomial Coefficients, Integers: Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory 8 (2008), 1–16.
- M. Dziemiańczuk, Generalization of Fibonomial coefficients, Preprint: ArXiv:0908.3248, Aug 2009.
- [5] M. Dziemiańczuk, On cobweb posets and discrete F-boxes tilings, Preprint: ArXiv:0802.3473, Apr 2009.
- [6] John Konvalina, Generalized binomial coefficients and the subset-subspace problem, Advances In Applied Mathematics 21 (1998), 228–240.
- [7] John Konvalina, A unified interpretation of the binomial coefficients, the stirling numbers, and the gaussian coefficients, *The American Mathematical Monthly* 245 (2000), no. 107, 901–910.
- [8] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, Comments on combinatorial interpretation of Fibonomial coefficients an e-mail style letter, Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications 42 (2004), 10–11.
- [9] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, On cobweb posets and their combinatorially admissible sequences, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics 18 (2009), no. 1, 17–32.
- [10] E. Lucas, Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques, American Journal of Mathematics 1 (1878) 184–240, Translated from the French by Sidney Kravitz, Edited by Douglas Lind Fibonacci Association 1969.
- [11] A. De Médicis and P. Leroux, Generalized Stirling numbers. Convolution formulae and p, qanalogues, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 47 (1995), 474–499.
- [12] M. Wachs and D. White, p,q-Stirling numbers and set partition statistics, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 56 (1991), no. Series A, 27–46.
- [13] Carl G. Wagner, Generalized Stirling and Lah numbers, Discrete Mathematics 160 (1996) 199–218.