



A SHORT PROOF OF A RESULT OF GICA AND LUCA

Mickey Polasub

Nuanchan, Beungkum, Bangkok, Thailand
 mickey.polasub@gmail.com

Received: 10/13/12, Accepted: 1/8/13, Published: 1/25/13

Abstract

We provide a short proof of a generalization of a recent result of Gica and Luca on the diophantine equation $2^x = y^2 + z^2(x^2 - 2)$.

– Dedicated to the memory of Preechar Polasub.

1. Introduction

In a recent paper, Gica and Luca [2], in connection with a result of Lee [3] on the class number one problem for quadratic fields of the shape $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n^2 \pm 2})$, were led to consider the diophantine equation

$$2^x = y^2 + z^2(x^2 - 2), \quad (1)$$

where x, y and z are positive integers. This equation has many known solutions, including $(x, y, z) = (3, 1, 1), (5, 3, 1), (7, 9, 1)$, and infinite families of solutions obtained by choosing, say,

$$x = 2^\alpha + 1, \quad y = 2^{2^{\alpha-1} - \alpha} (2^\alpha - 1), \quad z = 2^{2^{\alpha-1} - \alpha}$$

or

$$x = 2^\alpha - 1, \quad y = 2^{2^{\alpha-1} - \alpha - 1} (2^\alpha + 1), \quad z = 2^{2^{\alpha-1} - \alpha - 1}.$$

Gica and Luca prove that there are only the three known solutions with $z = 1$. Their argument relies fundamentally upon lower bounds for linear forms in p -adic logarithms. Our goal in this short note is to give a quick proof of a stronger result, which immediately generalizes to partially resolve a conjecture of Gica and Luca on equation (1). Apparently, this strengthening does not follow from the techniques of [2].

Suppose we have a solution to (1). Then, working 2-adically, we readily obtain that x is odd, say $x = 2x_0 + 1$. It follows that

$$0 < \sqrt{2} - \frac{y}{2^{x_0}} = \frac{z^2(x^2 - 2)}{2^{x_0}(2^{x_0+1/2} + y)} < \frac{z^2(x_0^2 + x_0)}{2^{2x_0-3/2}}.$$

On the other hand, from Corollary 1.6 of Bauer and Bennett [1], either $x_0 \in \{3, 7, 8\}$, or

$$\sqrt{2} - \frac{y}{2^{x_0}} > 2^{-1.48x_0}.$$

In the latter case, if $z = 1$, we thus have

$$2^{0.52x_0} < 2^{1.5}(x_0^2 + x_0)$$

and so, using calculus, $x_0 \leq 19$. A quick check yields the main result of [2].

The same argument with a little more work, applying Corollary 1.6 of [1] to either

$$\sqrt{2} - \frac{y}{2^{x_0}} \quad \text{or} \quad \sqrt{2} - \frac{zx}{2^{x_0}},$$

implies the following :

Theorem. For any solution to equation (1) in positive integers x, y and z , we either have $(x, y, z) \in \{(3, 1, 1), (5, 3, 1), (7, 9, 1), (9, 14, 2), (13, 3, 7)\}$ or may conclude that

$$\min\{y, z\} > 2^{x/8}.$$

Gica and Luca conjecture that equation (1) has only the solutions $(x, y, z) = (3, 1, 1), (5, 3, 1), (7, 9, 1)$ and $(13, 3, 7)$ in odd integers x, y and z with $x^2 - 2$ prime. The above result confirms this in case either y or z are “small”. Indeed, an almost immediate consequence of this theorem (together with routine computation) is that (1) has only the following solutions with $z < 10^8$:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z) = & (3, 1, 1), (5, 3, 1), (7, 9, 1), (9, 14, 2), (11, 12, 4), (13, 3, 7), (15, 136, 8), \\ & (17, 240, 16), (21, 1324, 28), (23, 2496, 64), (25, 5568, 64), (27, 11456, 64), \\ & (31, 33792, 1024), (31, 29502, 1154), (33, 71300, 1796), (33, 63488, 2048), \\ & (37, 318048, 5152), (39, 741152, 544), (39, 376832, 16384), (45, 1934080, 124672), \\ & (45, 655360, 131072), (47, 8639880, 173048), (51, 23078252, 813316), \\ & (51, 7995392, 917504), (55, 189629256, 151672), (63, 2181038080, 33554432), \\ & (63, 2309764600, 31307768), (63, 2043658240, 35667968), (65, 4490035200, 62947328), \\ & (65, 4227858432, 67108864), (65, 3949423632, 71012336), (69, 24270711104, 16066112). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] M. Bauer and M. Bennett, *Application of the hypergeometric method to the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation*, Ramanujan J. 6 (2002), 209–270.
- [2] A. Gica and F. Luca, *On the diophantine equation $2^x = x^2 + y^2 - 2$* , Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 46 (2012), 109–116.
- [3] J. Lee, *The complete determination of wide Richaud-Degert types which are not 5 modulo 8 with class number one*, Acta Arith. 140 (2009), 229–234.