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#### Abstract

A sequence of rational integers $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is said to be a divisibility sequence if $A_{n} \mid A_{m}$ whenever $n \mid m$ and $A_{n} \neq 0$. If the divisibility sequence also satisfies a linear recurrence relation, it is said to be a linear divisibility sequence of order $r$, where $r$ is the degree of the characteristic polynomial of the recurrence. The best known example of such a sequence of order 2 is the Lucas sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. In an attempt to extend Lucas's theory to sequences of order 4, it becomes necessary to examine odd and even divisibility sequences. In this paper we produce some conditions under which certain divisibility sequences of order 4 will be either even or odd.


## 1. Introduction

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha, \beta$ be the zeroes of $x^{2}-p x+q \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. We define, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
u_{n}=u_{n}(p, q)=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta}, v_{n}=v_{n}(p, q)=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}
$$

When $p, q$ are integers, both $u_{n}(p, q)$ and $v_{n}(p, q)$ are integers for all $n \geq 0$ and when $p, q$ are coprime are called the Lucas functions. Also $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=1, v_{0}=2$, $v_{1}=p$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ both satisfy the second order linear recurrence

$$
A_{n+1}=p A_{n}-q A_{n-1}
$$

When $p=\sqrt{r}$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we find that if

$$
\bar{u}_{n}=\bar{u}_{n}(r, q):= \begin{cases}u_{n}(\sqrt{r}, q) & \text { when } 2 \nmid n \\ u_{n}(\sqrt{r}, q) / \sqrt{r} & \text { when } 2 \mid n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{v}_{n}=\bar{v}_{n}(r, q):= \begin{cases}v_{n}(\sqrt{r}, q) / \sqrt{r} & \text { when } 2 \nmid n \\ v_{n}(\sqrt{r}, q) & \text { when } 2 \mid n\end{cases}
$$

then $\bar{u}_{n}$ and $\bar{v}_{n}$ are integers for all integers $n \geq 0$. Also

$$
\bar{u}_{0}=0, \bar{u}_{1}=1, \bar{u}_{2}=1, \bar{u}_{3}=r-q, \bar{v}_{0}=2, \bar{v}_{1}=1, \bar{v}_{2}=r-2 q, \bar{v}_{3}=r-3 q .
$$

When $(r, q)=1, \bar{u}_{n}(p, q)$ and $\bar{v}_{n}(p, q)$ are called the Lehmer functions (see [2]).
Furthermore, both $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{v}_{n}\right\}$ satisfy the fourth order linear recurrence

$$
A_{n+4}=(r-2 q) A_{n+2}-q^{2} A_{n} .
$$

In general, a linear recurrence sequence of order $r$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is a sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, where we have

$$
A_{n+r}=T_{1} A_{n+r-1}-T_{2} A_{n+r-2}+T_{3} A_{n+r-3}-\cdots+(-1)^{r+1} T_{r} A_{n}
$$

and $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r-1}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}$ are given fixed integers with $T_{r} \neq 0$. The polynomial

$$
G(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i} T_{i} x^{r-i}
$$

is the characteristic polynomial of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$.
If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a linear recurrence sequence, we say that an integer $m(>1)$ is a null divisor (see Ward $[10,9]$ ) of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ if, for some minimal $k>0$, we have $m \mid A_{n}$ for all $n \geq k$. If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ has a null divisor, it is said to be a null sequence. In what follows we shall be concerned only with non null sequences. For example, the condition that $(p, q)=1$ ensures that both $\left\{u_{n}(p, q)\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}(p, q)\right\}$ are non null sequences. Similarly, the condition that $(r, q)=1$ ensures that both $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}(r, q)\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{v}_{n}(r, q)\right\}$ are non null sequences.

Now suppose that the characteristic polynomial $F(x)$ of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is of even degree $2 k$ and has $2 k$ distinct zeroes

$$
\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{k}
$$

such that $\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}$ is the same fixed integer $Q$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Notice that the Lucas functions, the Lehmer functions, and the suggested extensions of the Lucas functions mentioned in Roettger, Williams and Guy [6] all possess such a characteristic polynomial. Lucas pointed out in [3, eqn (50)] that

$$
u_{-n}=-u_{n} / q^{n}, \quad v_{-n}=v_{n} / q^{n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By analogy to the definitions in the theory of functions, we could say that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is an odd recurrence and that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is an even recurrence. More generally, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ has the characteristic polynomial described above, then we say that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd when $A_{-n}=-A_{n} / Q^{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even when $A_{-n}=A_{n} / Q^{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ amd $m, n>0$, we say that a sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a linear divisibility sequence if $A_{n} \mid A_{m}$ whenever $n \mid m$ and $A_{n} \neq 0$. We mention that both $\left\{u_{n}(p, q)\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}(r, q)\right\}$ are linear divisibility sequences of orders 2 and 4 respectively. We also point out that if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a divisibility sequence, then it is only of limited interest
(see Hall [1]) if $A_{0} \neq 0$. Thus, we shall always assume that $A_{0}=0$ and with no loss of generality that $A_{1}=1$.

The purpose of this paper is to derive some conditions under which a non null divisibility sequence with characteristic polynomial $F(x)$ and $k=2$ is either even or odd.

## 2. Some Elementary Observations Concerning Even and Odd $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$

Given the above conditions on $F(x)$, we know that any particular recurrence sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ which has $F(x)$ as its characteristic polynomial must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{n}+c_{2} \beta_{1}^{n}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}^{n}+c_{4} \beta_{2}^{n}+\cdots+c_{2 k-1} \alpha_{k}^{n}+c_{2 k} \beta_{k}^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{2 k}$ are constants whose values depend on $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$, $\beta_{k}$ and the initial conditions $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k-1}$. We will now derive criteria for $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ to be either even or odd.

Put $v_{n}(i)=\alpha_{i}^{n}+\beta_{i}^{n}, u_{n}(i)=\left(\alpha_{i}^{n}-\beta_{i}^{n}\right) /\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)$ and

$$
D_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
v_{0}(1) & v_{0}(2) & \cdots & v_{0}(k) \\
v_{1}(1) & v_{1}(2) & \cdots & v_{1}(k) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
v_{k-1}(1) & v_{k-1}(2) & \cdots & v_{k-1}(k)
\end{array}\right|, \quad D_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1}(1) & u_{1}(2) & \cdots & u_{1}(k) \\
u_{2}(1) & u_{2}(2) & \cdots & u_{2}(k) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
u_{k}(1) & u_{k}(2) & \cdots & u_{k}(k)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Note that if $\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}=\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}(i \neq j)$, then since $\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}=\alpha_{j} \beta_{j}$ we must have $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j}$ or $\beta_{j}$, which by definition of $F(x)$ is impossible. Thus, if we put $\rho_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}$, we have $\rho_{i} \neq \rho_{j}$ when $i \neq j$. We will need the following simple result.

Theorem 2.1. Under the conditions defining $F(x)$, we must have $D_{1} \neq 0, D_{2} \neq 0$.
Proof. We first note that (see, for example, (4.2.36), (4.2.35) of Williams [11])

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{n}(i)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{j} \frac{n}{j}\binom{n-j-1}{j-1} Q^{j} \rho_{i}^{n-2 j}, \\
u_{n}(i)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-j-1}{j} Q^{j} \rho_{i}^{n-2 j-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In both formulas the coefficients of the powers of $\rho_{i}$ are independent of $i$. Thus, by multiplying rows of $D_{1}$ (or $D_{2}$ ) by the corresponding coefficients and subtracting, we get

$$
D_{1} / 2=D_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\rho_{1} & \rho_{2} & \cdots & \rho_{k} \\
\rho_{1}^{2} & \rho_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \rho_{k}^{2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\rho_{1}^{k-1} & \rho_{2}^{k-1} & \cdots & \rho_{k}^{k-1}
\end{array}\right|=\prod_{i \neq j}\left(\rho_{i}-\rho_{j}\right) \neq 0
$$

By (2.1) we have

$$
A_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{2 i-1} \alpha_{i}^{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{2 i} \beta_{i}^{n} .
$$

If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{-n}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{2 i-1} \alpha_{i}^{-n}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{2 i} \beta_{i}^{-n} & =Q^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(c_{2 i-1} \beta_{i}^{n}+c_{2 i} \alpha_{i}^{n}\right) \\
& =Q^{-n} A_{n}=Q^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(c_{2 i-1} \alpha_{i}^{n}+c_{2 i} \beta_{i}^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we must have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\alpha_{i}^{n}-\beta_{i}^{n}\right)\left(c_{2 i-1}-c_{2 i}\right)=0
$$

We can write this as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{n}(i)\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)\left(c_{2 i-1}-c_{2 i}\right)=0
$$

but since $D_{2} \neq 0$, this means that

$$
\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)\left(c_{2 i-1}-c_{2 i}\right)=0 \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, k)
$$

and $c_{2 i-1}=c_{2 i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$. Similarly, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd, then we must have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{n}(i)\left(c_{2 i-1}+c_{2 i}\right)=0
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $D_{1} \neq 0$, we get

$$
c_{2 i-1}=-c_{2 i} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, k)
$$

Note that if $c_{2 i-1}=c_{2 i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even, and if $c_{2 i-1}=-c_{2 i}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, k)$, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd. Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ has $F(x)$ as its characteristic polynomial, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even if and only if $c_{2 i-1}=c_{2 i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$, and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd if and only if $c_{2 i-1}=-c_{2 i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$.

We will now restrict our attention to the case of $k=2$. In this case we have

$$
\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}=\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}=T_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{1} \rho_{2}=\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}= \\
T_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}=T_{2}-2 Q
\end{gathered}
$$

If we put $P_{1}=T_{1}, P_{2}=T_{2}-2 Q$, then $F(x)=\left(x^{2}-\rho_{1} x+Q\right)\left(x^{2}-\rho_{2} x+Q\right)$ or

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& F(x)=x^{4}-P_{1} x^{3}+\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) x^{2}-P_{1} Q x+Q^{2} \\
\text { and } & A_{n+4}=P_{1} A_{n+3}-\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) A_{n+2}+P_{1} Q A_{n+1}-Q^{2} A_{n} \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Of course, $F(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial for the sequences $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ of Williams and Guy [12, 13]. The discriminant $D$ of $F(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=E \Delta^{2} Q^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta=P_{1}^{2}-4 P_{2}, E=\left(P_{2}+4 Q\right)^{2}-4 Q P_{1}^{2}$. We also have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P_{1}^{2}+4 E=\left(P_{1}^{2}-2 P_{2}-8 Q\right)^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, $\Delta$ and $E$ cannot both be negative. Also, if $D \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{n}+c_{2} \beta_{1}^{n}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}^{n}+c_{4} \beta_{2}^{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even. In this case we must have $c_{1}=c_{2}, c_{3}=c_{4}$ and

$$
A_{n}=c_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{n}+\beta_{1}^{n}\right)+c_{3}\left(\alpha_{2}^{n}+\beta_{2}^{n}\right)
$$

If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a divisibility sequence, we have $c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}=A_{0}=0$ and therefore $c_{1}=-c_{3}$. Since $A_{1}=1$, we also have

$$
1=c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}+c_{4} \beta_{2}=c_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
A_{n}=\frac{\alpha_{1}^{n}+\beta_{1}^{n}-\alpha_{2}^{n}-\beta_{2}^{n}}{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}}
$$

This is the function $U_{n}$ in [12]. Indeed, as shown in [12], $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ is a divisibility sequence. Thus we see that there is one and only one even divisibility sequence for a recurrence with characteristic polynomial (2.2).

We are left, then, with the problem of characterizing the odd divisibility sequences having characteristic polynomial (2.2). Certainly, odd divisibility sequences exist, as we have seen that both $\left\{u_{n}(p, q)\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}(r, q)\right\}$ are odd. Also, if $P_{1}=$ $p^{3} / q-2 p, P_{2}=p^{4} / q-3 p^{2}, Q=q, A_{-1}=-1 / q, A_{2}=p^{3} / q$, then $A_{n}=$ $u_{n}(p, q)^{3} / q^{n-1}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is an odd divisibility sequence. An example of such a sequence occurs as A056570 in Sloane [7]. This sequence is mentioned as Case 3.5.2 in Oosterhout [4]. In [7] two other divisibility sequences are listed; in all of the fourth order divisibility sequences contained in [7], these two, A127595 and A215466, are the only interesting ones that are odd. (We exclude the Lucas and Lehmer sequences from consideration.)

We conclude this section by developing a simple criterion for determining whether $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is an odd divisibility sequence. We recall from [12] that the sequences $\left\{W_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ can be defined by

$$
W_{n}+\rho_{i} U_{n}=\alpha_{i}^{n}+\beta_{i}^{n} \quad(i=1,2)
$$

It is also convenient to define sequences $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}+\rho_{i} Y_{n}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{n}-\beta_{i}^{n}\right) /\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $X_{0}=0, Y_{0}=0, X_{1}=1, Y_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=1, X_{3}=-Q-P_{2}, Y_{3}=P_{1}$. These are integer valued sequences when $n \geq 0$ and have (2.2) as their characteristic polynomial. We also have $X_{-n}=-X_{n} / Q^{n}, Y_{-n}=-Y_{n} / Q^{n}$. Now if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd, then by Theorem 2.2, there exist constants $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n} & =\gamma_{1}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{n}-\beta_{1}^{n}}{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}}\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{n}-\beta_{2}^{n}}{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}}\right) \\
& =\gamma_{1}\left(X_{n}+\rho_{1} Y_{n}\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(X_{n}+\rho_{2} Y_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) X_{n}+\left(\gamma_{1} \rho_{1}+\gamma_{2} \rho_{2}\right) Y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{1}=1$ we put $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=1$ and $A_{2}=\gamma_{1} \rho_{1}+\gamma_{2} \rho_{2}$. Thus, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is an odd divisibility sequence, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=X_{n}+A_{2} Y_{n} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this is not sufficient to guarantee that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a divisibility sequence.

## 3. The Case Where $P_{1}=0$

It is by no means clear that any divisibility sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ with characteristic polynomial (2.2) must be either even or odd. In this section we completely characterize all the divisibility sequences $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ which can occur with $P_{1}=0$. We will show that when $P_{1}=0$ it is possible to have a divisibility sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ which is neither even nor odd.

When $P_{1}=0$, we have $F(x)=x^{4}+\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) x^{2}+Q^{2}$. Without loss of generality we can put
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{-P_{2}}+\sqrt{-\left(P_{2}+4 Q\right)}}{2}, \beta_{1}=\frac{\left.\sqrt{-P_{2}}-\sqrt{-\left(P_{2}+4 Q\right)}\right)}{2}, \alpha_{2}=-\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}=-\alpha_{1}$.
Hence, by (2.6),

$$
A_{n}=\left(c_{1}+(-1)^{n} c_{4}\right) \alpha_{1}^{n}+\left(c_{2}+(-1)^{n} c_{3}\right) \beta_{1}^{n}
$$

Also, since $A_{0}=0$, we have $c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}=0$. If $2 \mid n$, then

$$
A_{n}=A_{2} \frac{\alpha_{1}^{n}-\beta_{1}^{n}}{\alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}}=A_{2} \bar{u}_{n}\left(-P_{2}, Q\right)
$$

If $2 \nmid n$, then

$$
A_{n}=\left(c_{1}-c_{4}\right) \alpha_{1}^{n}+\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right) \beta_{1}^{n}
$$

Since $A_{1}=1$, we can solve for $c_{1}-c_{4}$ and $c_{2}-c_{3}$ in terms of $A_{-1}$ and find that

$$
A_{n}=\frac{\alpha_{1}^{n+1}-\beta_{1}^{n+1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}}-Q^{2} A_{-1} \frac{\alpha_{1}^{n-1}-\beta_{1}^{n-1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}}
$$

Since $2 \mid n+1$ and $2 \mid n-1$, we can write this as

$$
A_{n}=\bar{u}_{n+1}\left(-P_{2}, Q\right)-Q^{2} A_{-1} \bar{u}_{n-1}\left(-P_{2}, Q\right)
$$

In what follows we will use $\bar{u}_{n}$ and $\bar{v}_{n}$ to denote $\bar{u}_{n}\left(-P_{2}, Q\right), \bar{v}_{n}\left(-P_{2}, Q\right)$ respectively. If $2 \nmid n$, it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}_{n+1}+Q \bar{u}_{n-1} & =\bar{u}_{n} \\
\bar{u}_{n+1}-Q \bar{u}_{n-1} & =\bar{v}_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A_{n}=\left(1+Q A_{-1}\right) \bar{v}_{n}+\left(1-Q A_{-1}\right) \bar{u}_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $2 \nmid n$. If we put $B_{n}=A_{2 n+1}$, then

$$
B_{n+2}=-\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) B_{n+1}-Q^{2} B_{n}
$$

Thus, $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ is a second order linear recurring sequence, and Ward [10] (also proved earlier by Pólya [5]) has shown that if such a sequence is not degenerate (in this case $\alpha_{1}^{2} / \beta_{1}^{2}$ is not a root of unity), then $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ has an infinitude of distinct prime divisors.

If we put $C_{1}=1+Q A_{-1}, C_{2}=1-Q A_{-1}$, then since $B_{1}=-\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right)-Q^{2} A_{-1}$, we see that $Q C_{1}, Q C_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C=\max \left\{2,|Q|,\left|Q C_{1}\right|,\left|Q C_{2}\right|\right\}$.

We have $2 A_{2 n+1}=C_{1} \bar{v}_{2 n+1}+C_{2} \bar{u}_{2 n+1}$ and it is easy to verify that
hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{v}_{3(2 n+1)}=\bar{v}_{2 n+1}\left(-P_{2} \bar{v}_{2 n+1}^{2}-3 Q^{2 n+1}\right) \\
& \bar{u}_{3(2 n+1)}=\bar{u}_{2 n+1}\left(-P_{2} \bar{v}_{2 n+1}^{2}-Q^{2 n+1}\right) \\
& A_{3(2 n+1)}=-\left(P_{2} \bar{v}_{2 n+1}^{2}+Q^{2 n+1}\right) A_{2 n+1}-Q^{2 n+1} C_{1} \bar{v}_{2 n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be a divisibility sequence, we must have $A_{2 n+1} \mid A_{3(2 n+1)}$. Hence

$$
A_{2 n+1} \mid Q^{2 n+1} C_{1} \bar{v}_{2 n+1}
$$

We next suppose that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are nonzero and let $p$ be a prime such that $p>C$ and $p \mid B_{n}$. Such a prime must exist by Ward's result. Since $p \nmid Q$ and $p \nmid Q C_{1}$ and $p$ is odd, we must have $p \mid \bar{v}_{2 n+1}$, but since $p \mid Q B_{n}$, we must also have $p \mid Q C_{2} \bar{u}_{2 n+1}$ and $p \mid \bar{u}_{2 n+1}$. Since any common prime divisor of $\bar{v}_{2 n+1}$ and $\bar{u}_{2 n+1}$
must divide $2 Q$, this is impossible. It follows that if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be a divisibility sequence, we must have $C_{1}$ or $C_{2}=0$.

If $C_{1}=0$, then $A_{2 n+1}=\bar{u}_{2 n+1}, A_{2 n}=A_{2} \bar{u}_{2 n}$. We see that in this case $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is an odd divisibility sequence. If $C_{2}=0$, then $A_{2 n+1}=\bar{v}_{2 n+1}, A_{2 n}=A_{2} \bar{u}_{2 n}$. The sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a divisibility sequence, but because $A_{-(2 n+1)}=A_{2 n+1} / Q^{2 n+1}$ and $A_{-2 n}=-A_{2 n} / Q^{2 n}$, it is neither an odd nor an even divisibility sequence when $A_{2} \neq 0$.

An example of such a sequence occurs as A005013 in [7], where $Q=-1, P_{2}=-1$ and $A_{n}=L_{n}$ (the Lucas number) when $2 \nmid n$ and $A_{n}=F_{n}$ (the Fibonacci number) when $2 \mid n$.

If $A_{2}=0=P_{1}$, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is the even divisibility sequence $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$.
If $\alpha_{i}^{2} / \beta_{i}^{2}$ is a root of unity, then there are only finitely many possible prime divisors of $B_{n}$ (see $\S 6$ of [10]). As this is a case of little interest to us, we exclude it from our study.

We have seen that it is possible to have a non null divisibility sequence with characteristic function $F(x)$ given by (2.2) which is neither even nor odd. In the next sections we will consider the possible existence of such divisibility sequences when $P_{1} \neq 0$. It is useful at this point to define $S$ and $G$ to be those squarefree integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=S V^{2}, \quad E=G U^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $U, V \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## 4. Some Preliminary Results

We first discuss the conditions that are necessary and sufficient for $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ to have no null divisors. The following result is a special case of more general work of Ward [8, 9].
Proposition 4.1. The sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ will have no null divisors if and only if $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right)=1,\left(A_{3}, P_{2}, Q\right)=1$ and $\left(A_{2}, A_{3}, Q\right)=1$.
Proof. If $p$ is a prime and either $p \mid\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right)$ or $p \mid\left(A_{3}, P_{2}, Q\right)$ or $p \mid\left(A_{3}, A_{3}, Q\right)$, then it is easy to see from (2.3) that $p \mid A_{n}$ for $n \geq 4$ in the first case, $p \mid A_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ in the second case and $p \mid A_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$ in the last case. Thus in any of these cases $p$ is a null divisor of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$.

Next, suppose that $p$ is a prime null divisor of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ such that $p \mid A_{n}$ for all $n \geq k$, where $k \geq 0$ is minimal. Since $A_{1}=1$, we cannot have $k=0$, 1 . If $k=2$, then since $p \mid A_{n}(n \geq 2)$ and

$$
A_{5}=P_{1} A_{4}-\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) A_{3}+P_{1} Q A_{2}-Q^{2} A_{1}
$$

we see that $p \mid Q$ and $p \mid\left(A_{2}, A_{3}, Q\right)$. If $k \geq 3$, then $p\left|A_{k}, p\right| A_{k+1}, p \mid A_{k+2}$, $p \mid A_{k+3}$, but $p \nmid A_{k-1}$. Since

$$
A_{k+3}=P_{1} A_{k+2}-\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) A_{k+1}+P_{1} Q A_{k}-Q^{2} A_{k-1}
$$

we see that $p \mid Q$. Also, since

$$
A_{k+1}=P_{1} A_{k}-\left(P_{2}+2 Q\right) A_{k-1}+P_{1} Q A_{k-2}-Q^{2} A_{k-3}
$$

we get $p \mid P_{2} A_{k-1}$; hence $p \mid P_{2}$ and $p \mid\left(A_{3}, P_{2}, Q\right)$ when $k=3$. If $k>3$, then $A_{k} \equiv P_{1} A_{k-1}(\bmod p)$ and $p \mid\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right)$.

In the case of $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ in [12], we have $U_{2}=P_{1}, U_{3}=P_{1}^{2}-P_{2}-3 Q$. Hence, since $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right)=1$, we see that $\left(U_{3}, P_{2}, Q\right)=1$ and $\left(U_{3}, U_{2}, Q\right)=1$. It follows that the single condition that $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right)=1$ ensures that $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ has no null divisors.

In what follows, we shall investigate the possibility that a non null divisibility sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ could be neither even nor odd when both $S$ and $G$ are not 1 . A very useful tool which we utilize heavily in this study is a paper of Hall [1]. We will modify Hall's argument to apply to our particular case of using $F(x)$ given by (2.2), but we will not repeat his arguments when there is no need and we simply refer the reader to [1] to fill in any gaps that we will leave in our presentation. In the sequel we will consider $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ to be a non null divisibility sequence having characteristic polynomial given by (2.2) with nonzero discriminant.
Lemma 4.2. Under the above conditions on $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, suppose that a prime $p$ is such that $p \mid Q$ and $p \mid A_{n}(n>0)$. Then there exists a finite $N$ (independent of $n$ and the choice of $p$ ) and a factor $r$ of $n$ such that $1<r<N$.
Proof. We use the same reasoning as Hall in his proof of his Lemma 1, together with Proposition 4.1.
Lemma 4.3. Let $D$ be the discriminant of $F(x)$ (see (2.4)). If $p$ is a prime divisor of $A_{n}(n>0)$ and $p \mid D$, then there exists a finite $N$ (independent of $n$ and the choice of $p$ ) and a factor $r$ of $n$ such that $1<r<N$.

Proof. This follows exactly as the proof of Lemma 2 of [1].
Lemma 4.4. Let $N$ be defined as in Lemma 4.3 and $q$ be a prime such that $q>N$, then $A_{q}^{4} \equiv A_{q^{2}}^{4} \equiv A_{q^{3}}^{4} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$.
Proof. Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $A_{q}$. Since $q>N$, we know that $p \nmid D$ by Lemma 4.3. If $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}$ are the zeroes of $F(x)$ over the splitting field $\mathbb{K}$ of $F(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, then

$$
\alpha_{1}^{p^{4}-1}=\beta_{1}^{p^{4}-1}=\alpha_{2}^{p^{4}-1}=\beta_{2}^{p^{4}-1}=1
$$

in $\mathbb{K}$. It follows that for any $n \geq 0$, we have $A_{n+p^{4}-1}=A_{n}$ in $\mathbb{K}$. Since $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, we must have $A_{n+p^{4}-1} \equiv A_{n}(\bmod p)$. The result now follows by using Hall's reasoning in the proof of his Lemma 3.

In what follows we will attempt to determine the values of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ in (2.6) such that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ will be a divisibility sequence.

Lemma 4.5. If there exists an infinitude of primes $q$ such that $\left(\frac{\Delta}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{E}{q}\right)=-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1}=\epsilon_{1} \\
& c_{1} \beta_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{2} \\
& c_{1} \beta_{2}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+c_{3} \alpha_{1}+c_{4} \beta_{1}=\epsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}^{4}=\epsilon_{2}^{4}=\epsilon_{3}^{4}=1$.
Proof. If $\left(\frac{\Delta}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{E}{q}\right)=-1$, then $F(x)$ is irreducible modulo $q$ and we have

$$
\alpha_{2} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{q}, \quad \beta_{1} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{q^{2}}, \quad \beta_{2} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \quad \alpha_{1} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{q^{4}}, \quad(\bmod \mathfrak{q})
$$

where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal lying over $q$ in the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{q} & =c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{q}+c_{2} \beta_{1}^{q}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}^{q}+c_{4} \beta_{2}^{q} \\
& \equiv c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1} \quad(\bmod \mathfrak{q}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.4 we get

$$
\left(c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1}\right)^{4} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \mathfrak{q})
$$

Since there exists an infinite number of possible primes $q$, we see that

$$
\left(c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1}\right)^{4}-1
$$

is divisible by an infinite number of distinct prime ideals, which can only mean that

$$
\left(c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1}\right)^{4}=1
$$

or

$$
c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1}=\epsilon_{1}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}^{4}=1$.
The other equations follow on employing similar reasoning on $A_{q^{2}}$ and $A_{q^{3}}$.
Lemma 4.6. If there exists an infinitude of primes $q$ such that $\left(\frac{\Delta}{q}\right)=1$ and $\left(\frac{E}{q}\right)=-1$, then $c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{4}$, where $\epsilon_{4}^{4}=1$.
Proof. If $\left(\frac{\Delta}{q}\right)=1$ and $\left(\frac{E}{q}\right)=-1$, then without loss of generality we have

$$
\alpha_{1}^{q} \equiv \alpha_{1}, \quad \beta_{1}^{q} \equiv \beta_{1}, \quad \alpha_{2}^{q} \equiv \beta_{2}, \quad \beta_{2}^{q} \equiv \alpha_{2} \quad(\bmod \mathfrak{q})
$$

where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal lying over $q$ in the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. The result can be derived by following the reasoning employed in the proof of Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.7. If $d=\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right)^{2}=0$, then $4 E=-\Delta P_{1}^{2}$.
Proof. We have $d=\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}-8 Q=P_{1}^{2}-2 P_{2}-8 Q$. The result now follows from (2.5).

## 5. The Case of $S \neq 1$ and $G \neq 1$

We have seen in [13] that if $S \neq 1$ and $G \neq 1$, then there exists an infinitude of primes $q$ such that $\left(\frac{\Delta}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{S}{q}\right)=-1$ and $\left(\frac{E}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{G}{q}\right)=-1$. We are now able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. If $S \neq 1, G \neq 1$ and $S \neq-G$, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be either even or odd.

Proof. Since $A_{0}=0$ and $A_{1}=1$, by Lemma 4.5 and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4} & =0  \tag{5.1}\\
c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}+c_{4} \beta_{2} & =1  \tag{5.2}\\
c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1} & =\epsilon_{1}  \tag{5.3}\\
c_{1} \beta_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2} & =\epsilon_{2}  \tag{5.4}\\
c_{1} \beta_{2}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+c_{3} \alpha_{1}+c_{4} \beta_{1} & =\epsilon_{3} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}^{4}=\epsilon_{2}^{4}=\epsilon_{3}^{4}=-1$. If we add equations (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$
\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}\right)=1+\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}
$$

and from (5.1), this means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add (5.2) and (5.4) we get

$$
\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}\right)+\left(c_{3}+c_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}\right)=1+\epsilon_{2}
$$

If we put $\delta=\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}=\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}(\neq 0)$, then, by (5.1), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+c_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta, c_{3}+c_{4}=-\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\epsilon_{2}=-1$, then $c_{1}=-c_{2}, c_{3}=-c_{4}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be odd by Theorem 2.2.
Set $\lambda_{i}=\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$. On subtracting (5.4) from (5.2) we get

$$
\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}+\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right) \lambda_{2}=1-\epsilon_{2}
$$

and on subtracting (5.5) from (5.3) we also get

$$
\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \lambda_{2}-\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right) \lambda_{1}=\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}
$$

On solving these two equations for $c_{1}-c_{2}$ and $c_{3}-c_{4}$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) d=\left(\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}\right) \lambda_{2}+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}  \tag{5.8}\\
& \left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right) d=-\left(\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}\right) \lambda_{1}+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \lambda_{2} \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S \neq-G$, by Lemma 4.7 we cannot have $d=0$.
If $\epsilon_{2}=1$, then by $(5.6), \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3}=-2$. Since $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{3} \in\{1,-1, i,-i\}$, where $i^{2}+1=0$, we can only have $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{3}=-1$. By (5.8) and (5.9) this means that $c_{1}=c_{2}, c_{3}=c_{4}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be even by Theorem 2.2.

We next consider the case of $\epsilon_{2}^{2}=-1$. By (5.6) we can only have $\epsilon_{1}=-1$, $\epsilon_{3}=-\epsilon_{2}$ or $\epsilon_{3}=-1, \epsilon_{1}=-\epsilon_{2}$. Hence $\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}=\eta\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)$, where $\eta \in\{1,-1\}$. From (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 c_{1} & =\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)\left(\eta \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}\right) / d+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta, \\
2 c_{2} & =\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)\left(-\eta \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) / d+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta, \\
2 c_{3} & =\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)\left(-\eta \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) / d-\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta, \\
2 c_{4} & =\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)\left(\eta \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) / d-\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

On substituting these values of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ into (2.6) we get

$$
2 A_{n}=\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)\left(\left[\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}\right] X_{n}+\left[\rho_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}+\eta \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)\right] Y_{n}\right) / d+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) U_{n}
$$

Put $C=\rho_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}=P_{1}\left(P_{1}^{2}-3 P_{2}-4 Q\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)^{2}=\Delta E$, we have $\eta \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)= \pm \sqrt{\Delta E}$.

Since $A_{2}$ must be an integer and

$$
2 A_{2}=\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right)(C \pm \sqrt{\Delta E}) / d+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}
$$

we get

$$
2 d A_{2}-d P_{1}-C= \pm \sqrt{\Delta E}-\epsilon_{2} C-\epsilon_{2}( \pm \sqrt{\Delta E})
$$

If we put $M=2 d A_{2}-d P_{1}-C \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
M \pm \sqrt{\Delta E}=-\epsilon_{2}\left(C \pm \sqrt{\Delta E}-P_{1} d\right)
$$

Squaring both sides, we find that, since $\epsilon_{2}^{2}=-1$,

$$
M^{2}+\left(C-P_{1} d\right)^{2}+2 \Delta E=\mp 2\left(C-P_{1} d-M\right) \sqrt{\Delta E}
$$

If $G \neq S$, then $\Delta E$ is not a square and $\sqrt{\Delta E} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Hence $M=C-P_{1} d$ and $2 M^{2}+2 \Delta E=0$. But if $M^{2}+\Delta E=0$, then $G=-S$, which is not possible; hence, we must have $G=S$. In this case $R=\left[C+\eta \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)\right] / d \in \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$
2 A_{n}=X_{n}+U_{n}+R Y_{n}+\epsilon_{2}\left(U_{n}-X_{n}-R Y_{n}\right)
$$

Since $\epsilon_{2}\left(U_{n}-X_{n}-R Y_{n}\right) \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we must have

$$
U_{n}=X_{n}+R Y_{n}
$$

Putting $n=2$, we see that $R$ must be $P_{1}$. Putting $n=3$, we must have

$$
U_{3}=P_{1}^{2}-P_{2}-3 Q=X_{3}+P_{1} Y_{3}=-Q-P_{2}+P_{1}^{2}
$$

which means that $Q=0$, a contradiction. Hence $\epsilon_{2}^{2} \neq-1$ and we have proved Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 5.1, we cannot have $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ odd unless $S=G$.

Proof. We have already seen in the proof of Theorem 5.1 that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ can be odd only when $\epsilon_{2}=-1$. From (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} \lambda_{1}+c_{3} \lambda_{2}=1 \\
& c_{1} \lambda_{2}-c_{3} \lambda_{1}=\epsilon_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $c_{1}=\left(\lambda_{1}+\epsilon_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) / d, c_{3}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \epsilon_{1}\right) / d$, and since $c_{1}=-c_{2}, c_{3}=-c_{4}$ when $\epsilon_{2}=-1$, we get $d A_{2}=\rho_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}+\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \epsilon_{1}=C \pm \epsilon_{1} \sqrt{\Delta E}$ from (2.6). Since $d A_{2}-C \in \mathbb{Z}$, we must have $\sqrt{\Delta E} \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means that $S=G$.

We next deal with the case where $G=-S$ and $S \neq 1, G \neq 1$. Here we still have equations (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) and by Lemma 4.6 the additional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{4} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{4}^{4}=1$.
Theorem 5.3. If $S \neq 1$ and $G \neq 1$ and $G=-S$, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is either even or odd.
Proof. If we subtract (5.10) from (5.2) we get

$$
c_{3} \lambda_{2}-c_{4} \lambda_{2}=1-\epsilon_{4}
$$

Thus, from (5.7) we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 c_{3}=\left(1-\epsilon_{4}\right) / \lambda_{2}-\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta \\
& 2 c_{4}=\left(\epsilon_{4}-1\right) / \lambda_{2}-\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}=1-c_{3} \alpha_{2}-c_{4} \beta_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{4}\right) / 2+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{2} / 2 \delta$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 c_{1}=\left(\epsilon_{4}-\epsilon_{2}\right) / \lambda_{1}+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta, \\
& 2 c_{2}=\left(\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{4}\right) / \lambda_{1}+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{2}^{2}-\beta_{2}^{2}\right) / \delta-\left(\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{4}\right) \rho_{1}+\left(1-\epsilon_{4}\right) \rho_{2} \\
& 2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}-\left(1-\epsilon_{4}\right) \delta+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have already shown in the proof of Theorem 5.1 that if $\epsilon_{2}=-1$, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be odd. Suppose $\epsilon_{2}=1$. In this case

$$
2\left(A_{2}-P_{1}\right)=\left(\epsilon_{4}-1\right) \delta
$$

If we square both sides, we get

$$
\left(\epsilon_{4}^{2}+1-2 \epsilon_{4}\right) \Delta=4\left(A_{2}-P_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

If $\epsilon_{4}^{2}=-1$, then $\epsilon_{4} \Delta \in \mathbb{Q}$, which is impossible. Thus, $\epsilon_{4}^{2}=1$. If $\epsilon_{4}=1$, then $\epsilon_{4}=\epsilon_{2}=1$ and $c_{1}=c_{2}, c_{3}=c_{4}$; hence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even. If $\epsilon_{4}=-1$, then $A_{2}=P_{1}-\delta$ and therefore $\Delta$ is a square. However, this means that $S=1$, which is not possible. Thus, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is neither even nor odd, we must have $\epsilon_{2}^{2}=-1$.

Suppose $\epsilon_{2}^{2}=-1$ and $\epsilon_{4}=1$. In this case we get

$$
2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{1}
$$

and

$$
2 A_{2}-P_{1}=\epsilon_{2} \rho_{2}+\rho_{1} \quad\left(P_{1}=\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}\right)
$$

Thus $\left(\epsilon_{2} \rho_{2}+\rho_{1}\right)^{2}=P_{1}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)+2 \epsilon_{2} P_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$; consequently,

$$
\left(P_{1}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)+2 \epsilon_{2} P_{2}\right)^{2}=P_{1}^{2} \Delta+4 \epsilon_{2} P_{2} P_{1}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)-4 P_{2}^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and

$$
4 \epsilon_{2} P_{1} P_{2}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

It follows that $-16 P_{1}^{2} P_{2}^{2} \Delta$ is a square, but this means that $S=-1$ and $G=1$, a contradiction.

If $\epsilon_{4}=-1$, then

$$
2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}-2 \delta+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}\left(3+\epsilon_{2}\right)
$$

Since $3+\epsilon_{2} \neq 0$, we get $\rho_{2}=2 A_{2} /\left(3+\epsilon_{2}\right)$. We also recall that $\rho_{2}^{2}-P_{1} \rho_{2}+P_{2}=0$; hence by substitution of this value of $P_{2}$ we get

$$
4 A_{2}^{2}-6 P_{1} A_{2}+8 P_{2}+\left(6 P_{2}-2 P_{1} A_{2}\right) \epsilon_{2}=0
$$

Since $\epsilon_{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we must have $A_{2}=3 P_{2} / P_{1}\left(P_{1} \neq 0\right)$ and $4 A_{2}^{2}-6 P_{1} A_{2}+8 P_{2}=0$, which means that $36 P_{2}^{2}=10 P_{1}^{2} P_{2}$.

If $P_{2}=0$, then $\Delta=P_{1}^{2}$, which is not possible. Hence $P_{2}=5 P_{1}^{2} / 18$ and $\Delta=-P_{1}^{2} / 9$, which means that $S=-1$ and $G=1$, an impossibility.

Thus, if $\epsilon_{2}^{2}=-1$, we must have $\epsilon_{4}^{2}=-1$ and $\epsilon_{4}= \pm \epsilon_{2}$. If $\epsilon_{4}=\epsilon_{2}$, then

$$
2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}-\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \delta+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{1}
$$

and

$$
2 A_{2}-P_{1}=\epsilon_{2} \rho_{1}+\rho_{2}
$$

If $\epsilon_{4}=-\epsilon_{2}$, then

$$
2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}-\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \delta+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{1}
$$

and

$$
2 A_{2}-P_{1}=\epsilon_{2}\left(2 \rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{2}
$$

By using the same kind of reasoning as that employed above, we find that, since $S \neq 1, G \neq 1$ and $S=-G$, neither of these cases can occur.

Theorem 5.4. Under the conditions of Theorem 5.3 we cannot have $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ odd.
Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5.3 we have

$$
2 A_{2}=\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) P_{1}-\left(1-\epsilon_{4}\right) \delta+\left(1-\epsilon_{2}\right) \rho_{1}
$$

We know from the proof of Theorem 5.1 that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ can be odd only when $\epsilon_{2}=-1$. Under this condition

$$
2 A_{2}=P_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \delta
$$

and if $\epsilon_{4}^{4}=1$, then $\left(2 A_{2}-P_{1}\right)^{2}= \pm \Delta$ which implies that $S=1$ or $S=-1$ and $G=1$, both of which are excluded by the conditions of Theorem 5.3.

## 6. Some Cases When $S$ or $G$ is 1

We have seen that if $S \neq 1$ and $G \neq 1$, the only divisibility sequences $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be either even or odd. We now briefly consider the cases where $S=1$ or $G=1$.

If $S=1$ and $G \neq 1$, we have $\Delta=V^{2}(V \in \mathbb{Z}), \rho_{1}=\left(P_{1}+V\right) / 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\rho_{2}=\left(P_{1}-V\right) / 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $R_{i}=\rho_{i}^{2}-4 Q(i=1,2)$. Then $E=R_{1} R_{2}$. Since $R_{1} R_{2}$ is not a square, if neither $R_{1}$ nor $R_{2}$ is a square, there must exist infinite sets of primes $q$ such that either

$$
\left(\frac{R_{1}}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{R_{2}}{q}\right)=-1 ; \text { or }\left(\frac{R_{1}}{q}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{R_{2}}{q}\right)=1 ; \text { or }\left(\frac{R_{1}}{q}\right)=1,\left(\frac{R_{2}}{q}\right)=-1
$$

Also, if $p$ is a prime and $\left(\frac{R_{i}}{p}\right)=-1(i=1,2)$, then in the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{R_{1}}, \sqrt{R_{2}}\right)$, we have

$$
\alpha_{i}^{p} \equiv \beta_{i} \quad(\bmod p), \quad \beta_{i}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{i} \quad(\bmod p) \quad(i=1,2)
$$

and if $\left(\frac{R_{i}}{p}\right)=1(i=1,2)$, then

$$
\alpha_{i}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{i} \quad(\bmod p), \quad \beta_{i}^{p} \equiv \beta_{i} \quad(\bmod p) \quad(i=1,2)
$$

By (2.6) we see that

$$
A_{n+p^{2}-1} \equiv A_{n} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

for any prime $p$ such that $p \nmid 2 D$ for any $n \geq 0$. It follows that $A_{q}^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$ if $q$ is any prime such that $q>N$ (Lemma 4.3).

We also find that if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be a divisibility sequence, we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4} & =0 \\
c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}+c_{4} \beta_{2} & =1 \\
c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2} & =\epsilon_{1} \\
c_{1} \beta_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}+c_{4} \beta_{2} & =\epsilon_{2} \\
c_{1} \beta_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2} & =\epsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}^{2}=\epsilon_{2}^{2}=\epsilon_{3}^{2}=1$. We can then deduce that $1+\epsilon_{3}=\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
2 c_{1}=\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta-\left(\epsilon_{2}-1\right) / \lambda_{1}, & 2 c_{2}=\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta+\left(\epsilon_{2}-1\right) / \lambda_{1} \\
2 c_{3}=-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta-\left(\epsilon_{1}-1\right) / \lambda_{2}, & 2 c_{4}=-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta+\left(\epsilon_{1}-1\right) / \lambda_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Since $1+\epsilon_{3}=\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}$, we can only have $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=1$ or $\epsilon_{1}=-\epsilon_{2}$. In the former case we get $c_{1}=c_{2}, c_{3}=c_{4}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be even. In the latter case we get $c_{1}=-c_{2}, c_{3}=-c_{4}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ must be odd.

Thus, if $G \neq 1, \Delta=V^{2}$, and neither of $P_{1}^{2} \pm 2 V P_{1}+\Delta-16 Q$ is a square, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is either even or odd. We also note in this case that we have

$$
c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{1} \quad\left(\epsilon_{1}^{2}=1\right)
$$

If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd, then since $c_{1}=-c_{2}, c_{3}=-c_{4}$, we get

$$
c_{1} \lambda_{1}+c_{3} \lambda_{2}=1, \quad c_{1} \lambda_{1}-c_{3} \lambda_{2}=\epsilon_{1}
$$

Thus, $2 c_{1} \lambda_{1}=1+\epsilon_{1}, 2 c_{3} \lambda_{2}=1-\epsilon_{1}$. It follows that either $c_{1}=0$ or $c_{3}=0$ and therefore $A_{n}$ is one of the Lucas functions $u_{n}\left(\rho_{i}, Q\right)(i=1$ or 2$)$.

We next consider the case where $S \neq 1, G=1$ and $E=U^{2}$ where $U \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have seen in [13] that there must exist integers $r_{1}, r_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ satisfying $r_{i}>0$ and $\left(r_{i}, q_{i}\right)=1$ for $i=1,2$, such that

$$
P_{1}^{2}=r_{1} r_{2}, \quad P_{2}=q_{1} r_{2}+q_{2} r_{1}-4 q_{1} q_{2}, \quad Q=q_{1} q_{2}, \quad U=q_{1} r_{2}-q_{2} r_{1}
$$

Here we have $\alpha_{1}=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}, \quad \beta_{1}=\nu_{1} \nu_{2}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\nu_{1} \mu_{2} \quad$ and $\quad \beta_{2}=\mu_{1} \nu_{2}$, where $\mu_{i}+\nu_{i}=\sqrt{r_{i}}, \mu_{i} \nu_{i}=q_{i}(i=1,2)$. Also, $\Delta=d_{1} d_{2}$, where $d_{i}=r_{i}-4 q_{i}(i=$ $1,2)$.

In the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ we have, for any prime $p$ such that $p \nmid 2 D$,

$$
\mu_{i}^{p} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\frac{r_{i}}{p}\right) \mu_{i} & (\bmod p) & \text { when } \quad\left(\frac{d_{i} r_{i}}{p}\right)=1 \\
\left(\frac{r_{i}}{p}\right) \nu_{i} & (\bmod p) & \text { when } \quad\left(\frac{d_{i} r_{i}}{q}\right)=-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\alpha_{1}^{p} \equiv \beta_{2}, \beta_{1}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{p} \equiv \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{1} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

when $\left(\frac{d_{1} r_{1}}{p}\right)=1,\left(\frac{d_{2} r_{2}}{p}\right)=-1$. Also

$$
\alpha_{1}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}^{p} \equiv \beta_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{1}, \beta_{2}^{p} \equiv \beta_{1} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

when $\left(\frac{d_{1} r_{1}}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{d_{2} r_{2}}{p}\right)=1$ and

$$
\alpha_{1}^{p} \equiv \beta_{1}, \beta_{1}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}^{p} \equiv \beta_{2}, \beta_{2}^{p} \equiv \alpha_{2} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

when $\left(\frac{d_{1} r_{1}}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{d_{2} r_{2}}{p}\right)=-1$. In all possible cases, including $\left(\frac{d_{1} r_{1}}{p}\right)=$ $\left(\frac{d_{2} r_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, we find that

$$
\alpha_{i}^{p^{2}} \equiv \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}^{p^{2}} \equiv \beta_{i} \quad(\bmod p) \quad \text { for } i=1,2
$$

Hence, $A_{p^{2}-1+n} \equiv A_{n}(\bmod p)$. It follows from Hall's reasoning that if neither $d_{1} r_{1}$ nor $d_{2} r_{2}$ is a perfect square, then we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4} & =0 \\
c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \beta_{1}+c_{3} \alpha_{2}+c_{4} \beta_{2} & =1 \\
c_{1} \beta_{2}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+c_{3} \beta_{1}+c_{4} \alpha_{1} & =\epsilon_{1} \\
c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \beta_{2}+c_{3} \alpha_{1}+c_{4} \beta_{1} & =\epsilon_{2} \\
c_{1} \beta_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \beta_{2}+c_{4} \alpha_{2} & =\epsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}^{2}=\epsilon_{2}^{2}=\epsilon_{3}^{2}=1$. By adding these equations we get

$$
1+\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}=0
$$

We can also deduce that

$$
c_{1}+c_{2}=-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta, \quad c_{3}+c_{4}=\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) / \delta
$$

If $\epsilon_{3}=-1$, then $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}=0$ and $c_{1}=-c_{2}, c_{3}=-c_{4}$, which means that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd. If $\epsilon_{3}=1$, then $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=-1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}+\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right) \lambda_{2}=0 \\
& \left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \lambda_{2}+\left(c_{3}-c_{4}\right) \lambda_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}=P_{1} \delta \neq 0$, we have $c_{1}=c_{2}$ and $c_{3}=c_{4}$ and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is even.
Now $\left(P_{2}+4 Q-U\right) / 2=q_{2} r_{1}$ and $\left(P_{2}+4 Q+U\right) / 2=q_{1} r_{2}$; hence $r_{1}=q_{1}\left(P_{2}+\right.$ $4 Q-U) / 2 Q, r_{2}=q_{2}\left(P_{2}+4 Q+U\right) / 2 Q$. Hence $d_{1}=q_{1}\left(P_{2}-4 Q-U\right) / 2 Q, d_{2}=$ $q_{2}\left(P_{2}-4 Q+U\right) / 2 Q$. and

$$
4 Q^{2} d_{1} r_{1}=q_{1}^{2}\left[\left(P_{2}-U\right)^{2}-16 Q^{2}\right], \quad 4 Q^{2} d_{2} r_{2}=q_{2}^{2}\left[\left(P_{2}+U\right)^{2}-16 Q^{2}\right]
$$

Thus, if $E=U^{2}$ and neither of $\left(P_{2} \pm U\right)^{2}-16 Q^{2}$ is a square, then $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is either even or odd.

If $G=1, S \neq 1$, and $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is odd, then since $c_{2}=-c_{1}, c_{4}=-c_{3}$, we get

$$
c_{1} \lambda_{1}+c_{3} \lambda_{2}=1, \quad c_{1} \lambda_{2}+c_{3} \lambda_{1}=\epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon^{2}=1$. It follows that

$$
c_{1}=1 /\left(\lambda_{1}+\epsilon \lambda_{2}\right), \quad c_{2}=\epsilon /\left(\lambda_{1}+\epsilon \lambda_{2}\right)
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n} & =\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}+\epsilon \lambda_{2}}\left(\alpha_{1}^{n}-\beta_{1}^{n}+\epsilon\left(\alpha_{2}^{n}-\beta_{2}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(\mu_{1}^{n}+\epsilon \nu_{1}^{n}\right)\left(\mu_{2}^{n}-\epsilon \nu_{2}^{n}\right)}{\left(\mu_{1}+\epsilon \nu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\epsilon \nu_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality we can put $\epsilon=1$ and we find that

$$
A_{n}=v_{n}\left(\sqrt{r_{1}}, q_{1}\right) u_{n}\left(\sqrt{r_{2}}, q_{2}\right) / \sqrt{r_{1}}
$$

If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be a divisibility sequence, we need $A_{n} \mid A_{2 n}$, but this can only happen if

$$
A_{2 n} / A_{n}=\left(\mu_{1}^{n}+\nu_{1}^{n}\right)\left(\mu_{2}^{n}+\nu_{2}^{n}\right)-2 \mu_{1}^{n} \nu_{1}^{n}\left(\mu_{2}^{n}+\nu_{2}^{n}\right) /\left(\mu_{1}^{n}+\nu_{1}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Now since $\left(\mu_{1}^{n}+\nu_{1}^{n}\right)\left(\mu_{2}^{n}+\nu_{2}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we must have $2 q_{1}^{n}\left(\mu_{2}^{n}+\nu_{2}^{n}\right) /\left(\mu_{1}^{n}+\nu_{1}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$; thus, if $n=1$, then we need $2 q_{1} \sqrt{r_{2} / r_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\left(q_{1}, r_{1}\right)=1$, this means that $2 \sqrt{r_{2} / r_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we put

$$
t_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
2 & 2 \mid n \\
2 \sqrt{r_{2} / r_{1}} & 2 \nmid n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We see that $A_{2 n} / A_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if

$$
\bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right) \nmid q_{1}^{n} t_{n} \bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right)
$$

Since $\left(\bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right), q_{1}\right)=\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$, we see that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a divisibility sequence if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right) \mid t_{n} \bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that if $p$ is a prime, $p \nmid 2 q$, and $p \mid \bar{v}_{n}(r, q)$, then there exists a minimal $\rho(>0)$ such that $p \mid \bar{v}_{\rho}(r, q)$ and if $p \mid \bar{v}_{m}(r, q)$, then $\rho \mid m$. Also $\rho=\omega / 2$, where $\omega$ is the rank of apparition of $p$ in $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}(r, q)\right\}$. If we now define $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}(p)=\left(\frac{d_{1} r_{1}}{p}\right), \eta_{2}=\eta_{2}(p)=\left(\frac{d_{2} r_{2}}{p}\right)$, and $\theta_{1}=\theta_{1}(p)=\left(\frac{q_{1} r_{1}}{p}\right)$, we know from results of Lehmer [2] that

$$
p \mid \bar{v}_{\left(p-\eta_{1}\right) / 2}\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right)
$$

when $\theta_{1}=-1$. Note that $\eta_{1} \eta_{2}=\left(\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)$ because $r_{1} r_{2}$ is a square. If we suppose that $r_{1} q_{1}$ is not a square, then because $\left(d_{1}, q_{1}\right)=\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$, there must exist (see [13]) an infinitude of primes $p\left(>t_{n}\right)$ such that $\theta_{1}(p)=-1, \eta_{1}(p) \eta_{2}(p)=$ -1 and $p \nmid 2 q_{1} q_{2}$. For such primes we see that for $n=\left(p-\eta_{1}\right) / 2$ we must have $p \mid \bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right)$ by (6.1) if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be a divisibility sequence. If $\rho$ is the least value of $m$ such that $p \mid \bar{v}_{m}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right)$, then $\rho \mid n$. Now Lehmer [2] showed that if $\omega$ is the rank of apparition of $p$ in $\left\{u_{m}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right\}$, then $\omega$ must divide $p-\eta_{2}$. This means that $\rho \mid\left(p-\eta_{2}\right) / 2$, but since $p \mid \bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right)$, we must have $\rho \mid n$ or $\rho \mid\left(p-\eta_{1}\right) / 2$. Since $\eta_{1} \eta_{2}=-1$, this is impossible unless $\rho=1$, but in this case $\bar{v}_{\rho}\left(r_{2}, q_{2}\right)=1$, a contradiction.

Thus, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be an odd divisibility sequence when $G=1$ and $S \neq 1$, we must have $r_{1} q_{1}$ a perfect square. Since $\left(r_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$, this means that both $r_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ must be perfect squares. Also, since $r_{1} r_{2}$ is a square, we must have $r_{2}$ a perfect square. We note that $\bar{v}_{n}\left(s^{2}, q\right)=v_{n}(s, q)$ when $2 \mid n$ and $\bar{v}_{n}\left(s^{2}, q\right)=v_{n}(s, q) / s$ when $2 \nmid n$. Thus, if we put $r_{1}=s_{1}^{2}, r_{2}=s_{2}^{2}$, then, by (6.1), we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 v_{n}\left(s_{2}, q_{2}\right) / v_{n}\left(s_{1}, q_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S \neq 1$, this seems most unlikely.

## 7. Conclusion

We now summarize some of our results. We let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ be any non null linear divisibility sequence with characteristic polynomial $F(x)$, given by (2.2) with nonzero discriminant and $P_{1} \neq 0$. We let $S, G$ be defined by (3.2).

1. If $S \neq 1$ and $G \neq 1,\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ can only be even or odd.
2. There is always one and only one even $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ for any given $F(x)$.
3. If $S \neq 1$ and $G \neq 1$ and $G \neq S$, there can be no odd $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$.
4. If $S=1$ and $G \neq 1$, then the only possible odd $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is the Lucas sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$.
This leaves us with several unanswered questions.
5. If $G=1$ or $S=1$, what are the conditions on $P_{1}, P_{2}, Q$ for the existence of an $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ that is neither even nor odd? We have seen that if $P_{1}=0$ ( $G=1, S=1$ ), such a sequence does exist, but are there any when $P_{1} \neq 0$ ?
6. Do any odd sequences $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ exist when $S=G \neq 1$ ? No non-trivial example of such a sequence is known. We do have the case of $\left|P_{1}\right|=1, P_{2}=-1$, $Q=1,\left|A_{2}\right|=1$. In this case $\Delta=E=5$, but $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is periodic with period 10 and $\left|A_{n}\right|=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
7. Do any odd divisibility sequences exist when $G=1$ and $S \neq 1$ ? If so, then (6.2) must hold, and this seems very unlikely when $S \neq 1$.
8. What odd $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ exist when $S=G=1$ ?

In Section 2 we mentioned some odd divisibility sequences, and $S=G=1$ for all of these. In fact, Oosterhout [4, §3.5.1] discovered an infinitude of odd $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, where, if $R$ and $W$ are integer parameters and $R \mid W^{2}$, we put $P_{1}=W^{2} / R-3 W$, $P_{2}=W^{3} / R-6 W^{2}+10 W R-4 R^{2}, Q=R^{2}, A_{-1}=-R^{2}, A_{0}=0, A_{1}=1$, $A_{2}=W^{2} / R-2 W$. Here $\Delta=\left(W^{2} / R-5 W+4 R\right)^{2}, E=\left(W^{3} / R-6 W^{2}+8 R W\right)^{2}$.

After making some minor corrections, we get these sequences from hers by replacing her $Q$ by $W$ and her $Q^{2} / P$ by $R$. Also, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is to be non null, we must have $\left(R, W^{2} / R\right)=1$ and $|R|$ a perfect square.

If we put $R=1, W=5$, we get $P_{1}=10, P_{2}=21, Q=1, A_{2}=15$. This is A127595 in [7]; however, A215466 cannot be represented by any of Oosterhout's schemes. Thus it appears that there are more odd $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ yet to be discovered, but it seems that such sequences will have $S=G=1$.
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