



**POWERS IN PRIME BASES AND A PROBLEM ON CENTRAL  
BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS**

**Sebastian Tim Holdum**

*Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Denmark*  
sebastian.holdum@nbi.dk

**Frederik Ravn Klausen**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Copenhagen, Denmark*  
t1k870@alumni.ku.dk

**Peter Michael Reichstein Rasmussen**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Copenhagen, Denmark*  
nmq584@alumni.ku.dk

*Received: 7/18/14, Revised: 8/30/15, Accepted: 10/4/15, Published: 10/13/15*

**Abstract**

It is an open problem whether  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is divisible by 4 or 9 for all  $n > 256$ . In connection with this, we prove that for a fixed uneven  $m$  the asymptotic density of  $k$ 's such that  $m \nmid \binom{2^{k+1}}{2^k}$  is 0. To do so we examine numbers of the form  $\alpha^k$  in base  $p$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $(\alpha, p) = 1$ . For every  $n$  and  $a$  we find an upper bound on the number of  $k$ 's less than  $a$  such that  $(\alpha^k)_p$  contains less than  $n$  digits greater than  $\frac{p}{2}$ . This is done by showing that every sequence of the form  $\langle \sigma_t, \dots, \sigma_1, \sigma_0 \rangle$ , where  $0 \leq \sigma_i < p$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $\sigma_0$  is in the residue class generated by  $\alpha$  modulo  $p$ , occurs at specific places in the representation  $(\alpha^k)_p$  as  $k$  varies.

**1. Introduction**

A well known conjecture by Erdős states that the central binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is never squarefree for  $n > 4$ . The problem was finally solved in 1996 by Granville and Ramaré [5], but is still inspiring further investigation of the central binomial coefficients. One question left unanswered can be found in *Concrete Mathematics* [4] and is the following conjecture, which is the starting point of this paper.

**Conjecture 1.1.** The central binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is divisible by 4 or 9 for every  $n > 4$  except  $n = 64$  and  $n = 256$ .

Since 4 divides  $\binom{2n}{n}$  when  $n$  is not a power of 2, we consider only binomial coefficients of the form  $\binom{2^{k+1}}{2^k}$  in our study of the conjecture. By Kummer's theorem,

the greatest exponent of a prime  $p$  dividing the central binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is equal to the number of carries as  $n$  is added to itself in base  $p$ . Thus, to prove the conjecture it is sufficient to show that there are at least 2 carries when  $2^k$  is added to itself in base 3 and  $k > 8$ .

In relation to this, Erdős conjectured in 1979 [2] that the base 3 representation of  $2^k$  only omits the digit 2 for  $k = 0, 2, 8$ , noting that no methods for attacking it seemed to exist.

Methods for analysing the digits of powers of a number  $\alpha$  in prime bases are scarce, and further developing such methods is what most of this paper will be concerned with.

Considering the periodicity of the base  $p$  representation of  $\alpha^k$ , for a prime  $p$  and  $(p, \alpha) = 1$ , we find new patterns that allow us to bound the function

$$\mathcal{S}_p^n(a) = \# \left\{ 0 \leq s < a \mid (\alpha^s)_p \text{ contains less than } n \text{ digits greater than } \frac{p}{2} \right\}.$$

Specifically, we show that every sequence of the form  $\langle \sigma_t, \dots, \sigma_1, \sigma_0 \rangle$ , where  $0 \leq \sigma_i < p$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $\sigma_0$  is in the residue class generated by  $\alpha$  modulo  $p$ , occurs at given places in the representation  $(\alpha^k)_p$  as  $k$  varies.

Interestingly, if  $p$  is not a Wieferich prime base  $\alpha$ , it turns out that this system occurs on every digit of  $(\alpha^k)_p$ .

We use the above observations to show that

$$\mathcal{S}_p^n(a) \leq 8 (\log_p(a))^{n-1} a^{\log_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}, \tag{1}$$

and in special cases we improve results due to Narkiewicz [8], and Kennedy and Cooper [1]. The bound (1) is used to prove that for any odd  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of numbers  $k$  such that  $m \nmid \binom{2^{k+1}}{2^k}$  has asymptotic density 0, which in the case  $m = 9$  specifically addresses conjecture 1.1.

Lastly, we have used computer experiments to improve a result due to Goetgheluck [3] which confirmed Conjecture 1.1 for all  $n \leq 2^{4 \cdot 2 \cdot 10^7}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The central binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is divisible by 4 or 9 for every  $n$  such that  $4 < n \leq 2^{10^{13}}$  except for  $n = 64$  and  $n = 256$ .*

See the Appendix for source code.

## 2. Large Digits in Prime Bases

In this section we explore the base  $p$  representation of powers of an integer  $\alpha$ , where  $p$  is a prime not dividing  $\alpha$ . We say that a digit  $n$  is “small” if  $n < \frac{p}{2}$  and “large” otherwise. Further,  $p$  will always denote an odd prime, and  $\alpha > 1$  an integer with  $(\alpha, p) = 1$ .

The main goal of the section is to bound the following function in various ways.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $\alpha$  such that  $p \nmid \alpha$ . Then set

$$S_p^n(a) = \#\{0 \leq s < a \mid (\alpha^s)_p \text{ contains } < n \text{ large digits}\}.$$

Bounding the  $S_p^n$  is done by considering periodic properties of  $\alpha^k$  in base  $p$  as  $k$  varies.

**2.1. Notation and Definitions**

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We write  $p^k \parallel n$  if  $p^k \mid n$  and  $p^{k+1} \nmid n$ , i.e., if  $k$  is the greatest exponent of  $p$  dividing  $n$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We define the following:

- $\delta = \{\alpha^k \pmod p \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , i.e.  $\delta$  is the set of residues generated by  $\alpha$  modulo  $p$ .
- $\theta = \#\{a \in \delta \mid 0 \leq a < \frac{p}{2}\}$ , i.e.  $\theta$  is the number of small residues in  $\delta$ .
- $\gamma = \text{ord}_p(\alpha) = |\delta|$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We let  $\Lambda_n$  denote the set of sequences of the form

$$\langle \sigma_n, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_1, \sigma_0 \rangle,$$

where  $\sigma_0 \in \delta$  and  $0 \leq \sigma_i < p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be represented in base  $p$  as  $m = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i p^i$ , where  $0 \leq a_j < p$ . To pinpoint specific digits we make the following definitions:  $a_k = (m)_p[k]$  and  $\langle a_k, \dots, a_l \rangle = (m)_p[k : l], k \geq l$ .

**2.2. Sequences**

We will now consider the representations  $(\alpha^s)_p$  when  $s$  varies to show how members of  $\Lambda_k$  occur as subsequences of these representations.

First, we need a couple of lemmas.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $\alpha > 1$  be given such that  $(p, \alpha) = 1$ . Let further  $p^t \parallel \alpha^{\gamma p^k} - 1$  for some  $t > 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $p^{t+1} \parallel \alpha^{\gamma p^{k+1}} - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha^{\gamma p^k} = up^t + 1$  with  $(u, p) = 1$ . Then

$$\alpha^{\gamma p^{k+1}} = (up^t + 1)^p = 1 + up^{t+1} + u^2 p^{2t} \binom{p}{2} + R,$$

where  $R$  is divisible by  $p^{3t}$  and thus divisible by  $p^{t+2}$  since  $t > 0$ . Further,  $p \mid \binom{p}{2}$ , so  $p^{t+2} \mid u^2 p^{2t} \binom{p}{2}$  and we get

$$\alpha^{\gamma p^{k+1}} \equiv 1 + up^{t+1} \pmod{p^{t+2}},$$

showing that  $p^{t+1} \parallel \alpha^{\gamma p^{k+1}} - 1$ . □

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $\alpha > 1$  be given such that  $(p, \alpha) = 1$ . Assume that  $p^\tau \parallel \alpha^\gamma - 1$ . Then*

$$p^{\tau+k} \parallel \alpha^{\gamma p^k} - 1 \text{ and } \text{ord}_{p^{\tau+k}}(\alpha) = \gamma p^k$$

for every  $k \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The first part follows easily by induction on  $k$  using Lemma 2.6. For the second part, note that

$$\gamma = \text{ord}_p(\alpha) \mid \text{ord}_{p^{\tau+k}}(\alpha) \text{ and } \text{ord}_{p^{\tau+k}}(\alpha) \mid \gamma p^k.$$

Thus,  $\text{ord}_{p^{\tau+k}}(\alpha) = \gamma p^r$  for some  $r \leq k$ . By the first part, we have  $p^{\tau+k-1} \parallel \alpha^{\gamma p^{k-1}} - 1$ , so  $p^{\tau+k} \nmid \alpha^{\gamma p^{k-1}} - 1$  and we must have  $\text{ord}_{p^{\tau+k}}(\alpha) = \gamma p^k$ .  $\square$

With these lemmas at hand we are ready to analyse the base  $p$  representation  $(\alpha^s)_p$ . To do so, we use the following definition.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $a = \dots a_2 a_1 a_0$  be any integer represented by an infinite sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  in some base. Then we define

$$c_{\tau,k}(a) = \langle \underline{a_{\tau+k-1}}, \dots, \underline{a_{\tau+1}}, \underline{a_\tau}, a_0 \rangle.$$

We make this definition since our interest lies in the digits underlined here:

$$\dots \underline{a_{\tau+k-1}} \dots \underline{a_\tau} \dots a_1 a_0,$$

because all the elements of  $\Lambda_n$  will appear periodically as subsequences of  $(\alpha^s)_p$  on these positions, when  $s$  changes. This is captured in the main theorem of the section.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $\alpha > 1$  be given such that  $(p, \alpha) = 1$ . Further, let  $\tau > 0$  be the integer satisfying  $p^\tau \parallel \alpha^\gamma - 1$ . Then for any  $k \geq 0$*

$$\{c_{\tau,k}((\alpha^b)_p) \mid 0 \leq b < \gamma p^k\} = \Lambda_k.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T := \{c_{\tau,k}((\alpha^b)_p) \mid 0 \leq b < \gamma p^k\}$ . Clearly,  $T \subseteq \Lambda_k$  since every member of  $T$  is of the form  $\langle \sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}, \dots, \sigma_1, \sigma_0 \rangle$ , where  $0 \leq \sigma_i < p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\sigma_0 \in \delta$ , because  $(\alpha^b)_p[0] \in \delta$  for any  $b \geq 0$ .

We now prove  $T = \Lambda_k$ , by showing  $|T| = \gamma p^k = |\Lambda_k|$ , where the last equality already follows from the definition of  $\Lambda_k$ .

Since  $p^\tau \parallel \alpha^\gamma - 1$  both  $(\alpha^b)_p[\tau - 1 : 0]$  and  $(\alpha^b)_p[0]$  are periodic with respect to  $b$  with least period  $\gamma$  and no repetitions in the period. This means that for  $b, c \geq 0$  we have  $(\alpha^b)_p[\tau - 1 : 0] = (\alpha^c)_p[\tau - 1 : 0]$  if and only if  $(\alpha^b)_p[0] = (\alpha^c)_p[0]$ .

Now, assume for contradiction that  $c_{\tau,k}((\alpha^b)_p) = c_{\tau,k}((\alpha^c)_p)$  for some  $0 \leq b < c < \gamma p^k$ . Since  $(\alpha^b)_p[0] = (\alpha^c)_p[0]$  we have  $(\alpha^b)_p[\tau - 1 : 0] = (\alpha^c)_p[\tau - 1 : 0]$ , so

$(\alpha^b)_p[\tau + k - 1 : 0] = (\alpha^c)_p[\tau + k - 1 : 0]$ , i.e.  $\alpha^b \equiv \alpha^c \pmod{p^{\tau+k}}$ . Therefore,  $p^{\tau+k} \mid \alpha^b(\alpha^{c-b} - 1)$ , but this means that  $p^{\tau+k} \mid \alpha^{c-b} - 1$  contradicting Lemma 2.7 since  $0 < c - b < \gamma p^k$ .

Thus, all the elements in the definition of  $T$  are different, and  $|T| = \gamma p^k$ . □

**2.2.1. Wieferich Primes**

The main result of the section has a curious corollary related to the Wieferich primes.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\alpha > 1$  be given such that  $(\alpha, p) = 1$ . Then  $p$  is a Wieferich prime base  $\alpha$  if  $p^2 \mid \alpha^\gamma - 1$ .

Since numerics [6] indicate that for any  $\alpha > 1$  the Wieferich primes base  $\alpha$  are somewhat scarce, it is interesting that the following elegant property holds for any  $(p, \alpha)$  such that  $p$  is not a Wieferich prime base  $\alpha$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $p$  be a prime which is not a Wieferich prime base  $\alpha$ . Then

$$\{(\alpha^b)_p[k : 0] \mid 0 \leq b < \gamma p^k\} = \Lambda_k.$$

*Proof.* Since  $p$  is not a Wieferich prime base  $\alpha$ , we have  $p^1 \nmid \alpha^\gamma$ . Noticing that  $c_{1,k}(a) = a[k : 0]$  the corollary follows directly from Theorem 2.9. □

Thus,  $p$  not being a Wieferich prime base  $\alpha$  implies that the first  $k + 1$  digits of  $(\alpha^s)_p$  will form all sequences of  $\Lambda_k$  periodically as  $s$  varies.

**2.3. Bounds on  $\mathcal{S}_p^n$**

The findings of the previous section allow us to obtain various bounds on the function  $\mathcal{S}_p^n$ . First we introduce a lemma, which is a step on the way to bounding  $\mathcal{S}_p^n$  for  $n = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $s, t \geq 0$ ,  $p$  be a prime, and  $\gamma = \text{ord}_p(\alpha)$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{S}_p^1(s\gamma p^t) \leq s\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t.$$

*Proof.* The number of sequences of  $\Lambda_t$  containing only small digits is  $\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.9 there are at most  $\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t$  integers  $0 \leq h < \gamma p^t$ , such that  $(\alpha^h)_p$  does not contain any large digits. Now, letting  $p^\tau \parallel \alpha^\gamma - 1$  we have, by Lemma 2.7, that the last  $\tau + t - 1$  digits of  $(\alpha^h)_p$  are periodic with respect to  $h$  with least period  $\gamma p^t$  and no repetition in the period. Thus,

$$\Lambda_t = \{c_{\tau,t}((\alpha^b)_p) \mid 0 \leq b < \gamma p^t\} = \{c_{\tau,t}((\alpha^b)_p) \mid r\gamma p^t \leq b < (r+1)\gamma p^t\}$$

for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and we can see that there are at most  $\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t$  integers  $r\gamma p^t \leq h < (r+1)\gamma p^t$  such that  $(\alpha^h)_p$  does not contain any large digits.

This yields

$$\mathcal{S}_p^1(s\gamma p^t) \leq s\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t.$$

□

Now, the following theorem improves a result by Narkiewicz [8] by a constant factor.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $\alpha \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For every  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\mathcal{S}_3^1(a) \leq 1.3a^{\log_3(2)}$ .*

*Proof.* The theorem obviously holds for  $a = 1$ . Now consider an  $a \geq 2$ , and let  $s, t$  be given such that  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $s \cdot 2 \cdot 3^t \leq a \leq (s+1) \cdot 2 \cdot 3^t$ . We now have

$$t \leq \log_3(a) - \log_3(2s),$$

and since  $\mathcal{S}_3^1$  clearly is weakly increasing and by Lemma 2.12, we get

$$\mathcal{S}_3^1(a) \leq \mathcal{S}_3^1((s+1) \cdot 2 \cdot 3^t) \leq (s+1) \cdot 2^t \leq (s+1) \cdot 2^{-\log_3(2s)} \cdot 2^{\log_3(a)}.$$

For  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  the constant  $(s+1) \cdot 2^{-\log_3(2s)}$  is maximised by  $s = 1$ , and so

$$\mathcal{S}_3^1(a) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-\log_3(2)} \cdot 2^{\log_3(a)} \leq 1.3a^{\log_3(2)}.$$

□

The function  $\mathcal{S}_m^1$  for  $m > 2$  is studied by R. E. Kennedy and C. Cooper [1], and if we consider only the cases when  $m$  is a prime, we get the following improvement of their results, which replaces a factor increasing with  $m$  with a constant.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\alpha$  arbitrary in the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then for all  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_p^1(a) \leq 4a^{\log_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}$ .*

*Proof.* The theorem holds for  $a < \gamma$  since  $a < 4a^{\log_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}$  for  $a < p$ .

Now let  $a \geq \gamma$  and  $s, t$  be integers with  $0 < s < p$  such that  $s\gamma p^t \leq a < (s+1)\gamma p^t$ .

Now,  $t \leq \log_p(a) - \log_p(s\gamma)$ , and letting  $\mu = \log_p\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)$  we get, by Lemma 2.12,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p^1(a) &\leq \mathcal{S}_p^1((s+1)\gamma p^t) \leq (s+1)\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t \leq (s+1)\theta \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{\log_p(a) - \log_p(s\gamma)} \\ &= (s+1)\theta (s\gamma)^{-\mu} a^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta \leq \gamma < p$  we get

$$\mathcal{S}_p^1(a) \leq \frac{s+1}{s^\mu} \gamma^{1-\mu} a^\mu \leq \frac{s+1}{s^\mu} p^{1-\mu} a^\mu = \frac{s+1}{s^\mu} \frac{2p}{p+1} a^\mu.$$

Considering  $\frac{s+1}{s^\mu}$  we see that  $\frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{s+1}{s^\mu} \right) = s^{-\mu-1}(s(1-\mu) - \mu)$ , and thus  $\frac{s+1}{s^\mu}$  is strictly decreasing for  $s \in \left[1, \frac{\mu}{1-\mu}\right)$  and strictly increasing for  $s \in \left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}, p\right]$  and consequently attains its maximum on  $[1, p]$  either at 1 or  $p$ . Since  $s = 1, s = p$  both yield  $\frac{1+1}{1^\mu} = \frac{p+1}{p^\mu} = 2$ , we get  $\mathcal{S}_p^1(a) \leq 4a^\mu$ .  $\square$

Finally, we generalize our observations regarding  $\mathcal{S}_p^n$ .

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $s \geq 0, t \geq 1, p$  be a prime, and  $\gamma = \text{ord}_p(\alpha)$ . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{S}_p^n(s\gamma p^t) \leq 2s\gamma t^{n-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t.$$

*Proof.* For  $t = 1$  the result is clear. Now, assume  $t > 1$ .

First, we count the number of sequences  $\eta \in \Lambda_t$  such that  $\eta$  contains less than  $n$  large elements. This is done by counting for each  $i < n$  how many sequences  $\eta \in \Lambda_t$  that contain exactly  $i$  large elements.

For each  $i$  we split up into two cases:

**Case 1:** The last element of  $\eta$  is large (which means  $i > 0$ ). This element can then be chosen in  $\gamma - \theta$  ways, and there are  $\binom{t}{i-1} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{i-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{t+1-i}$  ways to choose the remaining  $t$  elements such that exactly  $i - 1$  of them are large.

**Case 2:** The last element of  $\eta$  is small. This element can then be chosen in  $\theta$  ways, and there are  $\binom{t}{i} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{t-i}$  ways to choose the remaining  $t$  elements such that exactly  $i$  of them are large.

Thus, we can express the number of elements in  $\Lambda_t$  containing less than  $n$  large elements by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\gamma - \theta) \binom{t}{i-1} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{i-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{t+1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta \binom{t}{i} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{t-i} \\ \leq \gamma \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{t}{i} \\ \leq \gamma \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t^i \\ \leq 2\gamma t^{n-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t, \end{aligned}$$

since  $t > 1$ .

Now, as in the proof of Lemma 2.12, we can conclude by Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.7 that for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there are at most  $2\gamma t^{n-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t$  integers  $r\gamma p^t \leq k < (r+1)\gamma p^t$  such that  $(\alpha^k)_p$  contains less than  $n$  large digits. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_p^n(s\gamma p^t) \leq 2s\gamma t^{n-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t.$$

□

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\alpha$  arbitrary in the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then for all  $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $a \geq \gamma p$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_p^n(a) \leq 8 \log_p(a)^{n-1} a^{\log_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \geq \gamma p$  be given, and  $s, t$  be integers with  $0 < s < p$  and  $t \geq 1$  such that  $s\gamma p^t \leq a < (s+1)\gamma p^t$ . Now,  $t \leq \log_p(a) - \log_p(s\gamma)$ , and letting  $\mu = \log_p(\frac{p+1}{2})$  we use Lemma 2.15 and the fact that  $\frac{s+1}{s^\mu} \gamma^{1-\mu} \leq 4$  from the proof of Theorem 2.14 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p^n(a) &\leq \mathcal{S}_p^n((s+1)\gamma p^t) \\ &\leq 2(s+1)\gamma t^{n-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^t \\ &\leq 2(s+1)\gamma (\log_p(a) - \log_p(s\gamma))^{n-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{\log_p(a) - \log_p(s\gamma)} \\ &\leq 2(s+1)\gamma (s\gamma)^{-\mu} \log_p(a)^{n-1} a^\mu \\ &= 2 \frac{s+1}{s^\mu} \gamma^{1-\mu} \log_p(a)^{n-1} a^\mu \\ &\leq 8 \log_p(a)^{n-1} a^{\log_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. Application to Central Binomial Coefficients

This section will apply the bounds on  $\mathcal{S}$  to a generalisation of Conjecture 1.1 in order to show that the set of numbers not satisfying the conjecture restricted to the case  $n = 2^s$  has asymptotic density 0.

For this we need the following theorem by Kummer.

**Theorem 3.1** (Kummer [7]). *Let  $n, m \geq 0$  and  $p$  be a prime. Then the greatest exponent of  $p$  dividing  $\binom{n+m}{m}$  is equal to the number of carries, when  $n$  is added to  $m$  in base  $p$ .*

Further we define the following function:

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be odd. Then we define

$$\mathcal{T}_m(a) = \# \left\{ 0 \leq s < a \mid m \nmid \binom{2^{s+1}}{2^s} \right\}.$$

It is clear that to show Conjecture 1.1 we would have to bound  $\mathcal{T}_9$  by  $\mathcal{T}_9(a) \leq 5$  for all  $a$ . Instead we can get a partial result by connecting  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  in the following way:



**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha = 2$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and  $p$  be an odd prime. Then  $\mathcal{T}_{p^n}(a) \leq \mathcal{S}_p^n(a)$ .*

*Proof.* Adding  $2^s$  to itself in base  $p$  will yield at least one carry for every large digit in  $(2^s)_p$ . Thus, by Kummer’s theorem, we must have  $\mathcal{T}_{p^n}(a) \leq \mathcal{S}_p^n(a)$ .  $\square$

With this at hand, it is possible to give an asymptotic upper bound on  $\mathcal{T}_m$  for every odd  $m$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $m > 1$  be odd and let  $p$  be the greatest prime dividing  $m$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{T}_m(a) = o\left(a^{\log_p\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)+\epsilon}\right)$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $m$  has prime factorisation  $m = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k}$  with  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}_{p_i}^{\beta_i}(a) = O\left(\log_{p_k}(a)^{\beta_k-1} a^{\log_{p_k}\left(\frac{p_k+1}{2}\right)}\right)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , since  $p_i \leq p_k$ , and thus,

$$\mathcal{T}_m(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{S}_{p_i}^{\beta_i}(a) = O\left(\log_{p_k}(a)^{\beta_k-1} a^{\log_{p_k}\left(\frac{p_k+1}{2}\right)}\right) = o\left(a^{\log_{p_k}\left(\frac{p_k+1}{2}\right)+\epsilon}\right)$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $\square$

Although we still cannot give a definite answer to Conjecture 1.1, we do get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** For every odd  $m$  the set of integers  $s$  such that  $m \nmid \binom{2^{s+1}}{2^s}$  has asymptotic density 0.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4 we have  $\mathcal{T}_m(a) = o(a)$ .  $\square$

Since the case  $m = 9$  is not special in this corollary, it seems natural to pose the following conjecture, which strengthens Conjecture 1.1.

**Conjecture 3.6.** For every odd  $m$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \mid \binom{2^{k+1}}{2^k}$  for every  $k \geq N$ .

It seems by Theorem 2.9 and by computer heuristics that the digits of  $(2^s)_p$  are uniformly distributed for large  $s$  in the sense that for any  $0 \leq a < p$  most digits in the representation have probability roughly  $1/p$  of being  $a$ .

Assuming such a random distribution of the digits in the representation and considering computer experiments on a selection of primes  $p < 200$  has lead to the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.7.** For an odd prime,  $p$ , let  $\epsilon_p(a)$  be the function satisfying  $p^{\epsilon_p(a)} \parallel a$  for every  $a$ . Then

$$\epsilon_p \left( \binom{2^{k+1}}{2^k} \right) = \frac{\log(2)}{2 \log(p)} \cdot k + O(\sqrt{k}).$$

**Acknowledgement** The authors wish to thank prof. Søren Eilers for his helpful guidance and suggestions in the writing process; prof. Carl Pomerance for his encouragement and support; and the anonymous referee for his/her suggestions that significantly improved some of the proofs of this paper.

## References

- [1] Cooper, C., Kennedy, R. E., A Generalization of a Result by Narkiewicz Concerning Large Digits of Powers, *Publ. Elektroteh. Fak., Univ. Beogr., Ser. Mat.* **11** (2000), 36–40.
- [2] Erdős, P., Some Unconventional Problems in Number Theory, *Math. Mag.* **52** (1979), 67–70.
- [3] Goetgheluck, P., On prime divisors of binomial coefficients, *Math. Comp.* **51** (1988), 325–329.
- [4] Graham, R. L., Knuth, D., Patashnik, O., Concrete Mathematics, Second Edition, Addison-Wesley 2nd ed. 1998.
- [5] Granville, A., Ramaré, O., Explicit bounds on exponential sums and the scarcity of squarefree binomial coefficients, *Mathematika* **43** (1996), 73–107.
- [6] Keller, W., Richstein, J., Solutions of the Congruence  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}$ , *Math. Comp.* **74** (2004), 927–936.
- [7] Kummer, E. E., Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **44** (1852), 93–146.
- [8] Narkiewicz, W., A note on a paper of H. Gupta concerning powers of two and three, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotechn. Fak. Ser. Mat.* **678–715** (1980), 173–174.

## Appendix

The following code checks that the central binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is divisible by 4 or 9 for every  $n$  such that  $4 < n \leq 2^{10^{13}}$  except for  $n = 64$  and  $n = 256$ . The Java-code checks the first 35 digits of the base 3 representation of  $2^k$  for every  $k$  such that  $0 < k < 10^{13}$ . Every  $k$  such that the first 35 digits of  $2^k$  do not contain two 2's is written to a file containing special cases. These cases are then checked individually by the Python-code.

## JAVA source

---

```
import java.io.FileWriter;
import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.File;

class NewSearcher {
    private static int[] number = new int[35];
    private static int size = 0;
    private static final int MAX_SIZE = 35;

    private static final String ERROR_FILE = "Check_needed.txt";

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        deleteFile(ERROR_FILE);

        addNum(1);

        for (int a=0; a<10000000; a++) {
            for (int b=0; b<1000000; b++) {
                if (doubleIt()) {
                    String output = String.format("%d%06d", a, b);
                    System.out.println(output);
                    writeNumberToFile(ERROR_FILE, output);
                }
            }
        }

        private static void addNum(int num) {
            if (size < MAX_SIZE) {
                number[size] = num;
                size ++;
            }
        }

        public static boolean doubleIt() {
            int totalCarry = 0;
            int carry = 0;
            int i=0;

            while (totalCarry < 2 && i<size) {
                int res = (number[i]*2 + carry);
                carry = (res>=3) ? 1 : 0;
                number[i] = (res % 3);
                if (carry==1) totalCarry ++;
                i++;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
        while (i<size) {
            int res = (number[i]*2 + carry);
            carry = (res>=3) ? 1 : 0;
            number[i] = (res % 3);
            i++;
        }

        if (carry == 1) {
            addNum(1);
        }
        return (totalCarry<2);
    }

    public static void writeNumberToFile(String filename, String number)
    {
        try
        {
            FileWriter fw = new FileWriter(filename, true);
            fw.write(number + "\r\n");
            fw.close();
        }
        catch(IOException e)
        {
            System.out.println("IOException: " + e.getMessage());
        }
    }

    public static void deleteFile(String filename) {
        try {
            File toDelete = new File(filename);
            toDelete.delete();
        } catch (Exception e) {

        }
    }
}
```

---

## Python source

---

```
def mod(n, md):
    if n < 10:
        return 2**n%md

    return 2**(n%2)*mod(n/2, md)**2%md

def checkCarry(n):
    tmp = n
    count = 0
    while tmp and count<2:
        if tmp%3 == 2:
            count += 1
            tmp /= 3

    return count<2

fil = file("Check_needed.txt", "r")

nls = []

while True:
    try:
        next = int(fil.readline())
        if checkCarry(mod(next, 3**50)):
            nls.append(next)
    except ValueError:
        break

for i in nls:
    if checkCarry(mod(i, 3**80)):
        print i
```

---