



# MODULAR HYPERBOLAS AND THE CONGRUENCE

$$ax_1x_2\cdots x_k + bx_{k+1}x_{k+2}\cdots x_{2k} \equiv c \pmod{m}$$

**Anwar Ayyad**

*Department of Mathematics, Al Azhar University, Gaza Strip, Palestine*  
 anwarayyad@yahoo.com

**Todd Cochrane**

*Department of Mathematics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas*  
 cochrane@math.ksu.edu

**Sanying Shi**

*School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei, P.R. China*  
 vera123\_99@hotmail.com

*Received: 6/12/17, Accepted: 2/20/18, Published: 4/20/18*

## Abstract

For any cube-free integer  $m$ , and integers  $a, b, c$  with  $(abc, m) = 1$ , we obtain the existence of solutions of the congruence  $x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c \pmod{m}$ , in any cube of edge length  $B \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(k+4)}} + \epsilon}$ , and of the congruence  $ax_1x_2\cdots x_k + bx_{k+1}x_{k+2}\cdots x_{2k} \equiv c \pmod{m}$ , in any cube  $\mathcal{B}$  of edge length  $B \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{k}+1.95)} + \epsilon}$ . Refinements are given for small  $k$ , and results are also given for arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 1. Introduction

A  $k$ -dimensional modular hyperbola is the set of solutions of a congruence

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_k \equiv c \pmod{m},$$

where  $(c, m) = 1$ . Shparlinski [21] has written at length on the properties and applications of modular hyperbolas. Of particular interest is obtaining solutions with coordinates restricted to intervals of short length; see [1], [2], [11], [12] and [20], in addition to [21]. The first two authors [3] studied the related congruence

$$ax_1\cdots x_k + bx_{k+1}\cdots x_{2k} \equiv c \pmod{m},$$

with a prime modulus and obtained a number of results on the distribution its solutions. In this work we extend the results of [1] and [3] to general moduli,

addressing modular hyperbolas in the next three sections and the latter congruence in the remaining sections. The proof of our results on the modular hyperbola is a straightforward generalization and refinement of what was done in [1] for the case of prime moduli and in [20] for the case of composite moduli with intervals of the form  $[1, B]$ . The main novelty of this paper is the method of proof provided for our results on the second congruence. For the case of a prime modulus, the authors in [3] made use of additive combinatorics, in particular a result of Hart and Iosevich [13] on when we have a sum-product relation  $A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Here, no appeal is made to additive combinatorics, but rather a more delicate evaluation of character sums is made in order to obtain results of the same strength as the mod  $p$  results of [3] for a general modulus, for boxes in general position.

## 2. The Congruence $x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c \pmod{m}$

For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and integers  $c$  with  $(c, m) = 1$ , we consider the congruence

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \equiv c \pmod{m}. \quad (1)$$

with variables restricted to a general box  $\mathcal{B}$  with sides of length  $B_i$ ,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k : h_i + 1 \leq x_i \leq h_i + B_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}; \quad (2)$$

for convenience we take  $h_i, B_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and assume  $1 \leq B_i < m$ . If all of the  $B_i$  are equal, say  $B_i = B$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then we call  $\mathcal{B}$  a cube with edge length  $B$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_m = \mathbb{Z}/(m)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$  be the group of units in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . By identifying  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  with an appropriate set of integer representatives we may view  $\mathcal{B}$  as a box of points in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^k$ . Let  $I_i$  be the interval in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$  given by

$$I_i = [h_i + 1, h_i + B_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^* = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_k = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^{*k}.$$

If all  $B_i = B$  we will continue calling  $\mathcal{B}^*$  a cube with edge length  $B$ , although it may be the case that the cardinalities  $|I_i|$  of the edges are not equal.

Generalizing the work of the first author [1] for prime moduli, and Shparlinski [20, Theorem 9] for composite moduli, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $k \geq 4$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $c$  be an integer with  $(c, m) = 1$ . Then the number  $n_c$  of solutions of the congruence*

$$x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c \pmod{m}, \quad (4)$$

with  $x_i \in I_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is given by

$$n_c = \frac{|\mathcal{B}^*|}{\phi(m)} + O_\epsilon \left( |\mathcal{B}^*|^{1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2}{k} + \frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4) + \epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3r-1}{4r^2}(k-4)} \right),$$

where  $m_1 = \prod_{\substack{p^e || m \\ e \geq 3}} p^e$ . The  $m_1$  term may be removed for  $r = 1, 2$  or  $3$ .

Shparlinski proved the special case where each interval is of the form  $[1, B_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*$  and  $r = 1, 2$  or  $3$ .

The theorem yields an asymptotic estimate for  $n_c$  provided that

$$|\mathcal{B}^*| \gg m^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{k(k-4+2r^2)}{4r(k-4+2r)} + \epsilon} m_1^{\frac{(3r-1)k(k-4)}{4r(k+2r-4)}}, \quad (5)$$

where the  $m_1$  term may be dropped for  $r = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ . In particular, using  $r = 2, 3$  we see that  $n_c > 0$  for any  $m$  and any cube  $\mathcal{B}^*$  with edge length

$$B \gg_\epsilon \begin{cases} m^{\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{2k} + \epsilon}, & \text{for } k = 4, 5, 6; \\ m^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{k+2} + \epsilon}, & \text{for } k \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

Thus for a general modulus  $m$  we can only get down to a threshold of  $m^{\frac{1}{3} + \epsilon}$  for  $k$  sufficiently large. In particular, this is the best we can do for the case where  $m = m_1$ . At the other extreme, where  $m_1 = 1$ , we can reduce the exponent further to  $\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon$  for  $k$  sufficiently large. To be precise, if  $m_1 = 1$  then choosing the optimal value of  $r$  (as shown in [1]), we obtain for  $k \geq 4$  that  $n_c > 0$  for any cube with

$$B \gg_\epsilon m^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(k+4)}} + \epsilon}. \quad (6)$$

For a general box  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , the same holds for

$$|\mathcal{B}^*| \gg_\epsilon m^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2(k+4)}} + \epsilon}.$$

Next, let us examine the cases where  $k = 2, 3$  or  $4$ . For  $k = 2$  it is well known that  $n_c > 0$  for any  $m$  and any cube  $\mathcal{B}^*$  of edge length  $B$ , provided that

$$B \gg_\epsilon m^{\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon};$$

see for example [23], [15] or [21]. The proof makes use of the Kloosterman sum estimate. For  $k = 4$ , the  $m_1$  term in (5) goes away altogether, and we get  $n_c > 0$  for any  $m$  and box  $\mathcal{B}^*$  with

$$|\mathcal{B}^*| \gg_\epsilon m^{2 + \epsilon}. \quad (7)$$

We deduce a result for  $k = 3$  from our  $k = 4$  result by applying it to a box with  $I_4 = \{1\}$ . In this case the inequality in (7) yields a solution in any box with

$|I_1||I_2||I_3| \gg_\epsilon m^{2+\epsilon}$ . Thus, any cube of side length  $B$  contains a solution of (1) provided that

$$B \gg_\epsilon \begin{cases} m^{\frac{2}{3}+\epsilon}, & \text{if } k = 3; \\ m^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}, & \text{if } k = 4. \end{cases}$$

For  $k = 3$  the same estimate was given in [1, Theorem 1] for prime moduli. A weaker result for  $k = 3$  was given for composite  $m$  in [20, Theorem 8].

### 3. Estimating the Cardinality of an Interval in $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$

Before proceeding with the proof of Theorem 1, let us remind the reader of a well known estimate for the cardinality of an interval

$$I = [h + 1, h + B] \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*, \quad (8)$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ . We prove a numeric lower bound for our purposes here.

**Lemma 1.** *For  $m > 30$  and any interval  $I$  of edge length  $B$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ ,*

$$|I| > \frac{1}{3} \frac{B}{\log \log m} - m^{.96/\log \log m}.$$

In particular, if  $B > m^\epsilon$  and  $m$  is sufficiently large, then  $|I| > \frac{1}{4} \frac{B}{\log \log m}$ . The result follows from the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $B, d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the number of multiples of  $d$  in the interval  $[h + 1, h + B]$  is  $\frac{B}{d} + \frac{r}{d}$ , for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|r| \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* Say  $h + 1 = q_1d + r_1$ ,  $h + B = q_2d + r_2$ , with  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq r_1, r_2 < d$ . If  $r_1 > 0$ , there are  $q_2 - q_1$  multiples of  $d$  in  $[h + 1, h + B]$ , and we have

$$q_2 - q_1 = \frac{B - r_2 - 1 + r_1}{d} = \frac{B}{d} + \frac{r_1 - r_2 - 1}{d},$$

with  $|r_1 - r_2 - 1| \leq d$ . If  $r_1 = 0$ , then there are  $q_2 - q_1 + 1$  multiples of  $d$  in the interval, and we have

$$q_2 - q_1 + 1 = \frac{B - r_2 - 1 + r_1 + d}{d} = \frac{B}{d} + \frac{d - r_2 - 1}{d},$$

with  $|d - r_2 - 1| \leq d - 1$ . □

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and interval  $I$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ , we have*

$$|I| = \frac{\phi(m)}{m} B + \theta 2^{\omega(m)},$$

for some  $|\theta| \leq 1$ , where  $\omega(m)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $m$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq x \leq h+B \\ (x,m)=1}} 1 &= \sum_{h+1 \leq x \leq h+B} \sum_{d|(x,m)} \mu(d) \\ &= \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq x \leq h+B \\ d|x}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding lemma, the sum over  $x$  is just  $\frac{B}{d} + \delta(d)$  for some real number  $\delta(d)$  with  $|\delta(d)| \leq 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq x \leq h+B \\ (x,m)=1}} 1 &= \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \left( \frac{B}{d} + \delta_d \right) \\ &= B \sum_{d|m} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} + \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \delta(d) = B \frac{\phi(m)}{m} + \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \delta(d). \end{aligned}$$

The latter sum is bounded by the number of square-free divisors of  $m$ ,  $2^{\omega(m)}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* By the work of Robin [18] we have  $\omega(m) \leq 1.3841 \log m / \log \log m$  for  $m \geq 3$ , whence,  $2^{\omega(m)} < m^{.96 / \log \log m}$  for  $m \geq 3$ . Also, by the work of Rosser and Shoenfeld [19] we have  $m / \phi(m) < 3 \log \log m$  for  $m > 30$ . Thus for  $m > 30$ , it follows from the preceding lemma that for any interval  $I$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ ,

$$|I| > \frac{1}{3} \frac{B}{\log \log m} - m^{.96 / \log \log m},$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

The theorem is an easy consequence of the following lemma. We let  $\chi_0$  denote the principal character  $(\bmod m)$  and write  $\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0}$  to indicate a sum over all multiplicative characters  $(\bmod m)$  with  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *For any interval of points in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$  as in (8), we have*

$$\frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I} \chi(x) \right|^4 \ll_{\epsilon} |I|^2 m^{\epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B$  denote the length of the interval  $I$ . If  $B \geq m^{\epsilon/4}$ , then by Lemma 1,  $B \ll_{\epsilon} |I| \log \log m$ . Using the mean value estimate

$$\frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x=a+1}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^4 \ll 8^{\omega(m)} \tau(m) (\log m)^3 (\log \log m)^7 B^2, \quad (9)$$

of Cochrane and Shi [8], where  $\tau(m)$  is the number of divisors of  $m$  and  $\omega(m)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $m$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I} \chi(x) \right|^4 \ll_{\epsilon} B^2 m^{\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} |I|^2 m^{\epsilon}.$$

If  $B < m^{\epsilon/4}$ , the trivial bound implies

$$\frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I} \chi(x) \right|^4 \ll B^4 \leq m^{\epsilon}.$$

□

**Lemma 5.** *We have for any nonprincipal character  $\chi \pmod{m}$ ,*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I} \chi(x) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} |I|^{1-\frac{1}{r}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3r-1}{4r^2}},$$

where  $m_1 = \prod_{\substack{p^e \parallel m \\ e \geq 3}} p^e$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the preceding lemma. If  $B \geq m^{\epsilon}$ , the upper bound of Burgess [4], [5] for a general  $m$  (see for example [14, equation (12.56)] or [17, Theorem 1.6]),

$$\left| \sum_{x=a+1}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} B^{1-\frac{1}{r}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3r-1}{4r^2}}, \quad (10)$$

yields the result. If  $B < m^{\epsilon}$ , the trivial bound gives the result. □

**Lemma 6.** *For any positive integers  $k, m, r$ , with  $k \geq 4$ , and intervals  $I_i$  as above,*

$$\frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_i) \right| \ll_{\epsilon, k} |\mathcal{B}^*|^{1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3r-1}{4r^2}(k-4)}.$$

*Proof.* By the preceding two lemmas, we have for any interval  $I_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I_i} \chi(x) \right|^k &\leq \max_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I_i} \chi(x) \right|^{k-4} \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I_i} \chi(x) \right|^4 \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} |I_i|^2 m^{\epsilon} \max_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I_i} \chi(x) \right|^{k-4} \\ &\ll_{\epsilon, k} |I_i|^{k(1-\frac{1}{r})-2+\frac{4}{r}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3r-1}{4r^2}(k-4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_i) \right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_i) \right|^k \right)^{1/k}.$$

Inserting the upper bound in (11) completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The number of solutions of (4) with  $x_i \in I_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is given by

$$n_c = \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \sum_{\chi} \chi(c^{-1}x_1 \cdots x_k) \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|}{\phi(m)} + \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \chi(c^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_i). \quad (13)$$

The result follows from the preceding lemma.  $\square$

## 5. The Congruence $ax_1 \cdots x_k + by_1 \cdots y_k \equiv c \pmod{m}$

We turn now to the congruence

$$ax_1x_2 \cdots x_k + by_1y_2 \cdots y_k \equiv c \pmod{m}. \quad (14)$$

Let  $I_i, J_i$  be intervals in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$  given by

$$I_i = [h_i + 1, h_i + B_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*, \quad J_i = [h_{k+i} + 1, h_{k+i} + B_{k+i}] \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*, \quad (15)$$

for some  $h_i, B_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $1 \leq B_i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $k, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 4$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , and that  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(abc, m) = 1$ . The number  $N^*$  of solutions of (14) with  $x_i \in I_i$ ,  $y_i \in J_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} N^* &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i||J_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{p|m} \frac{p-2}{p-1} + O \left( \sqrt{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i||J_i| \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{2r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{2r}(k-4)} \right) \\ &+ O \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} \right) \\ &+ O \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $r = 2$  or  $3$ , the  $m_1$  term may be dropped from the error terms.

We note that if  $2|m$  the main term of the theorem vanishes. Indeed, in this case it is plain that  $N^* = 0$ , since for any odd integers  $x_i$ , the left-hand side of (14) is even while the right-hand side is odd. Aside from this case, the theorem yields an asymptotic formula for  $N^*$  provided that the following three inequalities hold,

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i| \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k(r^2+k-4)}{2r(k-4+2r)} + \epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3k(k-4)}{2(k-4+2r)}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{k(k-4)(r+1)}{4r(k-4+2r)} + \epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3k(k-4)}{4(k-4+2r)}}, \quad (17)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \prod_{i=1}^k |J_i| \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{k(k-4)(r+1)}{4r(k-4+2r)} + \epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3k(k-4)}{4(k-4+2r)}}. \quad (18)$$

The  $m_1$  term may be dropped if  $r = 2$  or  $3$ . The result obtained here generalizes the result of [3] for prime moduli. Using  $r = 3$  we obtain for  $k \geq 4$  and any positive integer  $m$ , that  $N^* > 0$  provided that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i| \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{2k}{3} + \frac{k}{2(k+2)} + \epsilon}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{k}{3} - \frac{2k}{k+2} + \epsilon}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^k |J_i| \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{k}{3} - \frac{2k}{k+2} + \epsilon}. \quad (19)$$

(There is no advantage in using  $r = 2$  for any value of  $k$ .) For a general modulus this is the best we can do.

For a cube, it is easy to verify that the condition in (16) implies the conditions in (17) and (18). In particular, taking  $r = 3$ , we see that for  $k \geq 4$  and arbitrary  $m$ , any cube with edge length

$$B \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4(k+2)} + \epsilon}, \quad (20)$$

contains a solution of (14). Suppose now that  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $k \geq 5$ . Then the optimal choice of  $r$  is an integer satisfying

$$\frac{r^2 + k - 4}{2r^2 + rk - 4r} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{k} + 1.95},$$

as shown in [3, Lemma 4.2]. For this choice of  $r$  we see that any cube with edge length

$$B \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}+3.9} + \epsilon},$$

contains a solution of (14).

Although Theorem 2 requires  $k \geq 4$ , we can deduce a result for  $k = 3$  by applying it with  $k = 4$  and  $I_4 = J_4 = \{1\}$ . In this manner we obtain from (19) that  $N^* > 0$  for  $k = 3$  and any cube with

$$B \gg_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$



## 6. Using Multiplicative Characters to Estimate $N^*$

We may assume that  $a = 1$  and write (14),

$$x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c - by_1 \cdots y_k \pmod{m}.$$

Let  $I_i, J_i$  be intervals as in (15). For any  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ , let  $n_A$  denote the number of solutions of

$$y_1 \cdots y_k \equiv A \pmod{m},$$

with  $y_i \in J_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $n_{c-bA}$  the number of solutions of

$$x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c - bA \pmod{m},$$

with  $x_i \in I_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Using the formula in (12) for  $n_A$  and  $n_{c-bA}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^* &= \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} n_{c-bA} n_A \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \sum_{\chi} \chi((c-bA)^{-1} x_1 \cdots x_k) \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \sum_{\psi} \psi(A^{-1} y_1 \cdots y_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i| \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} 1 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

say, where the three error terms are given by

$$E_1 := \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left( \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} \chi((c-bA)^{-1}) \right) \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \sum_{y_i \in J_i} 1, \quad (22)$$

$$E_2 := \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\psi \neq \chi_0} \left( \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} \psi(A^{-1}) \right) \sum_{x_i \in I_i} 1 \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \psi(y_1 \cdots y_k), \quad (23)$$

$$E_3 := \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \sum_{\psi \neq \chi_0} \left( \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} \chi((c-bA)^{-1}) \psi(A^{-1}) \right) \quad (24)$$

$$\times \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \psi(y_1 \cdots y_k), \quad (25)$$

say.

### 6.1. Estimation of the Main Term

To estimate the main term we need,

**Lemma 7.** *For any integers  $b, c$  with  $(bc, m) = 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} 1 = \phi(m) \prod_{p|m} \frac{p-2}{p-1}. \quad (26)$$

*Proof.* This is actually a special case of Lemma 10 below applied to the principal character, but let's give a quick proof here. The sum is plainly multiplicative in  $m$ , and for a prime power  $m = p^e$ , the sum just counts the number of  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  with  $A \not\equiv 0, cb^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , which equals  $p^{e-1}(p-2) = \phi(p^e) \frac{p-2}{p-1}$ .  $\square$

Thus the main term in (21) is just

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r |I_i| |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{p|m} \frac{p-2}{p-1}. \quad (27)$$

## 6.2. Estimation of $E_1$ and $E_2$

Let us first recall a couple of notions about multiplicative characters. For any multiplicative character  $\chi \pmod{m}$  and divisor  $d$  of  $m$ , we say that  $\chi$  is induced by a character  $\pmod{d}$  (or simply  $\chi$  is a character  $\pmod{d}$ ) if whenever  $x \equiv y \pmod{d}$ , then  $\chi(x) = \chi(y)$ . Viewed as a character  $\pmod{d}$  there is a slight difference in the definition of  $\chi$  on values of  $x$  with  $(x, d) = 1$  but  $(x, m) \neq 1$ . As a character  $\pmod{m}$ ,  $\chi(x) = 0$ , but as a character  $\pmod{d}$ ,  $\chi(x) \neq 0$ . This distinction is not a concern in what follows, for we will always restrict our attention to values of  $x$  with  $(x, m) = 1$ . There is a unique minimal divisor  $d$  such that  $\chi$  is a character  $\pmod{d}$ , called the conductor of  $\chi$ , written  $\text{cond}(\chi)$ . For this  $d$ ,  $\chi$  is a primitive character  $\pmod{d}$ . For the principal character  $\chi_0$  we have  $\text{cond}(\chi_0) = 1$ .

**Lemma 8.** *If  $d|m$  and  $\chi$  is a character  $\pmod{m}$  that is not a character  $\pmod{d}$ , then there exists  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ , with  $(u, m) = 1$  and  $\chi(u) \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Say  $m$  has prime power factorization  $m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$ , for distinct primes  $p_i$  and exponents  $e_i \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Then  $\chi$  can be expressed  $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_\ell$  for some characters  $\chi_i \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ . Say  $d = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \cdots p_\ell^{f_\ell}$  with  $f_i \leq e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Since  $\chi$  is not a character  $\pmod{d}$ , there exists an  $i \leq \ell$  such that  $\chi_i$  is not a character  $\pmod{p_i^{f_i}}$ . Let  $a_i$  be a primitive root  $\pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ , and let  $j_i$  be the unique integer with  $0 < j_i \leq p_i^{e_i-1}(p_i - 1)$  and

$$\chi_i(a_i) = e^{\frac{2\pi i j_i}{\phi(p_i^{e_i})}}.$$

Since  $\chi_i$  is not a character  $\pmod{p_i^{f_i}}$ , then  $p_i^{e_i-f_i} \nmid j_i$ . Setting  $u_0 = a_i^{\phi(p_i^{f_i})}$ , we have  $u_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{f_i}}$  and

$$\chi_i(u_0) = \chi_i(a_i^{\phi(p_i^{f_i})}) = e^{\frac{2\pi i j_i \phi(p_i^{f_i})}{\phi(p_i^{e_i})}} = e^{\frac{2\pi i j_i}{p_i^{e_i-f_i}}} \neq 1,$$

since  $p_i^{e_i - f_i} \nmid j_i$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists an integer  $u$  with

$$u \equiv \begin{cases} u_0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}; \\ 1 \pmod{p_j^{e_j}}, & \text{for } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(u, m) = 1$ ,  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  and  $\chi(u) \neq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *For any character  $\chi \pmod{m}$ , integer  $c$  with  $(c, m) = 1$  and divisor  $d$  of  $m$  we have*

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\frac{m}{d}-1} \chi(c+td) = \begin{cases} \chi(c) \frac{\phi(m)}{\phi(d)}, & \text{if } \chi \text{ is a character } \pmod{d}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \text{ is not a character } \pmod{d}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\chi$  is a character  $\pmod{d}$  the claim is immediate, since there are  $\phi(m)/\phi(d)$  choices for  $t$  such that  $(c+td, m) = 1$ . If  $\chi$  is not a character  $\pmod{d}$ , then there exists  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ , with  $(u, m) = 1$  and  $\chi(u) \neq 1$  by the preceding lemma. Then

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\frac{m}{d}-1} \chi(c+td) = \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{m}{d}-1} \chi(u(c+td)) = \chi(u) \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{m}{d}-1} \chi(c+td),$$

and so the sum must be zero.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *For any multiplicative character  $\chi \pmod{m}$  of conductor  $e$  and integer  $c$  with  $(c, m) = 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-A, m)=1}} \chi(A) = \phi(m) \chi(c) \frac{\mu(e)}{\phi(e)} \prod_{\substack{p \mid \frac{m}{e} \\ p \nmid e}} \frac{p-2}{p-1}.$$

*Proof.* Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-A, m)=1}} \chi(A) &= \sum_{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} \chi(A) \sum_{d \mid (c-A, m)} \mu(d) \\ &= \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) \sum_{A \equiv c \pmod{d}} \chi(A) \\ &= \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{m}{d}-1} \chi(c+td). \end{aligned}$$

Thus letting  $e$  denote the conductor of  $\chi$ , we get from the preceding lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-A, m)=1}} \chi(A) &= \phi(m) \chi(c) \sum_{\substack{d \mid m \\ e \mid d}} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} \\ &= \phi(m) \chi(c) \sum_{f \mid \frac{m}{e}} \frac{\mu(ef)}{\phi(ef)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the only contribution to the sum over  $f$  comes from square-free values of  $ef$ . Thus if  $(e, f) > 1$  there is no contribution, and so we may assume  $(e, f) = 1$ , whence  $\mu(ef) = \mu(e)\mu(f)$  and  $\phi(ef) = \phi(e)\phi(f)$ . Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-A, m)=1}} \chi(A) = \phi(m)\chi(c) \frac{\mu(e)}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{f | \frac{m}{e} \\ (f, e)=1}} \frac{\mu(f)}{\phi(f)} = \phi(m)\chi(c) \frac{\mu(e)}{\phi(e)} \prod_{\substack{p | \frac{m}{e} \\ p \nmid e}} \frac{p-2}{p-1}.$$

□

Next we have to obtain character sum bounds over intervals with restricted variables. Again let  $I$  be an interval of points in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ ,  $I = [a+1, a+B] \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ . First we obtain the following Burgess-type estimate.

**Lemma 11.** *For any  $e|m$ , positive integers  $B, r$  and non-principal character  $\chi \pmod{e}$ , we have*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x, m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} |I|^{1-\frac{1}{r}} m^{\epsilon} e^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}} e_1^{\frac{3}{4r}},$$

where  $e_1$  is the product of the prime-power divisors of  $e$  of multiplicity at least 3.

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x, m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) &= \sum_{x=a+1}^{a+B} \chi(x) \sum_{\lambda|(x, m)} \mu(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda|m} \mu(\lambda) \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ \lambda|x}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda|m} \mu(\lambda) \chi(\lambda) \sum_{(a+1)/\lambda \leq t \leq (a+B)/\lambda} \chi(t). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then by the Burgess bound in (10),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x, m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{\lambda|m} \left| \sum_{(a+1)/\lambda \leq t \leq (a+B)/\lambda} \chi(t) \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{\lambda|m} (B/\lambda + 1)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} e^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2} + \epsilon} e_1^{\frac{3}{4r}} \\ &\ll \tau(m) B^{1-\frac{1}{r}} e^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2} + \epsilon} e_1^{\frac{3}{4r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $B$  with  $|I|$  in the statement of the lemma now follows as in the proof of Lemma 4. □

Generalizing the result of Cochrane and Shi [8], we have

**Lemma 12.** *For any  $e|m$ , integer  $a$  and positive integer  $B$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x,m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^4 \ll_{\epsilon} |I|^2 m^{\epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* By (28), Hölder's inequality and then employing the upper bound in (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x,m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^4 &\leq \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left( \sum_{\lambda|m} \left| \sum_{(a+1)/\lambda \leq t \leq (a+B)/\lambda} \chi(t) \right| \right)^4 \\ &\leq \tau(m)^3 \sum_{\lambda|m} \left( \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{(a+1)/\lambda \leq t \leq (a+B)/\lambda} \chi(t) \right|^4 \right) \\ &\leq \tau(m)^3 \sum_{\lambda|m} 8^{\omega(e)} \tau(e) (\log e)^3 (\log \log e)^7 (B/\lambda + 1)^2 \\ &\ll \tau(m)^4 8^{\omega(m)} \tau(m) (\log m)^3 (\log \log m)^7 B^2 \ll_{\epsilon} B^2 m^{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 13.** *Suppose that  $k \geq 4$ . For any  $e|m$ , integer  $a$  and positive integers  $r, B$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x,m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^k \ll_{\epsilon} |I|^{k(1-\frac{1}{r})-2+\frac{4}{r}} e^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)} e_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} m^{\epsilon}. \quad (29)$$

*Proof.* From the preceding two lemmas we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x,m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^k &\leq \max_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x,m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^{k-4} \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=a+1 \\ (x,m)=1}}^{a+B} \chi(x) \right|^4 \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} |I|^{k(1-\frac{1}{r})-2+\frac{4}{r}} e^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)} e_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} m^{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, replacing  $B$  with  $|I|$  in the statement of the lemma follows as before. □

Turning to  $E_1$  we have by Lemma 10, letting  $e_{\chi}$  denote the conductor of  $\chi$ ,

$\text{cond}(\chi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &:= \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left( \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} \chi((c-bA)^{-1}) \right) \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \sum_{y_i \in J_i} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \phi(m) \chi(c^{-1}) \frac{\mu(e_\chi)}{\phi(e_\chi)} \prod_{\substack{p \mid \frac{m}{e_\chi} \\ p \nmid e_\chi}} \frac{p-2}{p-1} \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \sum_{y_i \in J_i} 1 \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\substack{e \mid m \\ e > 1}} \frac{\mu(e)}{\phi(e)} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m/e \\ p \nmid e}} \frac{p-2}{p-1} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{e} \\ \text{cond}(\chi)=e}} \chi(c^{-1}) \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Holder's inequality and the preceding lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| &\ll \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\substack{e \mid m \\ e > 1}} \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|^{1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} e^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)} e_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} m^\epsilon \\ &\ll \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|^{1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we obtain the following upper bound for  $|E_2|$ ,

$$|E_2| \ll \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|^{1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)}. \quad (30)$$

### 6.3. Estimation of $E_3$

**Lemma 14.** *For any multiplicative characters  $\chi, \psi \pmod{m}$  and integers  $c, A$  with  $(c, m) = 1$  we have,*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-A, m)=1}} \chi(A) \psi((c-A)^{-1}) \right| \leq \frac{m}{\sqrt{[\text{cond}(\chi), \text{cond}(\psi)]}},$$

where  $[\text{cond}(\chi), \text{cond}(\psi)]$  denotes the least common multiple of the conductors of  $\chi$  and  $\psi$ .

*Proof.* We first consider the case of a prime power  $m = p^e$ . Let  $a$  be a primitive root  $\pmod{p^e}$  and  $\alpha$  be the generator of the character group defined by

$$\alpha(a^j) = e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{\phi(p^e)}}.$$

For any rational function  $q = q(x) = f(x)/g(x)$  with integer coefficients, we define the character sum

$$S_{p^e}(q) = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (f(x)g(x), p)=1}}^{p^e} \alpha(q(x)),$$

where it is understood that  $1/g(x)$  means the multiplicative inverse of  $g(x) \pmod{p^e}$ . Following the method of critical points developed in [9], [10] and [6], we define  $t$  to be the maximum power of  $p$  dividing all of the coefficients of  $g(x)f'(x) - g'(x)f(x)$ , and the critical point congruence to be the congruence

$$p^{-t}(g(x)f'(x) - g'(x)f(x)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (31)$$

The *critical points* are the solutions  $x$  of the congruence (31) with  $p \nmid f(x)g(x)$ . By [6, Theorem 1.1], if  $e \geq t + 2$  and (31) has a unique critical point of multiplicity 1 then  $|S_{p^e}(q)| = p^{\frac{e+t}{2}}$ . If  $e \geq t + 2$  and there is no critical point, then  $S_{p^e}(q) = 0$ . We claim that one of these two options always occurs for the case at hand.

Let  $\chi, \psi$  be characters  $\pmod{p^e}$  and say  $\chi = \alpha^u, \psi = \alpha^v$ , for some positive integers  $u, v \leq \phi(p^e)$ . Then

$$\chi(x)\psi((c-x)^{-1}) = \alpha\left(\frac{x^u}{(c-x)^v}\right).$$

With  $q(x) = \frac{x^u}{(c-x)^v}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x)f'(x) - g'(x)f(x) &= ux^{u-1}(c-x)^v + vx^u(c-x)^{v-1} \\ &= x^{u-1}(c-x)^{v-1}(uc + (v-u)x), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $p^t \parallel (u, v)$ , and so there is either no critical point or a single critical point of multiplicity one. Thus, if  $t \leq e - 2$  then

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ p \nmid x(c-x)}}^{p^e} \chi(x)\psi((c-x)^{-1}) \right| = |S_{p^e}(q)| \leq p^{\frac{e+t}{2}}.$$

Otherwise,  $t = e - 1$ . In this case, both  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are characters  $\pmod{p}$  and we have by the Weil bound [22] for character sums over finite fields (see eg. [7]) that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ p \nmid x(c-x)}}^{p^e} \chi(x)\psi((c-x)^{-1}) \right| = p^{e-1} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ p \nmid x(c-x)}}^p \alpha\left(\frac{x^u}{(c-x)^v}\right) \right| \leq p^{e-1} \sqrt{p} = p^{\frac{e+t}{2}}.$$

Suppose that  $p^{t_1} \parallel u, p^{t_2} \parallel v$ . Then  $\text{cond}(\chi) = p^{e-t_1}$  while  $\text{cond}(\psi) = p^{e-t_2}$ , and we see that

$$[\text{cond}(\chi), \text{cond}(\psi)] = p^{e-\min(t_1, t_2)} = p^{e-t},$$

and

$$p^{\frac{e+t}{2}} = \frac{p^e}{\sqrt{p^{e-t}}} = \frac{p^e}{\sqrt{[\text{cond}(\chi), \text{cond}(\psi)]}}.$$

For general  $m$  we write  $m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$  with the  $p_i$  distinct primes,

$$\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_\ell, \quad \psi = \psi_1 \psi_2 \cdots \psi_\ell,$$

with  $\chi_i, \psi_i$  characters  $(\bmod p_i^{e_i})$ , and

$$S_m(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} S_{p_i^{e_i}}(A_i q),$$

for appropriate integers  $A_i$  with  $(A_i, p_i) = 1$ . Then

$$|S_m(q)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{p_i^{e_i}}{\sqrt{[\text{cond}(\chi_i), \text{cond}(\psi_i)]}} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{[\text{cond}(\chi), \text{cond}(\psi)]}}.$$

□

From the preceding lemma we have,

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 := & \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \sum_{\psi \neq \chi_0} \left( \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \\ (c-bA, m)=1}} \chi((c-bA)^{-1}) \psi(A^{-1}) \right) \\ & \times \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \psi(y_1 \cdots y_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_3| & \leq \frac{1}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \sum_{\substack{e|m \\ e>1}} \frac{m}{\sqrt{[e, d]}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ \text{cond}(\chi)=d}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \\ \text{cond}(\psi)=e}} \left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \psi(y_1 \cdots y_k) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{m}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \sum_{\substack{e|m \\ e>1}} \frac{\phi(d)\phi(e)}{\sqrt{[e, d]}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ \text{cond}(\chi)=d}} \left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \right| \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\psi \\ \text{cond}(\psi)=e}} \left| \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \psi(y_1 \cdots y_k) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{m}{\phi(m)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \sum_{\substack{e|m \\ e>1}} \frac{\phi(d)\phi(e)}{\sqrt{[e, d]}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(\bmod d) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \cdots x_k) \right| \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \sum_{\substack{\psi(\bmod e) \\ \psi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{y_i \in J_i} \psi(y_1 \cdots y_k) \right| \end{aligned}$$



Letting  $L = [d, e]$  and applying Lemma 13 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E_3| &\ll \frac{m}{\phi(m)^2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i| \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} \sum_{L|m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \left( \sum_{d|L} \phi(d) d^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)} d_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} \right)^2 m^\epsilon \\ &\ll \sqrt{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i| \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{2r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{2r}(k-4)}. \end{aligned}$$

#### 6.4. Proof of Theorem 2

From equation (21), the value for the main term in (27) and the estimates for the error terms  $E_1, E_2$  and  $E_3$  in the preceding sections we see that

$$\begin{aligned} N^* &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{p|m} \frac{p-2}{p-1} + O \left( \sqrt{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i| |J_i| \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{2r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{2r}(k-4)} \right) \\ &\quad + O \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} \right) \\ &\quad + O \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |J_i|}{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^k |I_i|^{(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{2}{k}+\frac{4}{kr}} m^{\frac{r+1}{4r^2}(k-4)+\epsilon} m_1^{\frac{3}{4r}(k-4)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

#### References

- [1] A. Ayyad, The distribution of solutions of the congruence  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \equiv c \pmod{p}$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), no. 4, 943-950.
- [2] A. Ayyad and T. Cochrane, Lattices in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and the congruence  $xy + uv \equiv c \pmod{m}$ , *Acta Arith.* **132** (2008), no. 2, 127-133.
- [3] A. Ayyad and T. Cochrane, The congruence  $ax_1 x_2 \cdots x_k + bx_{k+1} x_{k+2} \cdots x_{2k} \equiv c \pmod{p}$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 2, 467-477.
- [4] D. A. Burgess, On character sums and L-series I, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **12** (1962), no. 2, 193-206.
- [5] D. A. Burgess, On character sums and L-series II, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **13** (1963), no. 3, 524-536.
- [6] T. Cochrane, Exponential sums modulo prime powers, *Acta Arith.* **101** (2002), no. 2, 131-149.
- [7] T. Cochrane and C. Pinner, Using Stepanov's method for exponential sums involving rational functions, *J. Number Theory* **116** (2006), no. 2, 270-292.
- [8] T. Cochrane and S. Shi, The congruence  $x_1 x_2 \equiv x_3 x_4 \pmod{m}$  and mean values of character sums, *J. Number Theory*, **130** no. 3 (2010), 767-785.
- [9] T. Cochrane and Z. Zheng, Pure and mixed exponential sums, *Acta Arith.* **91** (1999), no. 3, 249-278.

- [10] T. Cochrane and Z. Zheng, Exponential sums with rational function entries, *Acta Arith.* **95** (2000), no. 1, 67-95.
- [11] M. Z. Garaev, On multiplicative congruences, *Math. Zeit.* **272** (2012), 473-482.
- [12] G. Harman and I. E. Shparlinski, Products of small integers in residue classes and additive properties of Fermat quotients, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2016, no. 5, 1424-1446.
- [13] D. Hart and A. Iosevich, Sums and products in finite fields: an integral geometric viewpoint, Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets, 129-135, *Contemp. Math.*, **464**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [14] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [15] M. R. Khan and I. E. Shparlinski, On the maximal difference between an element and its inverse modulo  $n$ , *Period. Math. Hungar.* **47** (2003), no. 1-2, 111-117.
- [16] K. K. Norton, Upper Bounds for Sums of Powers of Divisor Functions, *J. Number Theory* **40** (1992), 65-85.
- [17] K. K. Norton, A character-sum estimate and applications, *Acta Arith.* **85** (1998), no. 1, 51-78.
- [18] G. Robin, Estimate of the Chebyshev function  $\theta$  on the  $k$ -th prime number and large values of the number of prime divisors function  $\omega(n)$  of  $n$ , *Acta Arith.* **42** (1983), no. 4, 367-389.
- [19] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 64-94.
- [20] I. E. Shparlinski, On the distribution of points on multidimensional modular hyperbolas, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **83** (2007), Ser. A, 5-9.
- [21] I. E. Shparlinski, Modular Hyperbolas, *Japanese J. Math.* **7** (2012) no. 2, 235-294.
- [22] A. Weil, On some exponential sums, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **34** (1948), 204-207.
- [23] W. Zhang, On the distribution of inverses modulo  $n$ , *J. Number Theory* **61** (1996), no. 2, 301-310.