



ROBIN'S INEQUALITY FOR NEW FAMILIES OF INTEGERS

Alexander Hertlein

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München, Germany

Received: 10/21/16, Revised: 1/8/18, Accepted: 8/11/18, Published: 8/17/18

Abstract

Robin's criterion states that the Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if Robin's inequality $\sigma(n) := \sum_{d|n} d < e^\gamma n \log \log n$ is satisfied for each $n > 5040$, where γ denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We show that if a positive integer n satisfies either $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$, $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$ then Robin's inequality is satisfied, where $\nu_p(n)$ is the p -adic order of n . In the end we show that $\sigma(n)/n < 1.0000005645 e^\gamma \log \log n$ holds unconditionally for $n > 5040$.

1. Introduction

Let n be an integer satisfying $\sigma(n) := \sum_{d|n} d < e^\gamma n \log \log n$, where γ denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant. This inequality is called *Robin's inequality*. Robin [8] proved that the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is true if and only if his inequality holds for every integer $n > 5040$. So far Robin's inequality has been proven unconditionally for families of integers that are

- odd and greater than 9 [5]
- square-free and greater than 30 [5]
- a sum of two squares and greater than 720 [2]
- not divisible by the fifth power of a prime [5]
- not divisible by the seventh power of a prime [9]
- not divisible by the eleventh power of a prime [4].

Here, we extend Robin's inequality. We first provide a modified algorithm of the one obtained by Akbary et al. [1] to establish the exceptions to the inequality $n/\varphi(n) < (1771561/1771560)e^\gamma \log \log n$, where φ stands for Euler's totient function. With this we then show that if n has a 2-adic order smaller or equal to 19 or satisfies either $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$ then Robin's inequality holds.

Then we find that $\sigma(n)/n < 1.0000005645 e^\gamma \log \log n$ holds unconditionally for all $n > 5040$.

2. Theorems

We first want to show the case where we know that the 2-adic order of n is lower or equal to 19.

Theorem 1. *Robin's inequality holds for $n > 5040$ when $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$.*

We then go on to partially prove a result of Choie et. al [5].

Theorem 2. *Consider those integers n which satisfy $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$. Then, Robin's inequality holds for all such integers $n > 5040$.*

An improved unconditional upper bound of $\sigma(n)/n$ is provided by the following.

Theorem 3. *The inequality*

$$\sigma(n)/n < 1.0000005645 e^\gamma \log \log n \quad (1)$$

holds for all $n > 5040$.

3. Proofs

Lemma 1. *Let $\prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{a_i}$ be the representation of n as a product of primes $q_1 < \dots < q_r$ with positive exponents $a_1 < \dots < a_r$. Then*

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right). \quad (2)$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2 in [6]. □

We now take a look at a way to establish a new upper bound for $n/\varphi(n)$. First we provide an algorithm which is derived from Akbary et al. [1]. They developed an algorithm that calculates the exceptions to the following inequality where $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of n :

$$f(n) := \prod_{\substack{p \leq p_{\omega(n)} \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p}{p-1} < e^\gamma (1 + \epsilon) \log \log n. \quad (3)$$

For an integer n and an integer $\beta \geq \omega(n) \geq 2$ they showed that if

$$n > n_\beta := \exp \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)e^\gamma} \prod_{p \leq p_\beta} \frac{p}{p-1} \right) \right) \quad (4)$$

then inequality (3) is satisfied. According to Lemma 3.4 in [1], we only need to find the first β for a given ϵ for which $\prod_{p \leq p_\beta} p < n_\beta$ does not hold in order to get to the largest possible exception of (3). We call this largest possible exception of inequality (3) $n_{\beta_{max}}$. We can now describe the modified algorithm which is proven to be correct by Lemma 3.4 in [1].

Algorithm 1 Largest possible exception to $f(n) < e^\gamma(1+\epsilon) \log \log n$

Require: $0 < \epsilon < 1$

Ensure: Largest possible exception to the inequality.

```

while  $\prod_{p \leq p_\beta} p < n_\beta$  do
     $\beta \rightarrow \beta + 1$ 
end while
 $\beta_{max} \rightarrow \beta$ 
 $n_{\beta_{max}} \rightarrow n_\beta$ 

```

We can now go on to find an upper bound for $n/\varphi(n)$.

Lemma 2. *The inequality*

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < \frac{1771561}{1771560} e^\gamma \log \log n \quad (5)$$

is satisfied for all $n > c_0 := e^{23.762143}$.

Proof. On noting that

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \leq \prod_{p \leq p_\beta} \frac{p}{p-1} < e^\gamma(1+\epsilon) \log \log n, \quad (6)$$

we run the algorithm from Lemma 3 with $\epsilon = 1/1771560$ such that the RHS of (6) matches the RHS of (5). The result of the algorithm, namely β_{max} and $n_{\beta_{max}}$, is

$$\beta_{max} = 919356257 \quad n_{\beta_{max}} < e^{23.762143}$$

We note that $n_{\beta_{max}}$ cannot be exactly numerically calculated to integer precision, which is mainly due to the sheer size of the number. Fortunately, this is not necessary, since we can bound $n_{\beta_{max}}$ from above in our numerical calculation and still

maintain the correctness of the algorithm. This is why we limit the numerical computation of the exponent of $n_{\beta_{max}}$ to 200 digits and then use the exponent 23.762143. Since this calculated bound is important throughout our proofs we set $c_0 := e^{e^{23.762143}}$.

The algorithm guarantees that all exceptions to inequality (3) are below c_0 , which allows us to conclude that for all $n > c_0$ the inequality (5) holds. \square

Lemma 3. *Robin's inequality is true for all $5040 < n \leq 10^{10^{10}}$.*

Proof. Robin showed in [8], Prop.1, p.192 that if Robin's inequality holds for consecutive colossally abundant numbers n_1 and n_2 then it also holds for all $n \in [n_1, n_2]$. By definition an integer n is colossally abundant if there exists a positive ϵ for which $\sigma(n)/n^{1+\epsilon} \geq \sigma(k)/k^{1+\epsilon}$ for all $k > 1$. Briggs [3] showed that Robin's inequality holds for all colossally abundant numbers between 5040 and $10^{10^{10}}$. We may therefore conclude that Robin's inequality is also satisfied for all integers $5040 < n < 10^{10^{10}}$. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We now let n have a 2-adic order of $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$. From Lemma 1 we note that

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \leq \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\nu_2(n)+1}}\right). \quad (7)$$

We only need to look at the case where $\nu_2(n) = 19$ since the weaker cases follow because

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+1}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+2}}\right) < \dots < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+19}}\right).$$

With Lemma 2 we have for $n > c_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} &\stackrel{\nu_2(n)=19}{\leq} \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+19}}\right) = \frac{1048575}{1048576} \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \\ &< \frac{1048575}{1048576} \frac{1771561}{1771560} e^\gamma \log \log n < e^\gamma \log \log n. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In light of Lemma 3 and the fact that $c_0 < 10^{10^{10}}$ we then conclude that Robin's inequality is true for those $n > 5040$ for which $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$. \square

Our proof of Theorem 2 is now done with other p-adic orders used to partially prove Theorem 6 of [5].

Proof of Theorem 2. We now consider n with an 11-adic order satisfying $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$. The cases for the 3-adic, 5-adic or 7-adic order follow directly since

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{5^{1+7}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{7^{1+6}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{1+12}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{11^{1+5}}\right).$$

With Lemma 1 and 2 we then have for $n > c_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} &\stackrel{\nu_{11}(n)=5}{\leq} \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{11^{1+5}}\right) = \frac{1771560}{1771561} \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \\ &< \frac{1771560}{1771561} \frac{1771561}{1771560} e^\gamma \log \log n = e^\gamma \log \log n. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By invoking Lemma 3 and noting that $c_0 < 10^{10^{10}}$ we then conclude that Robin's inequality is true for those integers $n > 5040$ for which $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$. \square

With these results, we can now also improve the unconditional bound for $\sigma(n)/n$ from Akbary et al. [1].

Proof of Theorem 3. First, note that $1771561/1771560 = 1.000000564474248684775$. Then similar to Theorem 1, it follows from Lemma 2 that for $n > c_0$,

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < \frac{1771561}{1771560} e^\gamma \log \log n < 1.0000005645 e^\gamma \log \log n \quad (10)$$

On invoking Lemma 3 we then find that the above inequality holds unconditionally for $n > 5040$. \square

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Friedrich Hertlein, Felix Palm, Patrick Solé, Lucas Kimmig and the referee for useful suggestions. All calculations were done with Mathematica 10 on a 6-core Xeon processor with 200 digit precision.

References

- [1] Akbary, A., Friggstad, Z. and Juricevic, R., Explicit upper bounds for $\prod_{p \mid \omega(n)} \frac{p}{p-1}$, *Contrib. Discrete Math.* **2**(2) (2007), 153-160.
- [2] Banks, W. D., Hart, D. N., Moree, P., Nevans, C. W. and Wesley, C., The Nicolas and Robin inequalities with sums of two squares, *Monatsh. Math.* **157**(4) (2009), 303-322.
- [3] Briggs, K., 'Abundant numbers and the Riemann hypothesis', *Exp. Math.* **15**(2) (2006), 251-256.
- [4] Broughan, K. and Trudgian, T., Robin's inequality for 11-free integers, *Integers* **15** (2015), Article ID A12, 5 pages.

- [5] Choie, Y.-J., Lichiardopol, N., Moree, P. and Solé, P., On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **19**(2) (2007), 357-372.
- [6] Grytczuk, A., Upper bound for sum of divisors function and the Riemann hypothesis, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **31**(1) (2007), 67-75.
- [7] Ramanujan, S., Highly composite numbers, annotated and with a foreword by Nicolas and Robin, *Ramanujan J.* **1**(2) (1997), 119-153.
- [8] Robin, G., Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **63**(2) (1984), 187-213.
- [9] Solé, P. and Planat, M., The Robin inequality for 7-free integers, *Integers* **12**(2) (2012), 301-309