



**PRIME POWER DIVISORS OF MERSENNE NUMBERS AND  
WIEFERICH PRIMES OF HIGHER ORDER**

**Ladislav Skula<sup>1</sup>**

*Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Brno University of  
Technology, Brno, Czech Republic*  
skula@fme.vutbr.cz

*Received: 12/6/17, Revised: 8/16/18, Accepted: 2/2/19, Published: 3/15/19*

**Abstract**

The equivalence is presented between a *Wieferich* prime  $p$  of order  $n$  and the divisibility of the *Mersenne* number  $M_q$  by the power  $p^{n+1}$ .

**1. Introduction**

Throughout this paper,  $a$ ,  $n$ , and  $m$  will denote positive integers, with  $m \geq 2$ , and  $p$  an odd prime. If the integer  $a$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then Fermat's Little Theorem states that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

This theorem guarantees that the number

$$q(p, a) = \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p}$$

is an integer which is called the *Fermat quotient of  $p$  with base  $a$* . This notion can be extended for a composite integer  $m$  and an integer  $a$  where  $m$  and  $a$  are relatively prime integers. By Euler's Theorem we have

$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

The integer

$$q(a, m) = \frac{a^{\varphi(m)} - 1}{m}$$

is called the *Euler quotient of  $m$  with base  $a$* , where  $\varphi$  means Euler's totient function.

A whole range of results on Fermat and Euler quotients is known, the following Proposition on the "logarithm property" being of special importance.

---

<sup>1</sup>*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11A41; Secondary: 11A07  
*Keywords and phrases.* *Wieferich* prime of order  $n$ , *Mersenne* number, *Fermat* and *Euler* quotient

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $b$  be an integer,  $(a, m) = (b, m) = 1$ . Then,*

$$q(a \cdot b, m) \equiv q(a, m) + q(b, m) \pmod{m}.$$

**Remark 1.2.** For  $m = p$  ( $p$  prime), this property was proved by Eisenstein [4], for  $m$  odd ( $m \geq 1$ ) by Lerch [5], and generally by Agoh, Dilcher, Skula [1], Proposition 2.1 (a).

Wieferich [7], in his criterion on the first case of Fermat Last Theorem for exponent  $p$ , used the following property of  $p$ :  $q(2, p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , or equivalently  $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ . For this reason,  $p$  with this property is called a *Wieferich prime*. At present, only two Wieferich primes, 1093 and 3511, are known and no prime  $p < 6.7 \times 10^{15}$  except these primes is Wieferich [3].

In [1], Definition 1.3, the notion of Wieferich prime was generalized as follows.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $m$  and  $a$  be relatively prime integers. We say that  $m$  is a *Wieferich number with base  $a$*  if  $q(a, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

In the present paper we will only be concerned with the case  $m = p^n$  and  $a = 2$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let the prime  $p$  be a Wieferich prime. Then  $p$  is called a *Wieferich prime of order  $n$*  if  $q(2, p^n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ , or equivalently  $2^{p^{n-1}(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$ .

From [1], Corollary 5.2, ( $\text{ord}_p(q(2, p^n)) = \text{ord}_p(q(2, p))$ ) we get any Wieferich prime is a Wieferich prime of order 1. (S.Proposition 2.2.)

The goal of the paper is to present the connection of a Wieferich prime  $p$  of order  $n$  with the divisibility of the Mersenne number  $M_q = 2^q - 1$  by the prime power  $p^n$ , where  $q$  means a prime.

A. Rotkiewicz [6], and CH. K. Caldwell [2] proved that, if  $p$  is a prime and  $p^2$  divides a Mersenne number  $M_q$  for a prime  $q$ , then  $p$  is a Wieferich prime. In the present paper we generalize this result and prove the other direction of this assertion as well.

## 2. Auxiliary Assertions

**Proposition 2.1 (Statement on the Euler quotient for two bases).** *Let  $m, N$  be relatively prime positive integers, and let  $r, Q$  be integers  $1 \leq r < m$ ,  $Q > 0$  with the property  $N = m \cdot Q + r$ . Then,*

$$N \cdot q(N, m) \equiv \varphi(m) \cdot Q + r \cdot q(r, m) \pmod{m}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $m, N, r, Q$  are integers fulfilling the conditions in the Proposition. We have

$$N^{\varphi(m)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi(m)} \binom{\varphi(m)}{k} (mQ)^k r^{\varphi(m)-k} \equiv r^{\varphi(m)} + \varphi(m)mQ^{\varphi(m)-1} \pmod{m^2}.$$

Since  $q(N, m) = \frac{N^{\varphi(m)} - 1}{m}$  and  $N \equiv r \pmod{m}$ , we get

$$mq(N, m) \equiv r^{\varphi(m)} - 1 + \varphi(m)mQN^{\varphi(m)-1} \pmod{m^2},$$

therefore

$$q(N, m) \equiv q(r, m) + \varphi(m)QN^{\varphi(m)-1} \pmod{m},$$

and hence

$$Nq(N, m) \equiv \varphi(m)Q + rq(r, m) \pmod{m}.$$

□

By [1], Corollary 5.2, we get:

**Proposition 2.2.** *We have  $\text{ord}_p(q(2, p)) \geq n$ , or equivalently  $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ , if and only if  $p$  is a Wieferich prime of order  $n$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $q$  be a prime,  $p^n | M_q$ , and let  $\delta$  be the order of  $2 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ . Then  $\delta = q$  if and only if  $p^{n+1} | M_q$ ; and  $\delta = q \cdot p$  otherwise.*

*Proof.* We have  $2^\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$  and  $2^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ . Therefore, the order of  $2 \pmod{p^n}$  is  $q$  and  $2^\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ , hence  $q | \delta$ . There exists a positive integer  $T$  such that  $2^q = 1 + p^N T$ , thus

$$2^{qp} = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} p^{nk} \cdot T^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}},$$

and then  $\delta \in \{q, p, q \cdot p\}$ . If  $\delta = p$ , then  $1 \equiv 2^\delta = 2 \cdot 2^{p-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ , which is a contradiction. □

### 3. Main Theorem

**Main Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $q$  be a prime and let  $p^n$  divide  $M_q$ , where  $M_q = 2^q - 1$  is a Mersenne number. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $p^{n+1}$  divides  $M_q$ ,
- (b)  $p$  is a Wieferich prime of order  $n$ ,
- (c) the order of  $2 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$  is  $q$ .

*Proof.* We show the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $p^{n+1}$  divide  $M_q$  and let the order of  $2 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$  be  $\delta$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3,  $\delta = q$ , hence  $2^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ . Using Euler's Theorem, we get

$$2^{\varphi(p^{n+1})} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}, \text{ therefore } 2^{p^n(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$$

and  $q|p^n(p-1)$ , therefore  $q|(p-1)$ . Then, there exists a positive integer  $x$  such that  $p-1 = q \cdot x$ . Hence  $2^{p-1} = 2^{q \cdot x} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$  and  $\text{ord}_p(2^{p-1} - 1) \geq n + 1$ , and therefore

$$\text{ord}_p \frac{2^{p-1} - 1}{p} \geq n.$$

By Proposition 2.2, we get that  $p$  is a Wieferich prime of order  $n$ .

We prove the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Assume that  $p$  is a Wieferich prime of order  $n$ . Set  $m = p^n$  and  $N = 2^q$ . Since  $2^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ , there exists a positive integer  $Q$  such that  $N = m \cdot Q + 1$ . Using Proposition 2.1 (Euler quotient for two bases) and Proposition 1.1 (logarithm property), we obtain

$$q \cdot 2^q \cdot q(2, p^n) \equiv -p^{n-1} \cdot Q \pmod{p^n}.$$

From the assumption that  $p$  is a Wieferich prime of order  $n$ , we get the congruence  $0 \equiv q(2, p^n) \pmod{p^n}$ , therefore  $p|Q$  and then

$$2^q = p^{n+1} \cdot \frac{Q}{p} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}},$$

hence  $p^{n+1} | M_q$ .

Lemma 2.3 gives the equivalence of statements (a) and (c). □

## References

- [1] T. Agoh, K. Dilcher and L. Skula, Fermat Quotients for Composite Moduli, *J. Number Theory*, **66** (1997), 29-50.
- [2] CH. K. Caldwell, Proof that all prime-squared Mersenne divisors are Wieferich. <https://primes.utm.edu/notes/proofs/SquareMerDiv.html>.
- [3] F. G. Dorais and D. Klyve, A Wieferich prime search up to  $p < 6.7 \times 10^{15}$ , *J. Integer Seq.* **14** (2011), 1-14.
- [4] G. Eisenstein, Eine neue Gattung, zahlentheoretischer Funktionen, welche von zwei Elementen abhängen und durch gewisse lineare Funktional-Gleichungen definiert werden, *Math. Werke*, Gotthold Eisenstein, Band II, Chelsea, New York, 2nd ed. 1989, pp.705-711(710).
- [5] M. Lerch, Zur Theorie des Fermatschen Quotienten  $(a^{p-1} - 1)/p = q(a)$ , *Math. Ann.* **60** (1905), 471-490.
- [6] A. Rotkiewicz, Sur les nombres de Mersenne dpourvus de facteurs carrs et sur les nombres naturels n tels que  $n^2|2^n - 2$ , *Matem. Vesnik (Beograd)*, **2**(17), (1965), 78-80.
- [7] A. Wieferich, Zum letzten Fermat'schen Theorem, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **136** (1909), 293-302.