



ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF APOSTOL-VU MULTIPLE BALANCING ZETA FUNCTIONS

Prasanta Kumar Ray

Department of Mathematics, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Burla, India
prasantamath@suniv.ac.in

Utkal Keshari Dutta

Department of Mathematics, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Burla, India
utkaldutta@gmail.com

Received: 2/5/19, Accepted: 1/23/20, Published: 4/27/20

Abstract

In this note we introduce Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta functions as

$$\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r; s_{r+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_r < \infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_2}^{s_2} \cdots B_{m_r}^{s_r} B_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_r}^{s_{r+1}}},$$

where s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r+1$) are complex variables. We study the analytic continuation of Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta functions of three variables and calculate a complete list of the residues corresponding to their respective poles. Further we examine the values of these zeta functions at negative integers.

1. Introduction

The Euler-Zagier multiple zeta function of depth r is defined by

$$\zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r < \infty} \frac{1}{m_1^{s_1} m_2^{s_2} \cdots m_r^{s_r}},$$

where s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) are complex variables [13]. Zhao [14] gave an analytic continuation of $\zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ as a function of s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) to \mathbb{C}^r using Gelfand and Shilov's generalized functions. The series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n < m} \frac{1}{m^{s_1} n^{s_2} (m+n)} \tag{1.1}$$

was first introduced by Apostol and Vu [2] and they obtained partial results on its analytic continuation. The meromorphic continuation of (1.1), and the more

general series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n < m} \frac{1}{m^{s_1} n^{s_2} (m+n)^{s_3}} \quad (1.2)$$

to the whole space, was first proved in [6]. In [7], Matsumoto generalized (1.2) and introduced the Apostol-Vu r -ple zeta function

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{AV,r}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r; s_{r+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r < \infty} \frac{1}{m_1^{s_1} m_2^{s_2} \cdots m_r^{s_r} (m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_r)^{s_{r+1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

He proved that the series (1.3) is convergent absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s_i) > 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), $\operatorname{Re}(s_{r+1}) > 0$, and can be extended meromorphically to the whole space \mathbb{C}^{r+1} .

Let $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers which is recursively defined by

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

The closed form expression for $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is $F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$, where $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ are the zeros of the Fibonacci characteristic equation $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$. Navas [8] introduced the Fibonacci zeta function $\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-s}$, where F_n denotes the n -th Fibonacci number, and proved that $\zeta_F(s)$ is meromorphically continued to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} . For $s = 1$, $\zeta_F(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-1}$ is the sum of the reciprocal of Fibonacci constants which is an irrational number [1]. Duverney et al. [4] proved that $\zeta_F(2m)$ for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are all transcendental numbers. Elsner et al. [5] proved that $\zeta_F(2)$, $\zeta_F(4)$ and $\zeta_F(6)$ are algebraically independent. By applying the theory of modular forms, Ram Murty [9] also showed that $\zeta_F(2m)$ are transcendental numbers for $m \geq 1$. Recently, Rout and Meher [11] defined the multiple Fibonacci zeta function of depth r as:

$$\zeta_F(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r < \infty} \frac{1}{F_{m_1}^{s_1} F_{m_2}^{s_2} \cdots F_{m_r}^{s_r}}.$$

They studied the analytic continuation of $\zeta_F(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ of depth 2, and found a complete list of poles and their corresponding residues. In [11], they also examined the arithmetic nature of multiple Fibonacci zeta functions at negative integer arguments.

Before going to the study of analytic continuation of Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta functions, our foremost task is to discuss the theory of balancing numbers and balancing zeta function. A natural number m is said to be a balancing number if it is the solution of a simple Diophantine equation $1 + 2 + \cdots + (m-1) = (m+1) + (m+2) + \cdots + (m+r)$, where r is a balancer corresponding to m [3]. Let $\{B_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ be the balancing sequence which is recursively defined as $B_0 = 0$, $B_1 = 1$ and $B_m = 6B_{m-1} - B_{m-2}$ for $m \geq 2$. The closed form expression for

$\{B_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ is $B_m = \frac{\alpha^m - \beta^m}{\alpha - \beta}$, where $\alpha = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = \alpha^{-1} = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ are the roots of the balancing characteristic equation $x^2 - 6x + 1 = 0$ [10]. Rout and Panda [12] considered balancing zeta function $\zeta_B(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m^{-s}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, where B_m denotes the m -th balancing number, and derived that $\zeta_B(s)$ can be meromorphically continued to the whole complex plane.

In the present study we introduce the Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta function and investigate its analytic continuation of three variable along with poles and their corresponding residues. We also evaluate the values of Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta functions at negative integers.

2. Analytic Continuation of Apostol-Vu Multiple Balancing Zeta Functions

In this section we define the Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta function and study its analytic continuation of three variables. *The Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta function* is defined by

$$\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r; s_{r+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_r < \infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_2}^{s_2} \cdots B_{m_r}^{s_r} B_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_r}^{s_{r+1}}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r+1$) are complex variables.

Proposition 1. *The series $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_2}^{s_2} B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_3}}$ converges absolutely in the domain*

$$D_3 = \{(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 | \operatorname{Re}(s_i) > 0, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Proof. Let $s_j = \sigma_j + iy_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma_j = \operatorname{Re}(s_j) > 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. From (2.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_2}^{s_2} B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_3}} \\ &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1}} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} B_{2m_1+m_2}^{s_3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1}} \right| &= (\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_1} \left| \frac{1}{(\alpha^{m_1} - \beta^{m_1})^{s_1}} \right| \\
&\leq (\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{m_1 \sigma_1} (1 - (|\beta/\alpha|)^{m_1})^{\sigma_1}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{1 - |\beta/\alpha|} \right)^{\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1 m_1}} \\
&= \Lambda_{\sigma_1} \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_1}}{\alpha^{\sigma_1 m_1}},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Lambda_{\sigma_1} = \frac{1}{(1 - |\beta/\alpha|)^{\sigma_1}}$. Similarly,

$$\left| \frac{1}{B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2}} \right| \leq \Lambda_{\sigma_2} \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_2}}{a^{\sigma_2(m_1+m_2)}} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{B_{2m_1+m_2}^{s_3}} \right| \leq \Lambda_{\sigma_3} \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_3}}{a^{\sigma_3(2m_1+m_2)}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Lambda_{\sigma_j} = \frac{1}{(1 - |\beta/\alpha|)^{\sigma_j}}$ for $j = 2, 3$. By virtue of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} B_{2m_1+m_2}^{s_3}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1}} \right| \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} B_{2m_1+m_2}^{s_3}} \right| \\
&\leq \Lambda_{\sigma_1} \Lambda_{\sigma_2} \Lambda_{\sigma_3} (\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1 m_1}} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_2(m_1+m_2)} \times \alpha^{\sigma_3(2m_1+m_2)}} \\
&= \Lambda (\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+2\sigma_3)m_1}} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(\sigma_2+\sigma_3)m_2}} \\
&= \Lambda (\alpha - \beta)^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+2\sigma_3} - 1)} \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_2+\sigma_3} - 1)} \\
&< \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha > 1$ where $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\sigma_1} \Lambda_{\sigma_2} \Lambda_{\sigma_3}$. Therefore, the series (2.2) converges absolutely in the domain D_3 , which ends the proof. \square

Theorem 1. *The series $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^3 . It has possible simple poles on the hyperplanes*

$$s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 = -2(k + l + 2t) + \frac{2\pi i a}{\log \alpha} \text{ with } k, l, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$s_2 + s_3 = -2(l+t) + \frac{2\pi i b}{\log \alpha} \text{ with } l, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. For any $s = \sigma + iy \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_m^s &= \left(\frac{\alpha^m - \beta^m}{4\sqrt{2}} \right)^s = (4\sqrt{2})^{-s} \alpha^{ms} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^m \right)^s \\ &= 2^{-\frac{5s}{2}} \alpha^{ms} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha^{2m}} \right)^s \\ &= 2^{-\frac{5s}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s}{k} \alpha^{m(s-2k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The above series converges since $\alpha > 1$. By virtue of (2.2) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} B_{2m_1+m_2}^{s_3}} \\ &= \sum_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \alpha^{-m_1(s_1+2k)} \times 2^{\frac{5s_2}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)(s_2+2l)} \\ &\quad \times 2^{\frac{5s_3}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \alpha^{-(2m_1+m_2)(s_3+2t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We know that $\left| \binom{-s}{k} \right| \leq (-1)^k \binom{-|s|}{k}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{B_{m_1}^{s_1} B_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} B_{2m_1+m_2}^{s_3}} \right| \\ &= \sum_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty} \left| 2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \alpha^{-m_1(s_1+2k)} \times 2^{\frac{5s_2}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)(s_2+2l)} 2^{\frac{5s_3}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \alpha^{-(2m_1+m_2)(s_3+2t)} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{5(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3)}{2}} \alpha^{-m_1\sigma_1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_1|}{k} (-1)^k \alpha^{-2m_1 k} \\ &\quad \times \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)\sigma_2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_2|}{l} (-1)^l \alpha^{-2(m_1+m_2)l} \\ &\quad \times \alpha^{-(2m_1+m_2)\sigma_3} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_3|}{t} (-1)^t \alpha^{-2(2m_1+m_2)t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{5(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3)}{2}} \alpha^{-m_1\sigma_1} \left(1 - \alpha^{-2m_1}\right)^{-|s_1|} \times \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)\sigma_2} \\
&\quad \times \left(1 - \alpha^{-2(m_1+m_2)}\right)^{-|s_2|} \alpha^{-(2m_1+m_2)\sigma_3} \left(1 - \alpha^{-2(2m_1+m_2)}\right)^{-|s_3|} \\
&\leq \sum_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{5(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3)}{2}} \alpha^{-m_1\sigma_1} \left(1 - \alpha^{-2}\right)^{-|s_1|} \times \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)\sigma_2} \left(1 - \alpha^{-4}\right)^{-|s_2|} \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-(2m_1+m_2)\sigma_3} \left(1 - \alpha^{-6}\right)^{-|s_3|} \\
&= 2^{\frac{5(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3)}{2}} \left(1 - \alpha^{-2}\right)^{-|s_1|} \left(1 - \alpha^{-4}\right)^{-|s_2|} \left(1 - \alpha^{-6}\right)^{-|s_3|} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+2\sigma_3)m_1} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(\sigma_2+\sigma_3)m_2} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the above series absolutely converges for a fixed point (s_1, s_2, s_3) in D_3 . Then by interchanging the order of summation in (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= 2^{\frac{5(s_1+s_2+s_3)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \\
&\quad \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha^{-(s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t)} \right)^{m_1} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha^{-(s_2+s_3+2l+2t)} \right)^{m_2} \\
&= 2^{\frac{5(s_1+s_2+s_3)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \\
&\quad \frac{\alpha^{-(s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t)}}{\left(1 - \alpha^{-(s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t)}\right)} \times \frac{\alpha^{-(s_2+s_3+2l+2t)}}{\left(1 - \alpha^{-(s_2+s_3+2l+2t)}\right)} \\
&= 2^{\frac{5(s_1+s_2+s_3)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t} - 1\right)} \times \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t} - 1\right)}. \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t} - 1| > \alpha^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+2\sigma_3+k+l+2t}$ for $k \geq k_0$, $l \geq l_0$, $t \geq t_0$ and $|\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t} - 1| > \alpha^{\sigma_2+\sigma_3+l+t}$ for $l \geq l_1$, $t \geq t_1$, where $k_0 = k_0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \alpha) \gg 0$, $l_0 = l_0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \alpha) \gg 0$, $t_0 = t_0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \alpha) \gg 0$, $l_1 = l_1(\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \alpha) \gg 0$, and $t_1 = t_1(\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \alpha) \gg 0$. Assume that $l^* = \max\{l_0, l_1\}$ and $t^* = \max\{t_0, t_1\}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k>k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{l>l^*}^{\infty} \sum_{t>t^*}^{\infty} \left| \binom{-s_1}{k} \binom{-s_2}{l} \binom{-s_3}{t} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t} - 1)} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t} - 1)} \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{k>k_0, \\ t>t^*}}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_1|}{k} (-1)^k \binom{-|s_2|}{l} (-1)^l \binom{-|s_3|}{t} (-1)^t \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+2\sigma_3+k+l+2t}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_2+\sigma_3+l+t}} \\
& \leq \alpha^{-(\sigma_1+2\sigma_2+3\sigma_3)} \sum_{\substack{k>k_0, \\ t>t^*}}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_1|}{k} (-1)^k \alpha^{-k} \binom{-|s_2|}{l} (-1)^l \alpha^{-2l} \\
& \quad \times \binom{-|s_3|}{t} (-1)^t \alpha^{-3t} \\
& \leq \alpha^{-(\sigma_1+2\sigma_2+3\sigma_3)} \left(1 - \alpha^{-1}\right)^{-|s_1|} \left(1 - \alpha^{-2}\right)^{-|s_2|} \left(1 - \alpha^{-3}\right)^{-|s_3|}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above bound is uniform where (s_1, s_2, s_3) varies over compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^3 . Thus the series (2.8) converges uniformly and absolutely on the compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^3 not containing any poles. Indeed, the series (2.8) determines the holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^3 except for the poles derived from the functions $\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t} - 1 = 0$ and $\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t} - 1 = 0$. Therefore, $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^3 and its simple poles are at

$$s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 = -2(k + l + 2t) + \frac{2\pi i a}{\log \alpha} \text{ with } k, l, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$s_2 + s_3 = -2(l + t) + \frac{2\pi i b}{\log \alpha} \text{ with } l, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.10)$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. Residues of Apostol-Vu Multiple Balancing Zeta Functions at Poles

In this section, we find the residues of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ at the simple poles derived from Theorem 1. We define the residue of the Apostol-Vu multiple balancing zeta

functions $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ along the hyperplane (2.9) to be the restriction of the meromorphic function

$$\left(s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 + 2(k + l + 2t) - \frac{2\pi i a}{\log \alpha} \right) \zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$$

to the hyperplane (2.9). Similarly, define the residue of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ along the hyperplane (2.10) to be the restriction of the meromorphic function

$$\left(s_2 + s_3 + 2(l + t) - \frac{2\pi i b}{\log \alpha} \right) \zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$$

to the hyperplane (2.10).

Theorem 2. *The residue of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ at $s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 = s_{k',l',t'} = -2(k' + l' + 2t') + \frac{2\pi i a}{\log \alpha}$ with $k', l', t' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is*

$$\frac{2^{\frac{5s_{k',l',t'}}{2}}}{\log \alpha} \sum_{\substack{t,l,k \geq 0 \\ k+l+2t=k'+l'+2t'}} 2^{-\frac{5s_3}{2}} \binom{-s_3}{t} \binom{-s_2}{l} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t} - 1)}.$$

Proof. Let $k', l', t' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. The function $\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k'+2l'+4t'} - 1$ is an analytic function which has simple zeros at

$$s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 = s_{k',l',t'} = -2(k' + l' + 2t') + \frac{2\pi i a}{\log \alpha}.$$

Now, $\lim_{\substack{s_1+s_2+2s_3 \rightarrow s_{k',l',t'} \\ \alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k'+2l'+4t'} - 1}} \frac{s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 - s_{k',l',t'}}{\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k'+2l'+4t'} - 1} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha}$. The residue of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ along $s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 = s_{k',l',t'}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{\substack{s_1+s_2+2s_3 \\ \rightarrow s_{k',l',t'}}} \zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{s_1+s_2+2s_3 \\ \rightarrow s_{k',l',t'}}} (s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 - s_{k',l',t'}) 2^{\frac{5(s_1+s_2+s_3)}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \\ & \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t} - 1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t} - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{-\frac{5s_3}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t}-1)} \Big|_{s_1+s_2+2s_3=s_{k',l',t'}} \\
&\quad \times \lim_{\substack{s_1+s_2+2s_3 \\ \rightarrow s_{k',l',t'}}} 2^{\frac{5(s_1+s_2+2s_3)}{2}} \frac{s_1+s_2+2s_3-s_{k',l',t'}}{\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t}-1} \\
&= \frac{2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}}}{\log \alpha} \sum_{\substack{t,l,k \geq 0 \\ k+l+2t=k'+l'+2t'}} \binom{-s_3}{t} \binom{-s_2}{l} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t}-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 3. Let $l', t' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the residue of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ at $s_2 + s_3 = s_{l',t'} = -2(l' + t') + \frac{2\pi i b}{\log \alpha}$ is

$$\frac{2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}}}{\log \alpha} \sum_{\substack{t,l,k \geq 0 \\ l+t=l'+t'}} \binom{-s_3}{t} \binom{-s_2}{l} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t}-1)}.$$

Proof. The function $\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l'+2t'}-1$ is an analytic function which has simple zeros at

$$s_2 + s_3 = s_{l',t'} = -2(l' + t') + \frac{2\pi i b}{\log \alpha} \text{ with } l', t' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now,

$$\lim_{\substack{s_2+s_3 \rightarrow s_{l',t'} \\ \rightarrow s_{l',t'}}} \frac{s_2 + s_3 - s_{l',t'}}{\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l'+2t'}-1} = \text{Res}_{\substack{s_2+s_3 \\ = s_{l',t'}}} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l'+2t'}-1)} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha}.$$

The residue of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ along $s_2 + s_3 = s_{l',t'}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Res}_{\substack{s_2+s_3 \\ \rightarrow s_{l',t'}}} \zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\
&= \lim_{\substack{s_2+s_3 \\ \rightarrow s_{l',t'}}} (s_2 + s_3 - s_{l',t'}) 2^{\frac{5(s_1+s_2+s_3)}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t}-1)} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t}-1)} \\
&= 2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_3}{t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_2}{l} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t}-1)} \Big|_{s_2+s_3=s_{l',t'}} \\
&\quad \times \lim_{\substack{s_2+s_3 \\ \rightarrow s_{l',t'}}} 2^{\frac{5(s_2+s_3)}{2}} \frac{s_2 + s_3 - s_{l',t'}}{\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t}-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}}}{\log \alpha} \sum_{\substack{t,l,k \geq 0 \\ l+t=l'+t'}} 2^{\frac{5s_1}{2}} \binom{-s_3}{t} \binom{-s_2}{l} \binom{-s_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t}-1)}.$$

This ends the proof. \square

4. Values of Apostol-Vu Multiple Balancing Zeta Functions at Negative Integers

In this section we consider the values of $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ at negative integers. First we give a sufficient condition for $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ to be holomorphic at $(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (-r_1, -r_2, -r_3)$ where $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Lemma 1. *Let $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Then $\zeta_{AVB}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ is holomorphic at $(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (-r_1, -r_2, -r_3)$ if and only if $r_1 + r_2 + 2r_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $r_2 + r_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. The infinite series (2.8) is holomorphic except the poles derived from

$$(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+2s_3+2k+2l+4t}-1) \times (\alpha^{s_2+s_3+2l+2t}-1) = 0$$

This is true if and only if, one of the following equations holds:

$$s_1 + s_2 + 2s_3 = -2(k + l + 2t), s_2 + s_3 = -2(l + t),$$

for $(k, l, t) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, and the result follows. \square

Theorem 4. *For positive integers $r_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) \in \mathbb{Q}$ if even number of r_i in (r_1, r_2, r_3) are odd and $\zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}$ if odd number of r_i in (r_1, r_2, r_3) are odd except for singularities.*

Proof. For positive integers r_1, r_2, r_3 , from (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) &= 2^{\frac{-5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{r_1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{r_2}{l} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{r_3}{t} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-r_1-r_2-2r_3+2k+2l+4t}-1)} \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-r_2-r_3+2l+2t}-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k > r_1$, $l > r_2$ and $t > r_3$, $\binom{r_1}{k}$, $\binom{r_2}{l}$ and $\binom{r_3}{t}$ vanish respectively. Thus this is a finite sum belonging to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) &= 2^{\frac{-5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \binom{r_1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \binom{r_2}{l} \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \binom{r_3}{t} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-r_1-r_2-2r_3+2k+2l+4t}-1)} \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-r_2-r_3+2l+2t}-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Let

$$\sigma_{l,t} = \binom{r_2}{l} \binom{r_3}{t} \frac{1}{(\alpha - r_2 - r_3 + 2l + 2t - 1)} \text{ and } \theta_{k,l,t} = \binom{r_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha - r_1 - r_2 - 2r_3 + 2k + 2l + 4t - 1)}.$$

Using these identities, from (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) \\
&= 5^{\frac{-(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{k,l,t} \\
&= \frac{2^{\frac{-5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}}}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{k,l,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,l,r_3-t} \right] \\
&= \frac{2^{\frac{-5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}}}{4} \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{k,l,t} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,t} \theta_{k,r_2-l,t} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,l,r_3-t} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,r_2-l,r_3-t} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{2^{\frac{-5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}}}{8} \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{k,l,t} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{r_1-k,l,t} \right) + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,t} \theta_{k,r_2-l,t} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,t} \theta_{r_1-k,r_2-l,t} \right) + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,l,r_3-t} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,r_3-t} \theta_{r_1-k,l,r_3-t} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,r_2-l,r_3-t} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,r_3-t} \theta_{r_1-k,r_2-l,r_3-t} \right) \right) \right]. \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote:

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{k,l,t}, Q = \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,t} \theta_{r_1-k,l,t}, R = \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,t} \theta_{k,r_2-l,t}, \\
S &= \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,t} \theta_{r_1-k,r_2-l,t}, T = \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,l,r_3-t}, \\
U &= \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{l,r_3-t} \theta_{r_1-k,l,r_3-t}, V = \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,r_3-t} \theta_{k,r_2-l,r_3-t} \\
\text{and } W &= \sum_{t=0}^{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r_1} \sigma_{r_2-l,r_3-t} \theta_{r_1-k,r_2-l,r_3-t}. \text{ Let } X = P + Q + R + S + T + U + V + W
\end{aligned}$$

and $\Phi \neq \text{Id}$ be an automorphism of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$ and hence $\Phi(\alpha) = \beta$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{r_2-l, r_3-t} \theta_{r_1-k, r_2-l, r_3-t} \\ &= \binom{r_2}{r_2-l} \binom{r_3}{r_3-t} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-r_2-r_3+2(r_2-l)+2(r_3-t)} - 1)} \\ &\quad \times \binom{r_1}{r_1-k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-r_1-r_2-2r_3+2(r_1-k)+2(r_2-l)+4(r_3-t)} - 1)} \\ &= \binom{r_3}{t} \binom{r_2}{l} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{r_2+r_3-2(l+t)} - 1)} \binom{r_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{r_1+r_2+2r_3-2(k+l+2t)} - 1)} \\ &= \binom{r_3}{t} \binom{r_2}{l} \frac{1}{(\beta^{-r_2-r_3+2l+2t} - 1)} \binom{r_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\beta^{-r_1-r_2-2r_3+2k+2l+4t} - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Similarly, one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{r_2-l, r_3-t} \theta_{k, r_2-l, r_3-t} \\ &= \binom{r_3}{t} \binom{r_2}{l} \frac{1}{(\beta^{-r_2-r_3+2(l+t)} - 1)} \binom{r_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\beta^{r_1-r_2-2r_3-2(k-l-2t)} - 1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{l, r_3-t} \theta_{r_1-k, l, r_3-t} \\ &= \binom{r_3}{t} \binom{r_2}{l} \frac{1}{(\beta^{r_2-r_3-2(l-t)} - 1)} \binom{r_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\beta^{-r_1+r_2-2r_3+2k-2l+4t} - 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{l, r_3-t} \theta_{k, l, r_3-t} \\ &= \binom{r_3}{t} \binom{r_2}{l} \frac{1}{(\beta^{r_2-r_3-2(l-t)} - 1)} \binom{r_1}{k} \frac{1}{(\beta^{r_1+r_2-2r_3-2(k+l-2t)} - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Let \mathcal{N}_o and \mathcal{N}_e denote the set of odd and even positive integers respectively. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_e$ (or) $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{N}_o$ and $r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_e$ (or) $r_1, r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_o$ and $r_2 \in \mathcal{N}_e$ (or) $r_2, r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_o$ and $r_1 \in \mathcal{N}_e$. In this case, $(r_1 + r_2 + r_3)/2$ is an integer which implies $2^{\frac{5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then from (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), we obtain that $\Phi(P) = W$, $\Phi(Q) = V$, $\Phi(R) = U$ and $\Phi(S) = T$, and hence from (4.2), $X \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, $\zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Case 2. $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_o$ (or) $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{N}_e$ and $r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_o$ (or) $r_1, r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_e$ and $r_2 \in \mathcal{N}_o$ (or) $r_2, r_3 \in \mathcal{N}_e$ and $r_1 \in \mathcal{N}_o$. In this case, $(r_1 + r_2 + r_3)/2$ is not an integer, and hence $2^{\frac{5(r_1+r_2+r_3)}{2}} \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}$. Then from (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we also obtain that $\Phi(P) = W$, $\Phi(Q) = V$, $\Phi(R) = U$ and $\Phi(S) = T$. Thus from (4.2), we have $X \in \mathbb{Q}$ which implies $\zeta_{AVB}(-r_1, -r_2, -r_3) \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. Andre-Jeannin, Irrationalité de la somme des inverses de certaines suites récurrentes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **308** (1989), 539-541.
- [2] T. M. Apostol and T. H. Vu, Dirichlet series related to Riemann zeta function, *J. Number Theory* **19** (1984), 85-102.
- [3] A. Behera and G. K. Panda, On the square roots of triangular numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **37** (1999), 98-105.
- [4] D. Duverney, Ke. Nishioka, Ku. Nishioka and I. Shiokawa, Transcendence of Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction and reciprocal sums of Fibonacci numbers, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **73** (1997), 140-142.
- [5] C. Elsner, S. Shimomura and I. Shiokawa, Algebraic relations for reciprocal sums of Fibonacci numbers, *Acta Arith.* **130** (2007), 37-60.
- [6] K. Matsumoto, On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions, in “Number Theory for the Millennium II, Proc. of the Millennial Conference on Number Theory” M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters (2002), 417-440.
- [7] K. Matsumoto, On Mordell-Tornheim and other multiple zeta functions, *Proceedings of the Session in Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Equations, Bonner Math. Schriften, Univ. Bonn* **360** (2003), 17pp.
- [8] L. Navas, Analytic continuation of the Fibonacci Dirichlet series, *Fibonacci Quart.* **39** (2001), 409-418.
- [9] M. Ram Murty, Fibonacci zeta function, in Automorphic representations and L-functions, *Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math. Hindustan Book Agency, Gurgaon*, (2013).
- [10] P. K. Ray, Balancing and cobalancing numbers, *Ph.D. Thesis, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela*, 2009.
- [11] S. S. Rout and N. K. Meher, Analytic continuation of the multiple Fibonacci zeta functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **94** (2018), 64-69.
- [12] S. S. Rout and G. K. Panda, Balancing Dirichlet series and related L-function, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (2014), 943-952.
- [13] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in “First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II, Invited Lectures (Part 2)”, A. Joseph et al. (eds.), *Progress in Math., Birkhauser* **120** (1994), 497-512.
- [14] J. Zhao, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1275-1283.