

MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS WITH $f(p+q-n_0) = f(p) + f(q) - f(n_0)$

Poo-Sung Park¹

Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungnam University, Changwon-si, Republic of Korea pspark@kyungnam.ac.kr

Received: 9/20/21, Accepted: 11/12/21, Published: 11/23/21

Abstract

Let n_0 be 1 or 3. If a multiplicative function f satisfies $f(p + q - n_0) = f(p) + f(q) - f(n_0)$ for all primes p and q, then f is the identity function f(n) = n or a constant function f(n) = 1.

1. Introduction

In 2016 Chen, Fang, Yuan, and Zheng showed that if a multiplicative function f satisfies $f(p + q + n_0) = f(p) + f(q) + f(n_0)$ with $1 \le n_0 \le 10^6$ then f is the identity function provided $f(p_0) \ne 0$ for some prime p_0 [1]. This is a variation of Spiro's seminal paper in 1992 in which she dealt multiplicative functions satisfying f(p+q) = f(p) + f(q) [7]. She called the set of primes an *additive uniqueness set* for multiplicative functions f with $f(p_0) \ne 0$ for some prime p_0 .

A natural question follows about n_0 being negative for the paper of Chen et al. It is natural to consider the condition $f(p + q - n_0) = f(p) + f(q) - f(n_0)$ with $n_0 = 1, 2, 3$ because a multiplicative function is defined on positive integers.

The author already studied a multiplicative function satisfying f(p+q-2) = f(p) + f(q) - f(2), which also yields that the set of numbers 1 less than primes is an additive uniqueness set for multiplicative functions [5].

In this article we classify multiplicative functions satisfying $f(p + q - n_0) = f(p) + f(q) - f(n_0)$ with $n_0 = 1, 3$. For consistency we state the classification for $n_0 = 2$ as well.

Theorem 1. If a multiplicative function f satisfies f(p+q-1) = f(p)+f(q)-f(1)for all primes p and q, then f is the identity function f(n) = n or a constant function f(n) = 1.

 $^{^1{\}rm This}$ research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1A2B1010761).

INTEGERS: 21 (2021)

Theorem 2 ([5]). If a multiplicative function f satisfies f(p+q-2) = f(p)+f(q) - f(2) for all primes p and q, then f is the identity function f(n) = n, a constant function f(n) = 1, or f(n) = 0 for $n \ge 2$ unless n is odd and squareful.

Theorem 3. If a multiplicative function f satisfies f(p+q-3) = f(p)+f(q)-f(3) for all primes p and q, then f is the identity function f(n) = n or a constant function f(n) = 1.

Theorem 2 for $n_0 = 2$ has one more option. We give a proof for Theorem 3. We briefly skech the proof of Theorem 1, because it is similar. The proof of Theorem 2 is given in [5, §4].

2. Lemmas

Lemma 1. Assume a multiplicative function f satisfies f(p+q-3) = f(p) + f(q) - f(3) for all primes p and q. Then, f(n) = 1 or f(n) = n for n = 2, 3, 5, 7, and 11.

Proof. Note that f(1) = 1 and the equalities

$$\begin{split} f(1) &= f(2+2-3) = f(2) + f(2) - f(3), \\ f(7) &= f(5+5-3) = f(5) + f(5) - f(3), \\ f(10) &= f(2) \, f(5) \\ &= f(11+2-3) = f(11) + f(2) - f(3), \\ f(11) &= f(7+7-3) = f(7) + f(7) - f(3), \\ f(15) &= f(3) \, f(5) \\ &= f(11+7-3) = f(11) + f(7) - f(3). \end{split}$$

For convenience, let a = f(2), b = f(3), c = f(5), d = f(7), e = f(11). Then,

$$1 = 2a - b \tag{1}$$

$$d = 2c - b \tag{2}$$

$$ac = e + a - b \tag{3}$$

$$e = 2d - b \tag{4}$$

$$bc = e + d - b. \tag{5}$$

Equation (3) becomes

$$ac = 4c - 7a + 4$$

by the Equations (1), (2), and (4). Also, Equation (5) becomes

$$2ac = 7c - 10a + 5.$$

INTEGERS: 21 (2021)

So, c = 4a - 3 and we obtain an equation $a^2 - 3a + 2 = 0$. Thus, a = 1 or a = 2 and it follows that

$$f(2) = 1$$
 $f(3) = 1$ $f(5) = 1$ $f(7) = 1$

$$f(2) = 1, \quad f(3) = 1, \quad f(3) = 1, \quad f(1) = 1, \quad f(1) = 1, \quad f(2) = 2, \quad f(3) = 3, \quad f(5) = 5, \quad f(7) = 7, \quad f(11) = 11.$$

-			
_	_	_	

f(11) = 1

3

Lemma 2. The results in Lemma 1 can be extended up to n odd and $n < 10^{10}$.

Proof. We use induction. Let n be odd and $11 < n < 10^{10}$.

If n is prime, then n = 6k - 1 or n = 6k + 1. Suppose n = 6k - 1. Note that

$$f(n+4) = f(6k+3) = f(n+7-3) = f(n) + f(7) - f(3).$$

Since 6k + 3 can be factored into the product of two smaller integers, f(6k + 3) = 1 or f(6k + 3) = 6k + 3 by the induction hypothesis. Thus, f(n) = 1 or f(n) = n when n = 6k - 1 is prime.

Similarly, if n is a prime of the form 6k + 1, then f(n) = 1 or f(n) = n by

$$f(n+2) = f(6k+3) = f(n+5-3) = f(n) + f(5) - f(3).$$

If n is not a prime, n is either a product of two relatively prime integers or a power of a prime. The first case is easy by the multiplicity of f. So the second case remains.

Now, assume that n is a power of a prime with exponent ≥ 2 . Then, n + 3 is even and can be written as a sum of two primes p and q with $5 \leq p, q < n$ by the numerical verification of the Goldbach Conjecture up to 4×10^{18} [4].

Then, since f(n) = f(n+3-3) = f(p+q-3) = f(p) + f(q) - f(3), we obtain that f(n) = 1 or f(n) = n by the induction hypothesis.

Indeed, those can be extended up to $n \leq 4 \times 10^{18} - 3$.

Lemma 3. The results in Lemma 1 can be extended up to n even and $n < 10^{10}$.

Proof. It is enough to investigate $f(2^r)$ with $r \leq 33$. Note that $k \cdot 2^r + 1$ with $k < 2^r$ is called Proth number. If a Proth number is prime, it is called a Proth prime. It is verified that there exists an odd integer $k \leq 4141$ such that $k \cdot 2^r + 1$ is a Proth prime for $1 \leq r \leq 1000$ in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS, https://oeis.org/A057778), although the infinitude of Proth primes is not yet proved [6].

Then, $k \cdot 2^r + 1$ is an odd prime and

$$f(k) f(2^{r}) = f((k \cdot 2^{r} + 1) + 2 - 3) = f(k \cdot 2^{r} + 1) + f(2) - f(3).$$

Thus, we are done by Lemmas 1 and 2.

If the Goldbach Conjecture and the infinitude of Proth primes for all exponents were proved, Theorem 3 could be easily proved. But, neither of them has not yet been proved, so that we need other strategy. In the following lemma, $v_p(n)$ means the exponent of p in the prime factorization of n when p is a prime and n is a positive integer. The set H was defined by Spiro and the numerical verification of the Goldbach Conjecture was up to 2×10^{10} at that time. We would call the set Hin the lemma the *Spiro set*.

Lemma 4. Let

 $H = \{n \mid v_p(n) \le 1 \text{ if } p > 1000; v_p(n) \le |9 \log_p 10| - 1 \text{ if } p < 1000\}.$

For any integer $m > 10^{10}$, there is an odd prime $q \le m - 1$ such that $m + q \in H$.

Proof. This lemma is the consequence of [1, Lemma 2.4] which follows the proof of [7, Lemma 5].

Lemma 5 ([2, 3, 8]). Almost every even positive integer is expressible as the sum of two primes.

Lemma 6. The restricted function $f|_H$ is the identity function or a constant function on H.

Proof. Assume f(n) = n for n = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11. If $n < 10^{10}$, then f(n) = n from Lemmas 2 and 3. Let $n \in H$ with $n \ge 10^{10}$ and assume that f(m) = m for all $m \in H$ with m < n. If n is not a prime power, then f(n) = f(a)f(b) with (a, b) = 1and a, b > 1. Since f(a) = a and f(b) = b by the induction hypothesis, f(n) = n.

Now, if n is a prime power, then n is a prime by the definition of H. If n = 6k-1, then consider n + 7 - 3 = 6k + 3. Since

$$f(n+4) = f(6k+3) = f(n+7-3) = f(n) + f(7) - f(3)$$

and 6k + 3 can be factored into the product of two smaller integers, f(n) = n. Similarly, if n = 6k + 1, then

$$f(n+2) = f(6k+3) = f(n+5-3) = f(n) + f(5) - f(3)$$

yields f(n) = n.

By the same reasoning, we can conclude that f(n) = 1 if

$$f(2) = f(3) = f(5) = f(7) = f(11) = 1.$$

		ъ

Lemma 7 ([7, Lemma 7]). For any positive integer n, put

$$H_n = \begin{cases} \{mn : m \in H, (m, n) = 1\} & \text{if } 2 \mid n; \\ \{2mn : 2m \in H, (m, n) = 1\} & \text{if } 2 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Then H_n satisfies the following properties:

- 1. Every element of H_n is even.
- 2. The set H_n has positive lower density.

3. Proofs of Theorems

Let us start to prove Theorem 3. Suppose that there exists n for which $f(n) \neq n$. For $kn \in H_n$, we have that

$$f(kn) = f(k) f(n) = k f(n).$$

If f(kn) = kn, then f(n) = f(kn)/k = kn/k = n, which contradicts. So $f(kn) \neq kn$ for every $kn \in H_n$.

But, if kn+3 with k odd can be represented as a sum of two primes p and q, then

$$f(kn) = f(p+q-3) = f(p) + f(q) - f(3) = p + q - 3 = kn.$$

Thus, this implies that there exist many counterexamples to the Goldbach Conjecture whose density is positive. But, this contradicts Lemma 5. Therefore, f(n) = n for all n.

We can prove Theorem 1 in the similar way. First, we have that

$$f(3) = f(2+2-1) = f(2) + f(2) - f(1),$$

$$f(5) = f(3+3-1) = f(3) + f(3) - f(1),$$

$$f(6) = f(2) f(3)$$

$$= f(5+2-1) = f(5) + f(2) - f(1).$$

Let a = f(2), b = f(3), and c = f(5). Then,

$$b = 2a - 1,$$
 $c = 2b - 1,$ $ab = c + a - 1.$

Thus,

$$a(2a-1) = (2(2a-1) - 1) + a - 1$$

and it becomes $a^2 - 3a + 2 = 0$. Hence, a = 1 or a = 2.

Next, we should check $f(2^r)$ as in Lemma 3. We can use $k \cdot 2^r - 1$ instead of $k \cdot 2^r + 1$. The list of prime $k \cdot 2^r - 1$ with $0 \le r \le 10000$ is in OEIS (https://oeis.org/A126717). See also [6].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the Korea Institute for Advanced Study(KIAS) for its support and hospitality.

References

- [1] Y.-G. Chen, J.-H. Fang, P. Yuan, and Y. Zheng, On multiplicative functions with $f(p+q+n_0) = f(p) + f(q) + f(n_0)$, J. Number Theory 165 (2016), 270–289.
- [2] N. Čudakov, On the Goldbach's problem, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 17 (1937), 331-334.
- [3] T. Estermann, On the Goldbach's problem: Proof that almost all even positive integers are sums of two primes, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 44 (1938), 307–314.
- [4] T. Oliveira e Silva, S. Herzog, and S. Pardi, Empirical verification of the even the Goldbach conjecture and computation of prime gaps up to 4 · 10¹⁸, Math. Comp. 83 (2014), 2033–2060.
- [5] P.-S. Park, Additive uniqueness of PRIMES 1 for multiplicative functions, Int. J. Number Theory 16 (2020), 1368-1376.
- [6] Proth Search Page, http://www.prothsearch.com
- [7] C. A. Spiro, Additive uniqueness sets for arithmetic functions, J. Number Theory 42 (1992), 232–246.
- [8] J. G. van der Corput, Sur l'hypothèse de the Goldbach, Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam 41 (1938), 76–80.