

THE STRUCTURE OF ZECKENDORF EXPANSIONS

F. Michel Dekking

DIAM, Delft University of Technology, Faculty EEMCS, Delft, The Netherlands.

Received: 7/23/20, Accepted: 12/25/20, Published: 1/4/21

Abstract

In this paper we classify the Zeckendorf expansions according to their digit blocks. It turns out that if we consider these digit blocks as labels on the Fibonacci tree, then the numbers ending with a given digit block in their Zeckendorf expansion appear as compound Wythoff sequences in a natural way on this tree. Here the digit blocks consisting of only 0's are an exception. We also give a second description of these occurrence sequences as generalized Beatty sequences. Finally, we characterize the numbers with a fixed digit block occurring at an arbitrary fixed position in their Zeckendorf expansions, and determine their densities.

1. Introduction

We define the Zeckendorf expansion, as introduced in [10] and [13]. Let the Fibonacci numbers be given by $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 1, \ldots$ Let the twice shifted Fibonacci numbers be defined by $\ddot{F}_i = F_{i+2}$. Ignoring leading and trailing zeros, any natural number N can be written uniquely as

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \ddot{F}_i,$$

with digits $d_i = 0$ or 1, and where $d_i d_{i+1} = 11$ is not allowed. We denote the Zeckendorf expansion of N as Z(N), writing $Z(N) = \dots d_2 d_1 d_0$.

In Theorem 2 in Section 2.3 we characterize those numbers N which have a Zeckendorf expansion ending with the digit block $w = d_{m-1} \dots d_1 d_0$ for any m, and any choice of digits.

Several authors have obtained special cases of our results. In, e.g., the paper [12], the digit blocks $w = 0^m$, for $m \ge 1$ are treated. It is interesting (in the light of our Proposition 2), that Rytter ([12], page 219) writes "The remarkable property of the sequence of occurrences of a word $w = 0^m$ is that its difference sequence is structurally isomorphic to the infinite Fibonacci word...".

In Section 2.8 we solve the general problem of characterizing those numbers N which have a Zeckendorf expansion $Z(N) = \dots d_k \dots d_2 d_1 d_0$ such that the digit

block $ww_{m-1} \dots w_0$ occurs at position k in Z(N), i.e., $d_{k+m-1} \dots d_k = w_{m-1} \dots w_0$.

In Section 2.9 we given the densities $f_w^{(k)}$ of the numbers N which have a Zeckendorf expansion $Z(N) = \ldots d_k \ldots d_2 d_1 d_0$ such that the digit block w occurs at position k.

Here too, a special case has been considered in the literature: in the paper [7] the densities $f_1^{(k)}$ of the word w = 1 in position k are computed (in a different way).

The question arises as to what one can say about more general numeration systems, for example, about Ostrowski representations of the natural numbers. It appears that even for quadratic irrationals, the situation is much more complicated than for the golden mean.

One reason is that compound Beatty sequences, in particular iterated Beatty sequences, will no longer be generalized Beatty sequences in general. The well known example is $AA(n) = \lfloor \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor \alpha \rfloor$, with $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$, see, e.g., Theorem 1 in [6]. So a generalization of Theorem 1 does not exist, nor a 'compound Beatty part' of our main Theorem 2.

Nevertheless, expressions involving generalized Beatty sequences are possible see, e.g., Theorem 5.3 in [4], which, as Theorem 7 and 8 in [3], is a special case of the problem for arbitrary digit blocks. These are the subject of future work.

2. Zeckendorf Expansions

Let the golden mean be given by $\varphi := (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. It is well-known (see, e.g., [3], [9]) that the numbers N whose Zeckendorf expansion $Z(N) = \dots d_2 d_1 d_0$ has digit $d_0 = 0$ are exactly the elements $N = 0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, \dots$ from the *lower Wythoff* sequence

$$(A(N)) = (\lfloor N\varphi \rfloor) = (1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, \dots),$$
(1)

with 1 subtracted. Those with digit $d_0 = 1$ are the elements N = 1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 14, ... from the *upper Wythoff sequence*

$$(B(N)) = (\lfloor N\varphi^2 \rfloor) = (2, 5, 7, 10, 13, 15, \dots),$$
(2)

with 1 subtracted.

We consider the general question: given any word w of length m, what are the numbers N whose Zeckendorf expansion $Z(N) = \dots d_2 d_1 d_0$ ends with the digits $d_{m-1} \dots d_0 = w$?

2.1. Compound Wythoff Sequences

An important role is played by compositions of the two sequences A and B in Equation (1) and (2), also known as compound Wythoff sequences. As usual, we write these compositions as words over the monoid generated by A, B. For example,

the compound sequence AB is given by AB(N) = A(B(N)) for N = 1, 2... These compound Wythoff sequences have been extensively studied, as, e.g., in [3] and [5].

It turns out that, with exception of the words $w = 0^m$, the numbers N whose Zeckendorf expansion Z(N) ends with the digits $d_{m-1} \dots d_0 = w$, are given by a compound Wythoff sequence, which we denote by C_w or C(w).

In the pioneering paper [3] by Carlitz, Scoville and Hoggatt, we find that for $m \ge 0$

$$C(10^{2m+1}) = B^{m+1}A, \qquad C(10^{2m}) = AB^mA,$$
(3)

$$C(0010^{2m+1}) = B^{m+1}AA, \ C(010^{2m}) = AB^mAA, \tag{4}$$

$$C(1010^{2m+1}) = B^{m+1}AB, \ C(1010^{2m}) = AB^mAB.$$
(5)

These are given in their Theorems 7 and 8. It is remarkable that these results are presented as their main results in their introduction, but that their Theorem 13 (see Theorem 1 below), which we consider the most important result in [3], is not mentioned.

The successive compound Wythoff sequences AA, BA, ABA, BBA, \ldots in Equation (3) are the successive columns of the so-called Wythoff array W, defined by

$$W(n,m) = F_{m+1}\lfloor n\varphi \rfloor + (n-1)F_m \qquad n \ge 1, m \ge 0.$$

This is stated in Theorem 10 in the paper [8], but already implicitly proved in [3]; see Equation (3.8), (6.10) and (6.16) in that paper. We remark further that Proposition 2 gives a very simple way to obtain (4) and (5) from (3).

Theorem 1 ([3]). Let $U = (U(n))_{n \ge 1}$ be a composition of the Wythoff sequences A and B, containing i occurrences of A and j occurrences of B. Then

$$U(n) = F_{i+2j} A(n) + F_{i+2j-1} n - \lambda_U, \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1,$$

where F_k are the Fibonacci numbers and λ_U is a constant.

2.2. Generalized Beatty Sequences

Let α be an irrational number larger than 1. We call any sequence V with terms of the form $V(n) = p\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor + qn + r$, $n \geq 1$ a generalized Beatty sequence. Here p, q and r are integers, called the *parameters* of V.

Theorem 1 has a useful extension, given in the paper [1]. In its statement below, as Lemma 1, a typo in its source is corrected.

Lemma 1 ([1]). Let V be a generalized Beatty sequence with parameters (p, q, r), and $\alpha = \varphi$. Then VA and VB are generalized Beatty sequences with parameters $(p_{VA}, q_{VA}, r_{VA}) = (p+q, p, r-p)$ and $(p_{VB}, q_{VB}, r_{VB}) = (2p+q, p+q, r)$.

2.3. Main Theorem

To formulate our result for general digit blocks w, it is convenient to add 0's to the expansion of a number N in $\{0, \ldots, F_n - 1\}$ such that the total length of the word Z(N) becomes n-2. We denote this word as $Z^*(N)$. For example, for n = 5, we have

$$Z(0) = 0, Z(1) = 1, Z(2) = 10, Z(3) = 100, Z(4) = 101,$$

$$Z^*(0) = 000, Z^*(1) = 001, Z^*(2) = 010, Z^*(3) = 100, Z^*(4) = 101.$$

Note that $Z^*(\cdot)$ depends on the particular *n* that one considers, but we do not add this to avoid burdening the notation. The appropriate *n* will always be clear from the context.

In the following theorem, occurrences of a word w have to be interpreted in the Z^* -sense.

Theorem 2. For any natural number m fix a word w of 0's and 1's, containing no 11. Then—except if w = 1, or $w = 0^m$ —the sequence R_w of occurrences of numbers N such that the m lowest digits of the Zeckendorf expansion of N are equal to w, i.e., $d_{m-1} \ldots d_0 = w$, is a compound Wythoff sequence C_w .

In the exceptional cases w = 1, we have $R_w = B - 1$; when $w = 0^m$, we have $R_w = A^m - 1$.

The representation of R_w as a generalized Beatty sequence is given, without exception, by

 $R_w = F_m A + F_{m-1} \mathrm{Id} + \gamma_w \quad \text{or by} \quad R_w = F_{m+1} A + F_m \mathrm{Id} + \gamma_w,$ for some negative integer γ_w . The first representation holds for all w starting with $w_{m-1} = 0$, the second for all w with $w_{m-1} = 1$.

Theorem 2 will be proved in Section 2.7.

The words w without 11 are naturally ordered in a tree, the Fibonacci tree (this tree is different from the 'Fibonacci tree' considered in [2]). As an illustration of Theorem 2, and the way its proof will work, we depict the first four levels of this tree in Figure 1. The nodes are labeled with the w's, the corresponding compound Wythoff sequences C_w , and the R_w 's, expressed as generalized Beatty sequences.

2.4. The Basic Recursion

We partition the natural numbers in sets Λ_n , and consider sets Ψ_n given by

$$\Lambda_n := \{F_n, \dots, F_{n+1} - 1\}, \quad \Psi_n := \{0, \dots, F_n - 1\}, \quad n \ge 2.$$

Note that the elements from Λ_n are exactly the numbers with n-1 digits in their Zeckendorf expansions, and that the elements of Ψ_n are the numbers with n-2 digits, or less, in their Zeckendorf expansions.



Figure 1: Occurrence positions of digit blocks w.

Immediately from the definition of Z-expansions one obtains that the following basic recursion holds.

Lemma 2. If $N \in \Lambda_n$, then $Z(N) = 1 Z^*(N - F_n)$.

For example, $F_6 = 8$, $\Lambda_6 = \{8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$, and $Z(11) = 10100 = 1Z^*(3)$.

2.5. The Role of the Infinite Fibonacci Word

Note that we index the elements of the digit blocks w in reverse order, to comply with the order of the digits in Z(N), and with the order of the levels of the Fibonacci tree.

Let $w = w_{m-1} \dots w_0$ be a word with $w_{m-1} = 0$. The idea is to determine how the occurrences of the numbers N with $Z(N) = \dots 0w$, and those with $Z(N) = \dots 1w$, are intertwined.

Let f be the Fibonacci morphism on the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ given by f(a) = ab, f(b) = a. This morphism generates an infinite word $x_{\rm F} = abaababaabaa...$ by iteration, see e.g., the monograph [11], Proposition 1.2.8 and Example 1.2.10.

Proposition 1. For any natural number m > 1 fix a word $w = w_{m-1} \dots w_0$ of 0's and 1's, containing no 11, with $w_{m-1} = 0$. Code any occurrence of 0w at the end of a Z(N) by a, and any occurrence of 1w at the end of a Z(N) by b, in the order of these occurrences in Ψ_{m+n} . Then the resulting word is equal to $f^{n-2}(a)$, for $n = 3, 4, \dots$

Proof. We prove this by induction on n.

Because the length of 0w and 1w is m + 1, there is a single occurrence of 0w in Ψ_{m+3} , and a single occurrence of 1w in Ψ_{m+3} , in that order. The corresponding coding is ab = f(a). This deals with the case n = 3.

All the numbers N in Ψ_{m+4} , but not in Ψ_{m+3} , have an expansion Z(N) starting with 1. This means that from the three possible left extensions of 0w and 1w, only 10w will occur as a Z(N), with N in Ψ_{m+4} , obviously after the occurrences of 0wand 1w. So the coding of the occurrences in Ψ_{m+4} equals $aba = f^2(a)$. This was the case n = 4.

Now consider Ψ_{m+n} for $n \geq 5$. By Lemma 2 this set can be written as

$$\Psi_{m+n} = \Psi_{m+n-1} \cup (\Psi_{m+n-2} + F_{m+n-1}).$$

Here we write for a set E and a number $x, E + x := \{e + x : e \in E\}$.

Note that the occurrences of 0w and 1w as end blocks of Zeckendorf expansions of numbers in $\Psi_{m+n-2} + F_{m+n-1}$ are the same as for those in Ψ_{m+n-2} . From the induction hypothesis it then follows that the sequence of occurrences of 0w and 1was end blocks is coded by the word

$$f^{n-3}(a)f^{n-4}(a) = f^{n-3}(a)f^{n-3}(b) = f^{n-3}(ab) = f^{n-2}(a).$$

This finishes the induction proof.

The main part of Theorem 2 is a consequence of the following result.

Proposition 2. For any natural number m > 1 fix a word $w = w_{m-1} \dots w_0$ of 0's and 1's, containing no 11, with $w_{m-1} = 0$. Let C_w be the Wythoff-coding of the sequence of occurrences of the numbers N whose Z^* -expansion ends with w. Then $C_{0w} = C_w A$, and $C_{1w} = C_w B$.

Proof. One recalls (see, e.g., [11]) that the letters a in the infinite Fibonacci word $x_{\rm F}$, which has the $f^n(a)$ as prefix, occur at positions given by the lower Wythoff sequence A, and the letters b occur at positions given by B. Now the proposition follows directly from Proposition 1.

2.6. Two Particular Cases of Digit Blocks

The digit block $w = 0^m$ behaves exceptionally in Theorem 2, and also the digit block $w = 10^m$ needs special care.

Lemma 3. Let A be the lower Wythoff sequence, and B the upper Wythoff sequence. Then

$$(A^m - 1)A = A^{m+1} - 1, \ (A^{2m-1} - 1)B = B^m A, \ (A^{2m} - 1)B = AB^m A, \ for \ m \ge 1.$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, filling in $A^m(1) = 1$, we obtain for all m

$$A^{m}(n) - 1 = F_{m} A(n) + F_{m-1} n - F_{m+1}.$$

Applying Lemma 1, this yields

$$A^{m}(A(n)-1) = (F_{m}+F_{m-1})A(n) + F_{m}n - F_{m} - F_{m+1} = F_{m+1}A(n) + F_{m}n - F_{m+2}.$$

This is indeed equal to $A^{m+1}-1$.

Applying Lemma 1, now to $(A^{2m-1}-1)B$, we obtain

$$A^{2m-1}(B(n)) - 1 = (2F_{2m-1} + F_{2m-2})A(n) + (F_{2m-1} + F_{2m-2})n - F_{2m}$$

= $F_{2m+1}A(n) + F_{2m}n - F_{2m}$.

On the other hand, we find with Theorem 1, and by using Lemma 1 appropriately, that

$$B^{m}(A(n)) = F_{2m+1}A(n) + F_{2m}n - \lambda_{B^{m}A} = F_{2m+1}A(n) + F_{2m}n - F_{2m}.$$

This establishes the second equation.

For the last equation we compute

$$A(B^{m}(A(n)) = F_{2m+2}A(n) + F_{2m+1}n - \lambda_{AB^{m}A} = F_{2m+2}A(n) + F_{2m+1}n - F_{2m+1},$$

which establishes the third equation.

2.7. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof is by induction on the length m of the digit block w. For m = 1, we have $R_0 = A - 1$ and $R_1 = A + \text{Id} - 1$, since B = A + Id (see Equations (1) and (2)).

Suppose we know the result for all words w of length m. We prove that it holds for all words w of length m + 1. It holds for $w = 0^{m+1}$, by Proposition 2, Lemma 3, and Lemma 1. For $w = 10^m$ we also apply these three results, distinguishing between odd and even m. For the other words w of length m, we distinguish between w = 0u or w = 1u, where u is a word of length m - 1.

The word 1u just generates the single word w = 01u, which has $C_w = C_{1u}$, and $R_w = R_{1u} = F_{m+1}A + F_m \text{Id} + \gamma_{1u}$, which is correct as announced, since w starts with 0.

The word 0u generates the two words w = 00u and 10u, which by Proposition 2 are compound Wythoff. Also, the induction hypothesis is that $R_{0u} = F_m A + F_{m-1} \text{Id} + \gamma_{0u}$. By Lemma 3 this implies that $R_{00u} = F_{m+1}A + F_m \text{Id} + \gamma_{00u}$ and $R_{10u} = F_{m+2}A + F_{m+1} \text{Id} + \gamma_{10u}$. This is exactly what had to be proved.

The value of γ_w in the representation $R_w = F_m A + F_{m-1} \text{Id} + \gamma_w$ or $R_w = F_{m+1}A + F_m \text{Id} + \gamma_w$ in Theorem 2 can be easily computed.

Proposition 3. For any natural number m > 1 fix a word $w = w_{m-1} \dots w_0$ of 0's and 1's, containing no 11. Let $T_{00} := \{0 < k < m : w_k w_{k-1} = 00\}$. Then

$$-\gamma_w = 1 + \sum_{k \in T_{00}} F_k.$$

Proof. This is implied directly by $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = -1$, and Lemma 1, following the steps in the proof of Theorem 2.

2.8. Digit Blocks at Arbitrary Positions

The general question is: what is the sequence $R_w^{(k)}$ of occurrences of numbers N such that the length m digit block w ends at position k in the Zeckendorf expansion of N? For k = 0 the answer is given by Theorem 2.

When (a_n) and (b_n) are two increasing sequences, indexed by \mathbb{N} , then we mean by the union of (a_n) and (b_n) the increasing sequence whose terms go through the set $\{a_n, b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By iteration, we also consider arbitrary finite unions of increasing sequences.

Theorem 3. For any natural number m fix a word w of 0's and 1's, containing no 11. Let k be a positive integer. Let v be the word $v = w 0^k$. Then the sequence $R_w^{(k)}$ of occurrences of numbers N with expansion $Z(N) = \ldots d_k \ldots d_1 d_0$ such that $d_{k+m-1} \ldots d_k = w = w_{m-1} \ldots w_0$, is a union of F_{k+2-w_0} generalized Beatty sequences, given by

 $F_{k+m+w_{m-1}}A + F_{k+m-1+w_{m-1}} \mathrm{Id} + \gamma_v, \dots, F_{k+m+1}A + F_{k+m} \mathrm{Id} + \gamma_v + F_{k+2-w_0} - 1.$

Proof. There are F_{k+2} words of length k, containing no 11. So this theorem is implied directly by Theorem 2: a digit block $w = d_{k+m-1} \dots d_{k+1} 0$ extends to F_{k+2} digit blocks $d_{k+m-1} \dots d_{k+1} 0 d_{k-1} \dots d_0$, whereas a digit block $w = d_{k+m-1} \dots d_{k+1} 1$ extends to F_{k+1} digit blocks $d_{k+m-1} \dots d_{k+1} 10 d_{k-2} \dots d_0$. The corresponding N's are consecutive, with the smallest such N equal to $v = w 0^k$.

Example. Let w = 00, and k = 2. Then $d_3d_2 = 00$ if and only if $d_3d_2d_1d_0 = 0000$, or 0001, or 0010. So the sequence $R_{00}^{(2)}$ is the union of the three sequences 3A + 2Id - 5, 3A + 2Id - 4, and 3A + 2Id - 3.

2.9. Densities

For a natural number m let w be a word of 0's and 1's, containing no 11. By Theorem 2 we know that the sequence R_w of occurrences of numbers N such that the m lowest digits of the Zeckendorf expansion of N are equal to w, is a generalized Beatty sequence given by

$$R_w = F_{m+w_{m-1}}A + F_{m-1+w_{m-1}}\mathrm{Id} + \gamma_w,$$

for some negative integer γ_w .

As a result of this, given any word w of length m, the numbers with a Zeckendorf expansion that ends with w have a density f_w of occurrence in the set of natural numbers. For respectively $w_{m-1} = 0$, and $w_{m-1} = 1$:

$$f_w = \frac{1}{F_m \varphi + F_{m-1}} = \varphi^{-m}$$
 or $f_w = \frac{1}{F_{m+1} \varphi + F_m} = \varphi^{-m-1}$. (6)

Here the equality

$$\varphi^m = F_m \varphi + F_{m-1} \tag{7}$$

is easily proved by induction.

Proposition 4. For any natural number m, fix a word $w = w_{m-1} \dots w_0$ of 0's and 1's, containing no 11. Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer. Let $f_w^{(k)}$ be the density of $R_w^{(k)}$ in \mathbb{N} . Then

$$f_w^{(k)} = F_{k+2-w_0} \varphi^{-k-m-w_{m-1}}.$$

Proof. For k = 0 this is Equation (6), since $F_1 = F_2 = 1$. For k > 0, this follows directly from Theorem 3, with Equation (7).

Remark. There are F_m words $w = 0 \dots 0$, F_{m-1} words $w = 0 \dots 1$ or $w = 1 \dots 0$, and F_{m-2} words $w = 1 \dots 1$. So the total density of all words of length m is equal to

$$F_m F_{k+2} \varphi^{-k-m} + F_{m-1} F_{k+1} \varphi^{-k-m} + F_{m-1} F_{k+2} \varphi^{-k-m-1} + F_{m-2} F_{k+1} \varphi^{-k-m-1}.$$

That this is equal to 1 follows from three instances of Equation (7), and from the well-known Fibonacci number relation $F_m F_n + F_{m+1}F_{n+1} = F_{m+n+1}$.

References

- J.-P. Allouche and F.M. Dekking, Generalized Beatty sequences and complementary triples, Moscow J. Comb. Number Th. 8 (2019), 325–341.
- R.M. Capocelli, A note on Fibonacci trees and the Zeckendorf representation of integers, Fibonacci Quart. 26 (1988), 318–324.
- [3] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., Fibonacci representations, *Fibonacci Quart.* 10 (1972), 1–28. [Also see L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., Addendum to the paper: "Fibonacci representations", *Fibonacci Quart.* 10 (1972), 527–530.]
- [4] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, and V. E. Hoggatt Jr., Pellian representations, *Fibonacci Quart.* 10 (1972), 449–488.
- [5] A. S. Fraenkel, Iterated floor function, algebraic numbers, discrete chaos, Beatty subsequences, semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 341 (1994), 639–664.

- [6] F.M. Dekking, Morphic words, Beatty sequences and integer images of the Fibonacci language, Th. Comp. Science 809 (2020), 407–417.
- [7] M. Griffith, Digit proportions in Zeckendorf representations, Fibonacci Quart. 48 (2010), 168– 174.
- [8] C. Kimberling, Complementary equations and Wythoff sequences, J.Integer Seq. 11 (2008), Article 08.3.3.
- [9] C. Kimberling, One-Free Zeckendorf sums, Fibonacci Quart. 21 (1983), 53-57.
- [10] C.G. Lekkerkerker, Voorstelling van natuurlijke getallen door een som van Fibonacci, Simon Stevin 29 (1952), 190–195.
- [11] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 90, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [12] W. Rytter, The structure of subword graphs and suffix trees of Fibonacci words, Th. Comp. Science 363 (2006), 211–223.
- [13] E. Zeckendorf, Réprésentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 41 (1972), 179–182.