SOME PRIMALITY CONDITIONS FOR $N = 2p^n - 1$

E. L. Roettger
Department of General Education, Mount Royal University, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
eroettger@mtroyal.ca

H. C. Williams
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
hwilliam@ucalgary.ca

Received: 2/15/22, Accepted: 7/19/22, Published: 8/3/22

Abstract

In 1876 Lucas published a primality test for numbers of the form $N_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$. However, this test was only sufficient for the primality of N_n and required that $4 \nmid n$. In this paper we derive a necessary and sufficient test for the primality of $2p^n - 1$, where p is any prime. In the case where p is fixed and $p < 20$, we show that that this test is effective when $k \nmid n$, where k is a given fixed integer depending on p and greater than 10^{40}.

1. Introduction

In 1876 Lucas [5] introduced his test for the primality of the Mersenne numbers $M_n = 2^n - 1$. Although this test is not complete (it requires $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$), it marked the beginning of a revolution in the theory of primality proving (see Williams [12, Chapters 3 and 5]) and led to the much-publicized Lucas-Lehmer test for the primality of M_n for any odd n. This latter test is both necessary and sufficient for the primality of M_n for any odd n. Although it is not so well-known, Lucas also proposed in [5] a primality test for the numbers of the form $N_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$. (That there are primes of this form can be seen in the Table 2 of Williams and Zarnke [13].) However, this test is only a sufficiency test and is subject to the constraint that $n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$. Since $5 \mid N_n$ when $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, this restriction could be replaced by $4 \nmid n$.

In this paper we will derive a necessary and sufficient criterion for the primality of N_n, which holds for all n such that some $k \nmid n$. Here k is a fixed integer of over 40 decimal digits. We next extend this approach to integers $N_n(p) = 2p^n - 1$, where p is any odd prime, and discuss in detail the resulting test for all $p < 20$. We also

include a table of prime values of such $N_n(p)$ for all values of n less than a certain bound, depending on p.

2. Primality Testing of $Ap^n - 1$

In this section we will review several known results concerning the primality of $Ap^n - 1$, where A is an even integer. These ideas (see Roettger et al. [8]) owe their origin to the pioneering work of Lucas [6], but have since been somewhat refined.

We begin by defining the Lucas sequences (U_n) and (V_n). For coprime integers P and Q, put

$$U_n = U_n(P,Q) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \quad V_n = V_n(P,Q) = \alpha^n + \beta^n,$$

where α, β are the zeros of $f(x) = x^2 - Px + Q$. We also designate the discriminant of $f(x)$ by $D = (\alpha - \beta)^2 = P^2 - 4Q$. The Lucas sequences have been the objects of considerable study (see, for example, [12, Chapter 4] for some of their properties). We note here that

$$V_n^2 - DU_n^2 = 4Q^n, \tag{1}$$

$$U_{2n} = U_n V_n, \quad V_{2n} = V_n^2 - 2Q^n. \tag{2}$$

Also, Lucas showed that if q is any prime such that $q \nmid Q$ and the Legendre symbol $(D/q) = -1$, then $q \mid U_{q+1}$ ([12, Theorem 4.3.1]).

It is often convenient when evaluating remote terms of (U_n) or (V_n) modulo some N [12, Section 4.4] to make use of

$$W_n = W_n(P,Q) \equiv V_{2n}(P,Q)Q^{-n} \pmod{N}, \tag{3}$$

when $\gcd(Q,N) = 1$. Note that $W_0 = 2$, $W_1 \equiv P^2Q^{-1} - 2 \pmod{N}$. Also,

$$W_{2n} \equiv W_n^2 - 2 \pmod{N}. \tag{4}$$

We have the following simple result.

Proposition 1. If q is any prime such that $q \nmid QD$, then for $n > 0$ we have

$$q \mid U_n \Leftrightarrow W_n \equiv 2 \pmod{q}.$$

Proof. Follows easily from (3), (1) and the last identity in (2). □

On referring to [12, Section 4.2] (See also Stein and Williams [9]), we define polynomials $G_n(x)$ by

$$x^n G_n(x + x^{-1}) = \frac{x^{2n+1} - 1}{x - 1}.$$

We have $G_0(x) = 1$, $G_1(x) = x + 1$ and

$$G_{m+1}(x) = xG_m(x) - G_{m-1}(x) \quad (m \geq 1).$$

From (4.2.67) and (4.2.68) of [12], we have

$$U_{(2s+1)n} \equiv Q^{ns} U_n G_s(W_n), \quad V_{(2s+1)n} \equiv (-1)^s Q^{ns} V_n G_s(-W_n) \pmod{N}. \quad (5)$$

We also see by (3), (4) and the last congruence in (5) that

$$W_{(2s+1)n} \equiv (-1)^s W_n G_s(2 - W_n^2) \pmod{N}. \quad (6)$$

In what follows we will assume that N is given by

$$N = Ap^n - 1, \text{where } p \text{ is an odd prime, } n > 0, \, p \nmid A \text{ and } 2 \mid A. \quad (7)$$

The next result is Corollary 2.2 of [9].

Theorem 2. *Let N be given by (7) and $A < p^n$. If for some P, Q we have* $\gcd(Q, N) = 1$ *and*

$$G_s(W_{(N+1)/p}(P, Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{N},$$

where $s = (p-1)/2$, then N is a prime.

Indeed, by using ideas which originate with the work of Lucas (see [8]), we can extend this theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let N be given by (7) and $A \leq 2p^{n-2\alpha+2}$, where $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. If for some P, Q with* $\gcd(Q, N) = 1$ *we have*

$$G_s(W_{(N+1)/p^\alpha}(P, Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{N},$$

where $s = (p-1)/2$, then N is a prime.

Proof. By Corollary 11.3.3 of [12], we know that if r is any prime divisor of N, then $r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^k}$, where $p^k \mid\mid (N+1)/p^{\alpha-1}$. Thus, since $p^\alpha \mid\mid N + 1$, we have $k = n - \alpha + 1$ and

$$r = hp^{n-\alpha+1} \pm 1.$$

Since r is a prime, we have $2 \mid h$ and $r \geq 2p^{n-\alpha+1} - 1$. Since $A \leq 2p^{n-2\alpha+2}$ and $p^{n-\alpha+1} > 2$, we have $r^2 > N$. It follows that N must be a prime. □

Suppose we put $T_0 \equiv W_A \pmod{N}$ and define

$$T_{i+1} \equiv (-1)^s T_i G_s(2 - T_i^2) \pmod{N}.$$

By (6) we see that

$$T_i \equiv W_{Ap^i} \pmod{N}. \tag{8}$$

Notice that if N is a prime, $\gcd(Q, N) = 1$ and $(D/N) = -1$, we must have $N \mid U_{N+1}$, which by Proposition 1 means that $W_{N+1} \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$. Thus from (8) we get

$$T_n \equiv 2 \pmod{N}.$$

In order to prove the main result of this section (Theorem 4) we need two simple observations.

Lemma 1. *If* $\gcd(U_A, N) = 1$, $\gcd(Q, N) = 1$ *and the Jacobi symbol* $(D/N) = -1$, *then* $T_0 = W_A \not\equiv 2 \pmod{N}$.

Proof. Suppose $W_A \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$. We have $V_{2A} \equiv 2Q^A \pmod{N}$ and $V_A^2 \equiv 4Q^A \pmod{N}$ by (2). Thus, from (1) we get

$$N \mid DU_A^2,$$

which is impossible. □

Lemma 2. *Suppose* N *is given by (7),* N *is a prime,* $\gcd(Q, N) = 1$, $(D/N) = -1$ *and* $N \nmid U_A$. *If* m *is the least positive integer such that* $T_m \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$, *then* $N \mid G_s(T_{m-1})$.

Proof. We have already seen that $T_n \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$ and by Lemma 1 we have $T_0 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{N}$. Thus, a value of m must exist. By Proposition 1, we have $N \mid U_{Ap^m}$. It follows from (5) and (8) that $N \mid U_{Ap^{m-1}} G_s(T_{m-1})$. If $N \mid U_{Ap^{m-1}}$, then by Proposition 1, we have $T_{m-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$, which by Lemma 1 means that $m - 1 \geq 1$. However, this violates the definition of m, hence, $N \mid G_s(T_{m-1})$. □

Theorem 4. *Suppose we have* P, Q *such that* $\gcd(Q, N) = 1$, *the Jacobi symbol* $(D/N) = -1$ *and* $N \nmid U_A(P, Q)$. *Suppose further that* m *is the least positive integer such that* $m \leq n$ *and* $T_m \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$. *If no such* m *exists, then* N *is composite. If* $G_s(T_{m-1}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, *then* N *is composite; if* $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ *and* $A \leq 2p^{2m-n}$, *then* N *is a prime.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 we know that m must exist if N is a prime. Thus, if $T_m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{N}$ for all m such that $1 \leq m \leq n$, then N is composite. If N is a prime, we know from Lemma 2 that $N \mid G_s(T_{m-1})$; thus, if $N \nmid G_s(T_{m-1})$, then N is composite. If $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, by Theorem 3 with $\alpha = n - m + 1$, we see that N must be a prime when $A \leq 2p^{2m-n}$. □

Notice that if $A = 2$ and $2m \geq n$, then $A \leq 2p^{2m-n}$. We now have the following sufficiency test for the primality of $N = 2p^n - 1$, when we are given P, Q such that $(D/N) = -1$ and $\gcd(PQ, N) = 1$ ($U_A = U_2 = P$).

Corollary 1. *For N, P, Q given as above*

1) Put

$$T_0 \equiv W_2 \pmod{N}, \quad s = (p-1)/2.$$

2) Define

$$T_{i+1} \equiv (-1)^s T_i G_s(2 - T_i^2) \pmod{N}.$$

3) Find the least positive m such that $m \leq n$, $T_m \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. If no such m exists, N is composite. If such an m exists and $2m \geq n$, then N is a prime.

If $T_m \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$, $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and $2m < n$, we cannot decide whether or not N is a prime. However, by results in Williams [11] (Theorem 2), we have, for a given Q and D, precisely $\phi(Ap^m)$ values of $P \pmod{N}$ are such that $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Thus, there are at least $p^n - p^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ values of P for which $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and $2m \geq n$. Thus, a selection of P such that $G_s(T_{m-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and $2m < n$ would be very unlikely. This makes Corollary 1 a very practical test for the primality of N given by (7). In the sequel we will tighten this up even further.

3. Lucas-Lehmer Type Tests for Primality

We begin this section by pointing out that results in Williams [10] can be used to prove the following theorem for N given by (7) and $p = 3$.

Theorem 5. *Let $N = A3^n - 1$ for $n > 0$, $2 \mid A$, $3 \nmid A$, and $A < 3^n$. Suppose q is some prime such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $N^{(q-1)/3} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $4q = t^2 + 27u^2$, where $t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If $\gcd(N, qu) = 1$, $P = t$, $Q = q$, then N is a prime if and only if $W_{(N+1)/3}(P, Q) \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$.*

A proof that for any given prime $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, values of t and u must always exist can be found in Section 6 of Chapter 9 of Ireland and Rosen [4] and Chapter 4 of Cox [3]. Also, the values of D here is $-27u^2$ and $(D/N) = (-3/N) = (N/3) = -1$. We should mention here that this result was improved by Berrizbeitia and Berry [1], who showed using a different approach that it will hold when $A/2 < 4 \cdot 3^n - 1$.

Corollary 2. *For N, P, Q given in the theorem*

1) Put

$$T_0 \equiv W_2 \pmod{N}.$$

2) Define

$$T_{i+1} \equiv T_i(T_i^2 - 3) \pmod{N} \quad (i > 0).$$

3) N is a prime if and only if $T_{n-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$.

In the case of $N = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$, it is shown in [12, Section 11.3], that if $P = 1$, $Q = 7$, Corollary 2 gives a necessary and sufficient test for the primality of N whenever $6 \nmid n$. This test falls into a category of tests defined in [7] as being of L-L or Lucas-Lehmer type. For a certain fixed $l \geq 1$ such tests satisfy the following 4 conditions:

1) The test is restricted to values of N given by an expression involving some base b and some exponent n, which belongs to a preselected congruence class and exceeds a given bound.

2) The test makes use of l sequences $(T_{i,k})_{k\geq 0}$, where $1 \leq i \leq l$. The values of $T_{i,0}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)$ (the seeds) can be calculated by a simple, deterministic process and values of terms in the sequence $(T_{i,k})_{k\geq 0}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)$ modulo N can be computed from $T_{i,j+1} \equiv g_i(T_{i,j}) \pmod{N}$, where each g_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)$ is a fixed (independent of n and j) polynomial in l variables and with integer coefficients. This step terminates at a value for k determined from n.

3) There is a closing condition which declares N a prime if and only if it divides some fixed polynomial function(s) of some of the $T_{i,j}$ values computed in (2).

4) The entire test executes in time $O(nM(n))$.

Here $M(n)$ denotes the number of elementary bit operations needed to multiply two numbers of n bits. Notice that the Lucas-Lehmer test for the primality of M_n also fall into this class of tests for $l = 1$. In this paper we will show how to find L-L tests with $l = 1$ for $N = 2p^n - 1$.

We first review some results in [12, Chapter 11]. Let p, q be distinct odd primes such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. There exist certain integers $C(i, p, q)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$ $(s = (p-1)/2)$ which can be computed by a deterministic algorithm requiring at most $O((p + \log q)q) + O(p^3)$ arithmetic operations, where the numbers involved will not exceed $(2q)^{p/2}$ (see p.264 of [12, Section 11.1]). Suppose r is any prime such that $r \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and R be any one of the roots of $G_s(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$ (there must be exactly s of these) and put $P = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} C(i, p, q)R^i$, $Q = q^{r-2}$; then, if $r^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ we must have ([12, p. 274])

$$r \nmid U_{(r+1)/p}(P, Q) \quad \text{and} \quad r \mid U_{r+1}.$$

By (5) we get the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let r be any prime such that $r \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and let R be any integer such that $G_s(R) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$. If $r^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and*

$$P \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} C(i, p, q)R^i, \quad Q \equiv q^{p-2} \pmod{r},$$

then

$$G_s(W_{(r+1)/p}) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}.$$

By combining the results of Theorem 6 and Theorem 3, we get Theorem 7 (cf. Theorem 11.3.6 of [12]).

Theorem 7. *Let $N = Ap^n - 1$, $s = (p-1)/2$, where p is an odd prime, $A < p^n$ and $2 \mid A$. Let q be any prime such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $N^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}$. If R satisfies the congruence*

$$G_s(R) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$$

and

$$P \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} C(i,p,q)R^i, \quad Q \equiv q^{p-2} \pmod{r},$$

then N is a prime if and only if $G_s(T_{n-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, where $T_0 \equiv W_A \pmod{N}$ and

$$T_{i+1} \equiv (-1)^s G_s(2 - T_i^2) \pmod{N} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

By combining Theorem 4 and Theorem 7 it is possible to devise a Lucas-Lehmer test for the primality of $N = Ap^n - 1$ (cf. Algorithm 11.3.7 of [12]).

Algorithm 8. Test for the primality of $N = Ap^n - 1$, where $A < p^n$, p an odd prime, and $2 \mid A$. We assume we are given P, Q, q such that $(D/N) = -1$, $N \nmid U_A$ and $N^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{q}$,

1) Put $S_0 \equiv W_A(P,Q) \pmod{N}$ and compute $S_1, S_2, \dots$ by

$$S_{i+1} \equiv (-1)^s S_i G_s(2 - S_i^2) \pmod{N}.$$

until we find the least positive $m \le n$ such that

$$S_m \equiv 2 \pmod{N}.$$

If no such m exists, then N is composite and the algorithm terminates. Put $R \equiv S_{m-1} \pmod{N}$. If $G_s(R) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, then N is composite and the algorithm terminates. If $A < 2p^{2m-n}$, then N is a prime and the algorithm terminates.

2) If $A \ge 2p^{2m-n}$, put

$$P' \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} C(i,p,q)R^i, \quad Q' \equiv q^{p-2}, \quad T_0 \equiv W_A(P', Q') \pmod{N}.$$

3) Compute T_{n-1} by using

$$T_{i+1} \equiv (-1)^s T_i G_s(2 - T_i^2) \pmod{N}.$$

4) N is a prime if and only if $N \mid G_s(T_{n-1})$.

Notice here that we execute step (1), and if we are unsuccessful in determining whether N is composite or prime, we nevertheless obtain a piece of information, namely a value for R, which can be used in steps (2)-(4) and is guaranteed to resolve the issue of whether N is a prime. If we know values for P, Q, q, the complexity of Algorithm 8 is $O(nM(n))$. Also, as noted in Section 2, in practice we almost always prove N a prime in step (1).

The difficulty in applying Algorithm 8 is that it is not effective; that is, it does not provide a means of finding, for a given N, suitable values of P, Q such that $N \nmid U_A(P,Q)$, $(D/N) = -1$, and a prime q such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $N^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. For certain special values of N it is often possible to do this, but in general this seems to be a difficult problem. In the next section we will address this problem in the special case of $A = 2$.

4. Determination of Suitable P, Q and q

In this section we will consider the question of how to find values for P, Q, q which will be applicable to Algorithm 8 when N is given by (7). We first observe that for N given by (7), we have $N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, if we have some prime r such that the Legendre symbol $(N/r) = -1$, then on putting $P = 2$, $Q = 1 - r$, we get $D = 4r$ and

$$(D/N) = (4r/N) = (r/N) = (N/r) = -1.$$

In the case of $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$(N/p) = (-1/p) = -1;$$

thus, we can put $r = p$ in this case. However, in the case where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, there is no obvious value for r. In either case, we see that since $U_A = U_2 = P = 2$, we have $N \nmid U_A$. Indeed, for any A and a fixed p, we see that since $2^{A-1}(\sqrt{r})^{A-1} > U_A(2, 1-r) > 0$, we find that for all sufficiently large values of n we have $N_n > U_A = U_A(2, 1-r)$. That is, there exists a fixed bound $B(p, r, A)$ whose value depends only on p, r, and A such that if $n > B(p, r, A)$, then $N_n > U_A$ and therefore $N_n \nmid U_A$. Thus, our object in this section is that of finding a prime r such that $(N/r) = -1$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and a prime q such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $N^{(p-1)/q} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. We will leave the first problem in abeyance and deal with the second problem first.

For some fixed prime p, let $\mathscr{C} = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_j\}$ be a set of j primes such that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, j)$. We say (see [12, Section 16.4] or [9, Section 4]) that $\mathscr{C}$ is a p^{th} power nonresidue covering set for (N_n) if for any $n \geq 0$, there must

exist some $q \in \mathscr{C}$ such that

$$N_n^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}. \tag{9}$$

For example, if $N_n = 4 \cdot 3^n - 1$, it is easy to establish that $\mathscr{C} = \{7, 13\}$ is a cubic nonresidue covering set for (N_n). As discussed in [12, Section 16.4] and in the particular instance of $A = p - 1$ in [9], it is often the case that such a covering set will exist for most (N_n) defined in (7) except those for which $A = p^{pk} - x^p$ $(x = \pm 1)$. When $k = 0$ and $x = -1$, we get $A = 2$, which excludes those N_n where $A = 2$. Indeed, when $N_n = 2p^n - 1$, we have $N_0 = 1$, and consequently there cannot exist any p^{th} power nonresidue covering set for (N_n). Thus, for a fixed p, the best that we might hope to have is some small finite set $\mathscr{T}$ of primes such that for some $q \in \mathscr{T}$, we have (9) unless $n = 0$. However, it is easy to see that such a $\mathscr{T}$ cannot exist. For since $\mathscr{T}$ is finite, there must exist some integer h such that $p^h \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ for all $q \in \mathscr{T}$. In this case $N_{ht} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ for any integer t and all $q \in \mathscr{T}$. It follows that (9) cannot be true whenever $h \mid n$. We should instead search for a small set $\mathscr{T}$ and a large integer k such that

$$N_n^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q} \text{ for some } q \in \mathscr{T} \text{ when } k \nmid n. \tag{10}$$

As a simple example, we remind the reader that when $p = 3$, we can put $\mathscr{T} = \{7\}$ and $k = 6$.

To realize this objective, we first consider any prime q and let g be a primitive root of q. If we define $i_q = \text{ind}_g p$, $\sigma_q = \gcd(i_q, q-1)$ and $\omega_q = (q-1)/\sigma_q$, then ω_q is the order of p modulo q. If $q \nmid N_n$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and (9) is not true, then

$$N_n^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1, t, t^2, \ldots, t^{j-1} \pmod{q},$$

where $t \equiv g^p \pmod{q}$ and $j = (q-1)/p$. Thus, since $N_n = 2p^n - 1$, we get

$$p^n \equiv \frac{q+1}{2}(1 + t^i) \pmod{q}$$

for some i such that $0 \le i \le j - 1$. Now set

$$\mathscr{R}_q = \left\{ \frac{q+1}{2}(1 + t^i) \pmod{q} : i = 0, 1, \ldots, j-1 \right\}.$$

We need

$$p^n \equiv u \pmod{q}, \tag{11}$$

where $u \in \mathscr{R}_q$. This means that $\sigma_q \mid \text{ind}_g u$. Put

$$\mathscr{Y}_q = \{y \in \mathscr{R}_q : \sigma_q \mid \text{ind}_g y\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{W}_q = \left\{ w : w \equiv (i_q/\sigma_q)^{-1}(\text{ind}_g y)/\sigma_q \pmod{\omega_q}, y \in \mathscr{Y}_q \right\}.$$

Thus, we see by (11) that

$$N_n^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \tag{12}$$

if and only if $n \pmod{\omega_q} \in \mathscr{W}_q$.

Now suppose we have some positive integer k and a corresponding set $\mathscr{T}$ such that (10) is true. Our objective will be to find for a given positive integer $\hbar$ such that $\hbar \nmid k$, a set $\mathscr{T}' \supseteq \mathscr{T}$ of primes q ($\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$) such that (10) holds when k is replaced by k' and $k' = \operatorname{lcm}[\hbar, k]$. For example, consider the case of $N_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$, $\mathscr{T} = \{7\}$ and $k = 6$. Select $\hbar = 9$ and $q = 19$. We have $\omega_q = 18$ and

$$\mathscr{W}_{19} \equiv \{0, 8, 10, 13, 14\} \pmod{18}.$$

Since $6 \mid 18$ the only possible entry in $\mathscr{W}_{19}$ for (12) to hold is 0 for $\mathscr{T}' = \{7, 19\}$. Thus, we can guarantee (10) with k replaced by 18 and $\mathscr{T}$ replaced by $\mathscr{T}'$.

In order to implement this idea in general, we let B be some convenient (for the computational environment) bound and put

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \{q : q \text{ is prime}, q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, q < B\}$$

when $N_n = 2p^n - 1$. We will require $\hbar \mid \omega_q$, which means that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\hbar}$. We now define

$$\mathbb{Q}(l) = \{q : q \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \hbar \mid \omega_q\}.$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)$, put $k_q = \gcd(\omega_q, k)$ and

$$\mathfrak{X}_q \equiv \{w \in \mathscr{W}_q : w \equiv 0 \pmod{k_q}\} \pmod{w_q}.$$

If we have a set of primes $\mathscr{T}^* \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)$ such that

$$\bigcap_{q \in \mathscr{T}^*} \mathfrak{X}_q \equiv \{0\} \pmod{\hbar}, \tag{13}$$

then (9) holds for some prime in $\mathscr{T} \cup \mathscr{T}^*$ when $k \nmid n$ and $\hbar \nmid n$. Thus, we can replace $\mathscr{T}$ by $\mathscr{T} \cup \mathscr{T}^*$ and k by $k' = \operatorname{lcm}[k, \hbar]$.

Under the not unreasonable assumption that elements in the set $\mathfrak{X}_q \pmod{l}$ are somewhat randomly distributed, we would expect that (13) would occur for a small value of $\#\mathscr{T}^*$. For example, consider once again $N_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$. For $\mathscr{T} = \{7, 19\}$ we have $k = 18$. Suppose that we select $\hbar = 11$. For $q = 67$, we get $\omega_{67} = 22$ and $k_{67} = 2$. Thus, $\mathfrak{X}_{67} \equiv \{0, 4, 10\} \pmod{22} \equiv \{0, 4, 10\} \pmod{11}$. If $q = 397$, we get $\omega_{397} = 198$, $k_{397} = 18$, $\mathfrak{X}_{397} \equiv \{0, 108, 162\} \pmod{198} \equiv \{0, 9, 8\} \pmod{11}$. Since $\mathfrak{X}_{67} \cap \mathfrak{X}_{397} \equiv \{0\} \pmod{11}$, we can replace $\mathscr{T}$ by $\{7, 19, 67, 397\}$ and k by $11 \cdot 18 = 198$.

We now turn our attention to the problem of finding a prime r when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. It is easy to see that the technique just described can be used, but instead of $\mathscr{W}_q$, we deal with

$$\mathscr{W}_r' = \{n : (N_n/r) = 1; n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \omega_r - 1\}.$$

Also, to compute $\mathscr{W}_r'$, we can use the simple recurrence formula

$$N_{i+1} \equiv pN_i + p - 1 \pmod{r} \quad (j \geq 0),$$

where $N_0 = 1$. We use the method above to find a set of primes $\mathscr{T}$ and an integer k such that $(N_n/r) = -1$ for some $r \in \mathscr{T}$ whenever $k \nmid n$. For example, suppose $p = 17$ and $r = 5$; we get $\omega_5 = 4$ and

$$\mathscr{W}_5' \equiv \{0\} \pmod{4}.$$

Thus, if $\mathscr{T} = \{5\}$, we can use $k = 4$. If we next select $l = 3$ and put $r = 7$, the $\omega_7 = 6$ and

$$\mathscr{W}_7' \equiv \{0, 3, 5\} \pmod{6}.$$

Putting $k_7 = \gcd(\omega_7, k) = \gcd(6, 4) = 2$, we get the corresponding

$$\mathscr{X}_7 \equiv \{0\} \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, we can put $\mathscr{T} = \{5, 7\}$ and $k = 12$.

5. Computational Results

To test the effectiveness of the procedures of the previous section, we implemented them on a computer. We coded using Maple and ran the programs on a iMac with an Apple M1 chip and 16 GB of memory. After a given initial value for $\hbar$, the computer program selected values for $\hbar = t^\alpha$, where t is a prime < 100 and $\hbar < 1000$.

In the case of $N_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$, we were able to produce Table 1 when $B = 10000$. To have some indication of run time we note here that to find the starting step where $q = 7$ in the table below, the computer took 1825.20 seconds to search up to the bound $B = 10000$. However, if we stop the search after a set is found i.e., $\{0\}$ when $q = 7$, the program executes in only takes 0.82 seconds. We then continue using the methods described in Section 4; we choose a value for $\hbar$ and use the program to find q values that allow us to increase our value for k. For instance in the table below, to search all potential q (up to our bound) in the second row where $\hbar = 9$ takes 439.65 seconds. We note that as our k increases in size the search time decreases significantly as it produces fewer potential useful primes.

q	ω_q	k_q	$\mathscr{X}_q \pmod{\hbar}$	$\hbar$	k
7	6		$\{0\}$	6	$2 \cdot 3$
19	18	6	$\{0\}$	9	$2 \cdot 3^2$
61	10	2	$\{0\}$	5	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$
421	105	15	$\{0\}$	7	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$

241	120	30	$\{0\}$	8	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
379	378	126	$\{0\}$	27	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
1201	300	60	$\{0\}$	25	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$
751	750	150	$\{0\}$	125	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7$
1471	294	42	$\{0, 42\}$	49	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7$
2647	2646	378	$\{0, 14\}$	49	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2$
67	22	2	$\{0, 4, 10\}$	11	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2$
397	198	18	$\{0, 8, 9\}$	11	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11$
2731	2730	210	$\{0, 5, 10\}$	13	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11$
2887	78	6	$\{0, 2, 9\}$	13	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
103	34	2	$\{0, 12, 13\}$	17	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
409	204	12	$\{0, 1, 5, 115\}$	17	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$
1597	19	1	$\{0, 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 16, 18\}$	19	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$
2053	1026	54	$\{0, 3, 6, 9, 14, 15\}$	19	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$
139	138	6	$\{0, 2, 3, 7, 12, 15, 16, 19\}$	23	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$
691	690	30	$\{0, 4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 14, 20\}$	23	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$
4177	232	8	$\{0, 13, 19, 28\}$	29	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$
5743	522	18	$\{0, 3, 11, 21, 27\}$	29	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29$
1303	434	14	$\{0, 6, 8, 10, 13, 16, 18, 24, 29\}$	31	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29$
5581	2790	90	$\{0, 7, 11, 14, 22, 26, 30\}$	31	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31$
6661	1665	45	$\{0, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, 21, 23, 35\}$	37	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31$
7993	1998	54	$\{0, 14, 15, 18, 20, 24, 29, 30, 32, 36\}$	37	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37$
2707	2706	66	$\{0, 3, 5, 11, 15, 17, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, 35, 37\}$	41	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37$
2953	738	18	$\{0, 4, 10, 12, 14, 19, 20, 21, 25, 36, 38\}$	41	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 41$
97	48	24	$\{0\}$	16	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 41$
2689	1344	336	$\{0\}$	64	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$

					41
4051	810	270	$\{0\}$	81	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ 41
487	486	162	$\{0\}$	243	$2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ 41
1459	1458	486	$\{0\}$	729	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ 41
3613	1806	42	$\{0, 5, 9, 17, 32, 34, 35,$ $36, 37, 40, 42\}$	43	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ 41
4129	129	3	$\{0, 2, 5, 6, 12, 14, 23, 26,$ $27, 28, 29, 33, 35, 36, 38\}$	43	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ 41
6451	6450	150	$\{0, 4, 11, 14, 20, 21, 22, 24,$ $25, 30, 31, 32, 39, 41\}$	43	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
1747	1746	18	$\{0, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14,$ $20, 22, 24, 27, 33, 34, 35, 36,$ $37, 39, 40, 41, 45, 51, 58, 62,$ $69, 71, 79, 81, 82, 84, 85,$ $89, 91, 93, 96\}$	97	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 89$
4657	2328	24	$\{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12,$ $16, 26, 31, 34, 35, 53, 54, 56,$ $59, 66, 67, 70, 72, 75, 78, 79,$ $80, 81, 83, 88, 90, 91, 92, 94\}$	97	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 89$
5821	1455	15	$\{0, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 16, 17,$ $27, 28, 31, 35, 38, 39, 40, 47,$ $48, 49, 53, 55, 57, 60, 63, 68,$ $69, 71, 73, 74, 75, 81, 84, 92,$ $93, 95\}$	97	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 89$
8731	8730	90	$\{0, 5, 6, 15, 18, 19, 20, 23, 27,$ $29, 30, 34, 39, 43, 44, 45, 52,$ $54, 57, 58, 59, 62, 76, 79, 82,$ $83, 91, 92\}$	97	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 89 \cdot 97$

Table 1: $N_n(3) = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$, $\mathfrak{X}_q \equiv \{x \in \mathscr{W}_q : x \equiv 0 \pmod{k_q}\}$ $(q < 10000)$

For the sake of brevity we have not included the complete Table 1. The missing rows are for all the prime values of $\mathscr{k}$ such that $47 \leq \mathscr{k} \leq 89$ with the exception of

$\mathbb{k} = 83$, which the computer could not handle with $B = 10000$. By increasing B somewhat, we were able to deal with the case of $\mathbb{k} = 83$.

q	ω_q	k_q	$\mathfrak{X}_q \pmod{\mathbb{k}}$	$\mathbb{k}$	k
4483	1494	18	$\{0, 1, 3, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13,$ $14, 15, 18, 19, 23, 28, 29, 31,$ $32, 38, 44, 45, 46, 48, 54, 62,$ $63, 73, 74, 75, 82\}$	83	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79$
9463	9462	114	$\{0, 17, 28, 31, 32, 37, 44, 47,$ $48, 53, 55, 56, 61, 65, 72, 73,$ $79, 82\}$	83	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79$
11953	2988	36	$\{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14,$ $15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24,$ $26, 29, 33, 36, 38, 46, 47, 50,$ $53, 59, 62, 63, 67, 71, 72,$ $75, 80, 81\}$	83	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot$ $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot$ $67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83$

Table 2: $N_n(3) = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$, $\mathfrak{X}_q \equiv \{x \in \mathscr{W}_q : x \equiv 0 \pmod{k_q}\}$ q unbounded

Put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T} =\{&7, 19, 61, 67, 97, 103, 139, 241, 379, 397, 409, 421, 487, 691, 751, 853, 877, 1201,\\ &1303, 1459, 1471, 1597, 1747, 1831, 2011, 2053, 2137, 2317, 2647, 2689, 2707, 2731,\\ &2887, 2953, 3217, 3319, 3499, 3541, 3613, 4027, 4051, 4129, 4177, 4231, 4261, 4273,\\ &4483, 4513, 4603, 4657, 5077, 5581, 5689, 5743, 5821, 6133, 6361, 6373, 6451, 6661,\\ &6997, 7639, 7669, 7993, 8011, 8419, 8731, 9199, 9463, 9613, 11953\}.\end{aligned}$$

Set $\kappa = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots 97$, the product of all the distinct primes < 100. We have $\kappa = 2.3056 \times 10^{36}$. For $\mathscr{T}$ the set of all the primes in the first columns of Table 1, including those in the deleted rows, and Table 2, we see that $\#\mathscr{T} = 71$ and for some prime $q \in \mathscr{T}$

$$N_n^{(q-1)/3} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$$

unless $k \mid n$, where $k = 2^5 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot \kappa = 3.1375 \times 10^{42}$. Thus, we see that Corollary 2 is a Lucas-Lehmer test for the primality of $2 \cdot 3^n - 1$ whenever $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.

We were also able to produce tables similar to Tables 1 and 2 for $N_n = 2p^n - 1$ and $p = 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19$. In Table 3, we give the sets $\mathscr{T}$ for $p = 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19$ and the corresponding k/κ. Again, we implemented the techniques of Section 4 to find a particular q for the given p and selected $\mathbb{k}$.

p	$\mathcal{T}$	$\#\mathcal{T}$	k
5	$\{31, 101, 211, 241, 271, 521, 541, 631, 811,$ $1021, 1061, 1151, 1291, 1471, 1831, 2011,$ $2131, 2371, 2441, 2671, 2791, 3001, 3191,$ $3331, 3541, 3691, 3881, 4021, 4231, 4261,$ $4421, 4441, 4481, 4621, 4651, 4691, 4721,$ $5531, 5591, 5741, 5981, 6571, 6581, 6791,$ $7151, 7411, 7541, 7681, 8011, 8521, 8731,$ $8761, 9011, 9151, 9491, 9791, 10271,$ $12451, 15331, 17431, 24071\}$	61	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot \kappa$
7	$\{29, 43, 71, 197, 379, 449, 659, 701, 743,$ $967, 1093, 1303, 1429, 1877, 2437, 2591,$ $2633, 2689, 2969, 3011, 3389, 3613, 3739,$ $4019, 4271, 4523, 4649, 5279, 5531, 5741,$ $5783, 6133, 6217, 6637, 6763, 6833, 6959,$ $7687, 8009, 8233, 8443, 9199, 9241, 9941,$ $10739, 11621, 14323, 14939, 18691, 23087\}$	50	$2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot \kappa$
11	$\{67, 89, 199, 353, 419, 683, 727, 1123,$ $1409, 1607, 1783, 2113, 2311, 2333, 2663,$ $2707, 2729, 2861, 2971, 3037, 3191, 3257,$ $3499, 3719, 3917, 4027, 4423, 5171, 5347,$ $5413, 5479, 5501, 5743, 6217, 6359, 6491,$ $6733, 7789, 8053, 8537, 9461, 9791, 9901,$ $10439, 10957, 11353, 12211, 12497, 13267,$ $15643, 17183, 19207, 19273\}$	53	$2^5 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot \kappa$
13	$\{157, 313, 443, 547, 677, 859, 937, 1223,$ $1249, 1301, 1613, 1847, 1951, 2029, 2237,$ $2393, 2549, 2887, 3251, 3511, 3797, 4447,$ $4759, 4889, 5227, 5279, 5591, 6163, 6397,$ $6449, 8269, 9439, 9491, 10453, 10739,$ $11311, 12611, 12923, 13469, 13781, 15107,$ $15991, 16433, 19423, 22699, 23011, 31721,$ $50909, 64793\}$	49	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot \kappa$
17	$\{103, 409, 443, 647, 1667, 1871, 1973, 2347,$ $2551, 2687, 2789, 3163, 3299, 3469, 4013,$ $4591, 4999, 5101, 5407, 5849, 6053, 6359,$ $7243, 7549, 8059, 8161, 8467, 8501, 9011,$ $9181, 12037, 12547, 13159, 15131, 17579,$ $21727, 23087, 23971, 24889, 39509, 43283,$ $69497\}$	43	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot \kappa$
19	$\{191, 419, 647, 1103, 1483, 1559, 1597, 1787,$ $1901, 2053, 2129, 2243, 2357, 2699, 3079,$ $3307, 4219, 4637, 4751, 4903, 6043, 7069,$	43	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot \kappa$

	7297, 7639, 7867, 8171, 8741, 8969, 9007, 9463, 11059, 12503, 15277, 15467, 18013, 18127, 22079, 22193, 24967, 27817, 71023, 73721, 84551}		

Table 3: Table of values q for each p

When $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ we need to produce a set of primes $\mathscr{T}$ and a corresponding value k such that $(N_n/r) = -1$ for some $r \in \mathscr{T}$ as long as $k \nmid n$. Our program executed in a similar way as our search for a value of q, except that for $p = 5$ and $p = 13$, there exist solutions of

$$2p^n - 1 = x^2 \tag{14}$$

for an integer x. By a result in Cohn [2], we know that the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 - 2y^n = -1 \quad (n > 2)$$

has only the solutions $(x, y, n) = (1, 1, n)$ and $(x, y, n) = (239, 13, 4)$. Thus if (14) does hold, then $n \leq 2$ or $n = 4$. When $p = 5$, we have $2 \cdot 5 - 1 = 3^2$ and $2 \cdot 5^2 - 1 = 7^2$ and there are no other solutions of (14) for $p = 5$. If we employ $r = 3$, we get $\omega_3 = 2$ and $\mathscr{W}_3' \equiv \{0\} \pmod 2$. Thus, in this case we can begin with $\mathscr{T} = \{3\}$ and $k = 2$. If we next consider $r = 7$, we have $\omega_7 = 6$ and $\mathscr{W}_7' \equiv \{0, 1, 3\} \pmod 6$; hence $\mathscr{X}_7 \equiv \{0\} \pmod 6$. At this point we can put $\mathscr{T} = \{3, 7\}$ and $k = 6$ and we proceed as earlier in spite of the global solutions of (14). For $p = 5$ and $B = 10000$ the computer found

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T} =\{&3, 7, 19, 41, 47, 53, 61, 79, 83, 89, 101, 127, 149, 167, 173, 181, 197, 211, 223, 277,\\ &293, 367, 397, 401, 419, 439, 541, 659, 683, 691, 743, 821, 877, 971, 997, 1061, 1063,\\ &1123, 1163, 1291, 1303, 1373, 1423, 1459, 1471, 1553, 1607, 1621, 1747, 1787, 1831,\\ &1877, 2011, 2089, 2131, 2243, 2333, 2371, 2441, 2543, 2671, 2687, 2791, 2969, 3001,\\ &3011, 3083, 3319, 3359, 3631, 3701, 3739, 3881, 3917, 4013, 4219, 4357, 4451, 4463,\\ &4733, 5003, 5147, 5419, 5531, 5569, 5783, 6053, 6143, 6373, 6761, 6791, 6959, 7237,\\ &7243, 7639, 8011, 8179, 8233, 8419, 8521, 8663, 8713, 9127, 9431, 9521, 9871\}\end{aligned}$$

such that $\#\mathscr{T} = 106$ with corresponding $k = 2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\kappa$.

Where the procedure encountered some difficulty was when $p = 13$. In this case we have $2 \cdot 13 - 1 = 5^2$ and $2 \cdot 13^4 - 1 = 239^2$. If we begin with $r = 5$, we get $\omega_5 = 4$ and $\mathscr{W}_5' \equiv \{0\} \pmod 5$. We can therefore begin with $\mathscr{T} = \{5\}$ and $k = 4$. However, the program could not eliminate the entry 4 from the $\mathscr{W}_r'$ sets and the corresponding $\mathscr{X}_r$ $(= \{x \in \mathscr{W}_r' : x \equiv 0 \pmod{k_r}\})$ for $r > 5$ unless $r = 239$. In this case we have $\omega_{239} = 238 = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$. If we put $\mathscr{T}_1 = \{239, 953, 1429, 4999, 9521\}$, we see that each element r of $\mathscr{T}_1$ is such that $238 \mid \omega_r$. Also,

$$\bigcap_{r \in \mathscr{T}_1} \mathscr{X}_r \equiv \{0, 42, 46, 56, 84, 92, 96, 118, 126, 160, 174, 184, 188, 198, 210, 212, 214\} \pmod{238}.$$

We have $34 \mid \omega_r$ when $r \in \mathcal{T}_2 = \{137, 409, 1021\}$ and $17 \mid \omega_r$ when $r \in \mathcal{T}_3 = \{103, 443\}$. Even though $238 \nmid \omega_r$ for these primes, we can produce $\mathfrak{X}'_r \equiv \mathfrak{X}_r \pmod{238}$ by padding $\mathfrak{X}_r$ with additional residues as follows:

$$\mathfrak{X}'_r = \{x + 34m : x \in \mathfrak{X}_r; r \in \mathcal{T}_2; m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$$
$$\mathfrak{X}'_r = \{x + 17m : x \in \mathfrak{X}_r; r \in \mathcal{T}_3; m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 13\}.$$

We find that

$$\bigcap_{r\in\mathcal{T}_1} \mathfrak{X}_r \bigcap_{r\in\mathcal{T}_2} \mathfrak{X}'_r \bigcap_{r\in\mathcal{T}_3} \mathfrak{X}'_r \equiv \{0, 84, 118, 174\} \pmod{238}$$
$$\equiv \{0, 6\} \pmod{14}.$$

This means that if $p = 13$, we have $(N_n/r) = -1$ for some $r \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$ whenever $n \notin \{0, 6\} \pmod{14}$. For $r = 29$, we have $\omega_{29} = 14$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{14} \equiv \{0, 2, 10, 12\} \pmod{14}$. Thus, if we put $\mathcal{T} = \{5, 29\} \cup \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$, then $(N_n/r) = -1$ for some $r \in \mathcal{T}$ and $k \nmid n$, where $k = 28$. From this point on the program executed smoothly with $B = 10000$ and produced

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} = \{&5, 19, 29, 79, 89, 103, 113, 137, 163, 167, 197, 239, 251, 257, 281, 307, 311, 317,\\ &353, 379, 389, 401, 409, 443, 449, 487, 499, 659, 673, 683, 743, 821, 929, 953, 977, 1021,\\ &1033, 1061, 1063, 1249, 1291, 1373, 1423, 1429, 1453, 1459, 1471, 1567, 1621, 1723,\\ &1747, 1753, 1877, 1993, 1999, 2011, 2029, 2221, 2441, 2551, 2591, 2671, 2687, 2699,\\ &2729, 2833, 2969, 3001, 3011, 3299, 3319, 3389, 3691, 3797, 3923, 4027, 4057, 4273,\\ &4861, 4999, 5113, 5531, 5569, 5783, 5987, 6143, 6257, 6299, 6761, 6959, 7243, 7321,\\ &7477, 7481, 7591, 7681, 7753, 8179, 8233, 8443, 8467, 8537, 8893, 9001, 9103, 9199,\\ &9521, 9613, 9871\}\end{aligned}$$

with $\#\mathcal{T} = 109$ and $k = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\kappa$.

In the case of $p = 17$, there are no solutions of (14), and again with $B = 10000$, the computer found

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} = \{&5, 7, 19, 41, 79, 83, 89, 127, 167, 173, 197, 257, 271, 277, 283, 337, 367, 389, 401,\\ &409, 431, 439, 569, 641, 659, 677, 683, 733, 739, 743, 821, 997, 1061, 1069, 1123,\\ &1129, 1201, 1231, 1291, 1409, 1453, 1459, 1613, 1621, 1747, 1873, 1877, 1889, 1933,\\ &2029, 2221, 2243, 2281, 2377, 2441, 2657, 2671, 2729, 2789, 2833, 2843, 3001, 3067,\\ &3221, 3299, 3319, 3329, 3389, 3697, 3793, 3797, 3917, 4003, 4021, 4219, 4231, 4273,\\ &4289, 4441, 4691, 4733, 4817, 5101, 5113, 5147, 5531, 6163, 6661, 6689, 6791, 6959,\\ &7243, 7321, 7669, 7841, 7951, 8011, 8467, 8537, 9011, 9049, 9199, 9929\}\end{aligned}$$

such that $\#\mathcal{T} = 103$ and $k = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\kappa$.

We conclude this section with tables of all prime values of $N_n(p) = 2p^n - 1$ for $p < 20$ and n bounded as indicated. These were determined by using Corollary 1 with $P = 2$ and $Q = 1 - r$. Notice here that, as expected, we always have $2m \geq n$.

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
1	1	3	27	25	3	387	387	3	9204	9204	3
2	2	3	35	34	3	579	578	3	12312	12311	3
3	2	3	56	56	3	644	644	3	18806	18806	3
7	7	3	62	62	3	1772	1771	3	21114	21114	3
8	8	3	68	68	3	3751	3751	3	49340	49340	3
12	12	3	131	131	3	5270	5270	3			
20	18	3	222	221	3	6335	6335	3			
23	23	3	384	383	3	8544	8544	3			

Table 4: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 100000$

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
4	4	7	30	30	17	274	273	7	4204	4203	7
6	6	17	54	54	11	1332	1332	53	17736	17736	17
16	16	7	96	96	19	2766	2766	17			
24	24	11	178	177	7	3060	3060	29			

Table 5: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 5^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 60000$

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
1	1	7	14	14	7	46	46	7	5180	5180	7
2	2	7	24	24	7	80	80	7	22581	22581	7
4	4	7	29	29	7	474	474	7			
5	5	7	36	36	7	1018	1018	7			

Table 6: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 7^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 30000$

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
2	2	11	248	247	11	2900	2899	11	24746	24746	11
8	8	11	2474	2473	11	6600	6600	11			

Table 7: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 11^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 30000$

n	m	r
2	2	5
8	8	19
10	10	5
64	64	23
76	76	11

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
118	118	5	364	363	43	1607	1607	5
120	120	19	528	528	19	2091	2091	5
258	258	5	811	811	5	2572	2572	11
303	303	5	1270	1270	5	3596	3596	11
332	332	11	1362	1362	5	8190	8190	5

Table 8: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 13^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 30000$

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
2	2	5	74	74	5	386	386	5	630	630	5
30	30	5	122	121	5	476	476	7	20132	20132	7

Table 9: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 17^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 30000$

n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r	n	m	r
1	1	19	76	76	19	177	177	19	15592	15592	19
12	12	19	138	138	19	1997	1997	19	18947	18947	19
21	21	19	162	162	19	5370	5370	19			

Table 10: Prime values of $N_n = 2 \cdot 19^n - 1$ with $1 \leq n \leq 30000$

In order to provide a sense of the run time and the size of primes being tested, the following table provides this information for the largest primes found in each of the Tables 4–10.

p	n	*r.t.* seconds	bits of $N = 2p^n - 1$
3	49340	525.39	78204
5	17736	131.82	41183
7	22581	348.78	63394
11	24746	799.67	85609
13	8190	65.87	30308
17	20132	806.02	82290
19	18947	775.13	80487

Table 11: Run time and size of largest prime found for each p

6. Conclusion

We have seen that for $N = 2p^n - 1$, p an odd prime and $p < 20$, we have an L-L test for the primality of N as long as $k \nmid n$, where k is some integer which depends for its value on p and is greater than 10^{40}. Undoubtedly, the above idea can be extended to any given prime p. Also, by going further, it should be possible to increase k for an increase in B and $\#\mathcal{T}$.

We can also apply these techniques to numbers of different forms than $Ap^n - 1$. In [7] the authors considered numbers of the form

$$M_d(n) = 10^{2n+1} - d10^n - 1,$$

where $d \in \mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$. They produced an L-L test for $M_d(n)$ for $d = 2, 4, 5, 7$ [7, Section 4], but where unable to do this when $d = 1$ or 8. What is required is a prime $q \equiv 1 \pmod 5$ such that $M_d(n)^{(q-1)/5} \not\equiv 1 \pmod q$. It is a simple matter to verify that is is always the case for $q = 11$ when $d \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d \neq 1$, 8. It is also easy to see why $d = 1$ and $d = 8$ are troublesome for any q; if we put $d = 8$, we have $M_d(n) \equiv 1 \pmod q$ when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{\omega_q}$ and if we put $d = 1$, we have $M_d(n) \equiv -1 \pmod q$ when $n \equiv -1 \pmod{\omega_q}$. Here ω_q denotes the multiplicative order of 10 modulo q. In either of these cases we get $M_d(n)^{(p-1)/5} \equiv 1 \pmod q$.

Thus, for $d = 1$ or $d = 8$ we need to deal with the problem of finding a set of primes $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_5$ and corresponding k such that for some $q \in \mathcal{T}$, we must have

$$M_d(n)^{(q-1)/5} \not\equiv 1 \pmod q$$

unless $n \equiv e(d) \pmod k$, where

$$e(d) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d = 8; \\ -1, & \text{if } d = 1. \end{cases}$$

This can be managed by first letting g be a primitive root of $q \in \mathbb{Q}_5$ and computing $i_q = \text{ind}_g 10$, $\sigma_q = \gcd(i_q, q-1)$, $\omega_q = (q-1)/\sigma_q$. For $t \equiv g^5 \pmod q$, we put

$$\mathcal{R}_q = \{1 + t^i \pmod q : i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, j-1\},$$

where $j = (q-1)/5$. Now let

$$\mathcal{Y}_q = \{y : 10y^2 - dy \equiv u \pmod q, u \in \mathcal{R}_q, q \nmid u; \sigma_q \mid \text{ind}_g y\}.$$

For this definition of the set $\mathcal{Y}_q$ we can define $\mathcal{W}_q$ as before and put $\mathcal{X}_q = \{x \in \mathcal{W}_q : x \equiv e(d) \pmod{k_q}\}$. We can now use the same approach as in Section 4 above, but we must modify the right hand side of (13) to $\{e(d)\}$.

When this process was implemented on the computer, we found

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} = \{&101, 151, 211, 241, 281, 311, 401, 491, 541, 661, 761, 941, 971, 991, 1021, 1231, \\ &1361, 1481, 1721, 1741, 2131, 2591, 2791, 2861, 3191, 5591, 6101, 6151, 7591, \\ &7951, 8461, 10601, 12391, 12421, 19471, 24851, 25621, 26701, 26801, 27011, \\ &29201, 29881, 31601, 32371, 32831, 50441, 60041, 77431\}\end{aligned}$$

with $\#\mathcal{T} = 48$, and $k = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7\kappa$ for $d = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} =\{&11, 31, 61, 181, 191, 211, 281, 311, 401, 461, 571, 641, 661, 691, 751, 821, 971,\\ &1061, 1231, 1301, 1361, 1451, 1621, 1721, 1831, 1861, 2011, 2131, 2221, 2351,\\ &2371, 2441, 2671, 3011, 3691, 3701, 3881, 4021, 4231, 4241, 4261, 4451, 4651,\\ &4721, 5531, 6101, 6491, 6571, 6701, 6791, 7121, 7541, 7901, 8521, 9491, 12451,\\ &15331, 17431, 24071\}\end{aligned}$$

with $\#\mathcal{T} = 59$ and $k = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2\kappa$ for $d = 8$.

References

[1] P. Berrizbeitia and T. G. Berry, Cubic reciprocity and generalised Lucas-Lehmer tests for primality of $A3^n \pm 1$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 1923-1925.

[2] J. H. E. Cohn, Perfect Pell powers, *Glasgow Math. J.* **38** (1996), 19-20.

[3] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1989.

[4] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[5] É. Lucas, Nouveaux théorèmes d'Arithmétique supérieure, *Comptes Rendus Acad. des Sciences, Paris* **83** (1876), 1286-1288.

[6] É. Lucas, Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques, *Amer. J. of Math.* **1** (1878), 184-240, 289-321.

[7] E. L. Roettger and H. C. Williams, Lucas-Lehmer tests for certain prime curios, *Integers* **21** (2021), #A109.

[8] E. L. Roettger and H. C. Williams and R. K. Guy, Some primality tests that eluded Lucas, *Des. Codes and Cryptog.* **77** (2015), 515-539.

[9] Andreas Stein and H. C. Williams, Explicit primality criteria for $(p-1)p^n - 1$, *Math. Comp.* **69** (2000), 1721-1734.

[10] H. C. Williams, The primality of $N = 2A3^n - 1$, *Can. Math. Bull* **15** (1972), 585-589.

[11] H. C. Williams, On numbers analogous to Carmichael numbers, *Can. Math. Bull* **20** (1977), 133-143.

[12] H. C. Williams, *Édouard Lucas and Primality Testing*, Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1998.

[13] H. C. Williams and C. R. Zarnke, Some prime numbers of the forms $2A3^n + 1$ and $2A3^n - 1$, *Math. Comp.* **26** (1972), no. 120, 995-998.