

3.7.2

If p is prime, then $\{-(p-1)/2, \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}$ is a reduced residue system mod p .

To prove: (direct): We will establish this by checking the three requirements.

1. There are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ objects from $1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, and another $\frac{p-1}{2}$ objects from $-(p-1)/2, \dots, -2, -1$.

So, there are a total of $p-1 = \phi(p)$ objects in the system.

2. Since $(i, p) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ then $(i, p) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Also, $(-1, p) = 1$.

So by an exercise

$$(i, p) = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{(p-1)}{2}$$

3. Since $-1 \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}$, $-2 \equiv (p-2)$, $\dots$

$$-\frac{(p-1)}{2} \equiv \frac{p+1}{2} \pmod{p}$$

The list $\{ -\frac{(p-1)}{2}, \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{(p-1)}{2} \}$ is congruent to $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ which is the canonical rrs.

Then no two members of the original system are congruent mod p .

Thus $\{ -\frac{(p-1)}{2}, \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{(p-1)}{2} \}$ is a reduced residue system.

Proved.

Group 4

Exercise 3.7.3

Fix n to be natural. If a is an integer with $(a, n) = (a-1, n) = 1$, then $1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{\phi(n)-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Proof (Direct):

Fix n in $\mathbb{N}$ and a in $\mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, n) = (a-1, n) = 1$.

So, by Theorem 3.26 (Euler's Thm.), $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

That is, $n \mid (a^{\phi(n)} - 1)$.

So $n \mid (a-1)(a^{\phi(n)-1} + \dots + a^2 + a + 1)$. But $n \nmid (a-1)$.

And $n \mid a^{\phi(n)-1} + \dots + a^2 + a + 1$.

Thus $1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{\phi(n)-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.



Exercise 3.8.1

Group 3

Let $(ax^2+bx+c \equiv 0 \pmod{2})$ for a, b, c in $\mathbb{Z}$ and

a is odd. For any $ax^2+bx+c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, it

can reduce to $a'x^2+b'x+c' \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. The

four possible congruences that $ax^2+bx+c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

can reduce to are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \quad x^2+x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 0^2+0+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 1^2+1+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \quad x^2+x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 0^2+0+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 1^2+1+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{array}} \right\} \text{no solutions}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{2} \quad x^2+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 0^2+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 1^2+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{array} \rightarrow x=1 \text{ is a solution}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{3} \quad x^2+x \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 0^2+0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \rightarrow x=0 \\ \quad 1^2+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \rightarrow x=1 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{3} \quad x^2+x \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 0^2+0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \rightarrow x=0 \\ \quad 1^2+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \rightarrow x=1 \end{array}} \right\} \text{solutions}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{4} \quad x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \quad 0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \rightarrow x=0 \text{ is a solution} \\ \quad 1^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{array}$$

3.8.2

Proof: (direct) Fix d and p so that d is a g. res. mod p .

Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ with y and $p-y$ removed
where $y^2 \equiv d \pmod{p}$.

Fix c in S w/o $y, p-y$.

Consider $cX \equiv d \pmod{p}$.

Since $(c, p) = 1$ this equation has a soln, call it c' .

Note $c' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ [else $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and d is a g. res. $\star$]

Just suppose $c' \equiv y \pmod{p}$

So $cy \equiv d \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$, and we know $(y, p) = 1$.

We can cancel giving $c \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\star$.

So it must be that $c' \not\equiv y \pmod{p}$.

Just suppose $c' \equiv p-y \pmod{p}$.

We know $p-y \equiv -y \pmod{p}$.

So $c(-y) \equiv d \equiv (-y)^2 \pmod{p}$, and $(-y, p) = 1$.

So cancelling we have $c \equiv -y \equiv p-y \pmod{p}$ $\star$.

Just suppose $c \equiv c' \pmod{p}$.

Then $c^2 \equiv d \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$.

So $c^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $(c+y)(c-y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Since p is prime, $p \mid (c+y)$ or $p \mid (c-y)$.

If $p \mid (c+y)$, then ~~$c \equiv -y$~~ $c \equiv -y \equiv p-y \pmod{p}$ $\neq$.

If $p \mid (c-y)$, then $c \equiv y \pmod{p}$ $\neq$.

So it must be that $c \not\equiv c' \pmod{p}$.

Thus for any c in S w/o y , $p-y$, there is a c' in S w/o y , $p-y$ such that $c \not\equiv c' \pmod{p}$ and $cc' \equiv d \pmod{p}$.