

Section 1.5: The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic and consequences

Proposition 1.18 Let a be a natural and $n > 1$. Using the FTA there is a unique string of primes $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$ and naturals k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r with

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}.$$

A natural number d is a divisor of n if and only if d can be expressed in the form

$$d = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \dots p_r^{l_r}$$

where $0 \leq l_1 \leq k_1$; $0 \leq l_2 \leq k_2$; \dots ; $0 \leq l_r \leq k_r$.

NB. This theorem characterizes all divisors of n in terms of the prime factorization of n .

Theorem 1.19 Let a and b be natural numbers with $a > 1$ and $b > 1$. Using the FTA, write $a = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}$ and $b = q_1^{l_1} q_2^{l_2} \dots q_s^{l_s}$. Let $P_1 < P_2 < \dots < P_t$ denote the primes $p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_s$ combined [without repetition] and listed in increasing order. With this we can rewrite $a = P_1^{k_1} P_2^{k_2} \dots P_t^{k_t}$ and $b = P_1^{l_1} P_2^{l_2} \dots P_t^{l_t}$ where some of the exponents listed may be zero.

With the notation as above $(a, b) = P_1^{m_1} P_2^{m_2} \dots P_t^{m_t}$ where each $m_i = \min(k_i, l_i)$.

NB. The first part of the statement of the theorem is meant to ‘fill out’ the prime factorizations for a and b with trivial factors of 1 [ie primes to the power 0] so that we can combine associated exponents in the conclusion of the theorem. This theorem tells us how to compute the gcd of a and b using their prime factorizations.

Why are we not concerned with $a = 1$ or $b = 1$ [or negative integers for that matter]?

Definition Let $n > 1$. We say n is square-free if the only perfect square dividing n is 1.