

#A6

POWERED NUMBERS IN SHORT INTERVALS

Tsz Ho Chan Department of Mathematics, Kennesaw State University, Marietta, Georgia tchan4@kennesaw.edu

Received: 8/3/23, Accepted: 4/4/24, Published: 5/27/24

Abstract

Generalizing the powerful numbers, Barry Mazur introduced the concept of powered numbers. In this note, we study powered numbers over short intervals.

1. Introduction and Main Results

A number *n* is squarefull or powerful if its prime factorization $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ satisfies $a_i \ge 2$ for all $1 \le i \le r$. Similarly, *n* is *k*-full if $a_i \ge k$ for all $1 \le i \le r$. In contrast, *n* is squarefree if $a_i = 1$ for all *i*. For example, $72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ is squarefull, $243 = 3^5$ is 5-full, and $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ is squarefree. Let $Q_k(x)$ denote the number of positive *k*-full numbers up to *x*. It is known that

$$Q_k(x) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{m=k+1}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{p^{m/h}} \right) x^{1/k} + O(x^{1/(k+1)}),$$

where the product is over all primes (see [4] for example). Recently, the author [2] considered powerful numbers in short intervals (x, x + y] and proved that

$$Q_2(x+y) - Q_2(x) \ll \frac{y}{\log(y+1)} \quad \text{uniformly for} \quad 1 \le y \le x, \tag{1}$$

which slightly improves upon a result of De Koninck, Luca and Shparlinski [3].

Let us recall the famous *abc*-conjecture: For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that, for any integers a, b, c with a + b = c and gcd(a, b) = 1, the bound

$$\max\{|a|, |b|, |c|\} \le C_{\epsilon} \kappa (abc)^{1+\epsilon}$$

holds with $\kappa(n) := \prod_{p|n} p$, the squarefree kernel of n. Conditional on the *abc* conjecture, the author presented at the INTEGERS conference 2023 that,

$$Q_2(x+y) - Q_2(x) \ll \frac{y}{\exp(\log 2 \cdot (\log y)^{0.09})},$$
(2)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.11352677

based on a very recent breakthrough result of Kelley and Meka [5] on the density of integer sequence without 3-term arithmetic progressions.

Generalizing and smoothing the k-full numbers, Mazur [6] proposed the study of *powered numbers*. Let $l \ge 1$ be a real number (not necessarily an integer). A positive integer n is an *l-powered number* if

$$\kappa(n) \le n^{1/l}.$$

Clearly, every number is 1-powered, and every k-full number is also a k-powered number. For $0 < \theta < 1$, define

$$S_{\theta}(x) := \#\{n \le x : \kappa(n) \le n^{\theta}\}$$

By an elementary argument, one has $x^{\theta} \ll S_{\theta}(x) \ll_{\epsilon} x^{\theta+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Recently, Brüdern and Robert [1] did a finer study on $S_{\theta}(x)$ and proved that

$$S_{\theta}(x) = (1+o(1)) x^{\theta} \cdot F((1-\theta)\log x) \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{1-\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x \cdot \log\log x}}$$
(3)

where

$$F(v) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{1}{\prod_{p \mid m} (p+1)} \min\left(1, \frac{e^v}{m}\right) \text{ and } \log F(v) = (1+o(1)) \sqrt{\frac{8v}{\log v}}.$$

Thus, it is natural to ask if one can say something about l-powered numbers in short intervals.

Question 1. Given l > 1, find a uniform upper bound for

$$S_{1/l}(x+y) - S_{1/l}(x)$$

for all $1 \leq y \leq x$ that is independent of x.

For any integer n with prime factorization $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$, let

$$q(n) := \prod_{i \text{ with } a_i=1} p_i$$
 be its "squarefree" part,

and $P(n) := \max p_i$ be its largest prime factor. For example, $q(120) = 3 \cdot 5 = 15$, and P(120) = 5. When P(q(n)) is small, one has the following general observation.

Proposition 1. For $1 \le y \le x$, we have

$$\#\{x < n \le x + y : P(q(n)) \le \log y \log \log y\} \ll \frac{y \log \log(y+2)}{\log(y+2)}.$$

Hence, to obtain non-trivial upper bounds for Question 1, we need a better understanding of those *l*-powered numbers in (x, x + y] with large prime factors in their "squarefree" parts. Although we lack such knowledge at the moment, one can prove the following conditional result.

Theorem 1. Let l > 3/2. Under the abc-conjecture, there exists some constant $c_l > 0$ such that

$$S_{1/l}(x+y) - S_{1/l}(x) \ll_l \frac{y}{\exp((c_l \log y)^{0.09})}$$

for all $1 \leq y \leq x$.

Theorem 1 goes beyond squarefull or 2-powered numbers and shows that few such *l*-powered numbers exist in short intervals when l > 3/2. It also corrects an inaccuracy in (2) as the implicit constant may not be exactly log 2 when l = 2. Since *l*-powered numbers behave like *l*-full numbers, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Given l > 1 and any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$S_{1/l}(x+y) - S_{1/l}(x) \ll_{\epsilon,l} y^{1/l+\epsilon}$$

for all $1 \leq y \leq x$.

Even stronger, we suspect the following to be true.

Conjecture 2. Given l > 1,

$$S_{1/l}(x+y) \le S_{1/l}(x) + S_{1/l}(y)$$

for all sufficiently large x and y (in terms of l).

In another direction, one can study short-interval behavior when l is very close to 1. We have the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $\omega(n)$ be any increasing function with $\omega(n) \to \infty$ and $\frac{n}{\omega(n)} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let

$$S_{\omega}(x) := \# \Big\{ n \le x : \kappa(n) \le n^{1 - \frac{1}{4\omega(\log n / \log \log n)}} \Big\}.$$

Then, for sufficiently large integer y, there exist infinitely many integers x such that $S_{\omega}(x+y) - S_{\omega}(x) = y$.

This shows that it is impossible to obtain any o(y)-bound for integers $n \in (x, x+y]$ satisfying $\kappa(n) \leq n^{1-o(1)}$ with any slow decaying function o(1).

Throughout the paper, p and p_i stand for prime numbers. The symbols $f(x) = O(g(x)), f(x) \ll g(x), \text{ and } g(x) \gg f(x)$ are equivalent to $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant C > 0. Also, $f(x) = O_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r}(g(x))$ and $f(x) \ll_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r} g(x)$ mean that the implicit constant may depend on $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r$. Furthermore, f(x) = o(g(x)) means $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/g(x) = 0.$

2. Proof of Proposition 1

Proof. We may assume that y is sufficiently large as the theorem is clearly true for bounded y by picking a suitably large implicit constant. Note that any positive integer n can be factored uniquely as $n = a_n b_n$ where a_n is squarefree and b_n is squarefull with $gcd(a_n, b_n) = 1$. By the Prime Number Theorem, the number of primes up to $\log y \log \log y$ is at most 1.001 $\log y$ for y large enough. Since $P(q(n)) \leq \log y \log \log y$, the number of squarefree numbers a_n is at most

$$2^{1.001\log y} = y^{1.001\log 2} \le y^{0.7}.$$
(4)

Now, we are going to split n's according to the size of a_n . For $a_n > y^{0.9}$, we have $x/a_n < b_n \le x/a_n + y/a_n < x/a_n + y^{0.1}$. Hence, there are at most $y^{0.1} + 1$ choices for b_n , and there are at most

$$\sum_{a_n}^{*} (y^{0.1} + 1) \ll y^{0.8} \tag{5}$$

such n's in (x, x + y] by (4). Here and below, Σ^* denotes a sum over squarefree numbers with prime factors at most $\log y \log \log y$.

For $a_n \leq y^{0.9}$, the number of such n's is at most

$$\sum_{a \le y^{0.9}}^{*} Q_2\left(\frac{x+y}{a}\right) - Q_2\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \ll \sum_{a \le y^{0.9}}^{*} \frac{y/a}{\log(y/a)} \ll \frac{y}{\log y} \sum_{a \le y^{0.9}}^{*} \frac{1}{a}$$
$$\ll \frac{y}{\log y} \prod_{p \le \log y \log \log y} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$$
$$\le \frac{y}{\log y} \exp\left(\sum_{p \le \log y \log \log y} \frac{1}{p}\right) \ll \frac{y \log \log y}{\log y} \quad (6)$$

by (1), the inequality $e^x \ge 1 + x$, and Merten's estimate $\sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + M + O(\frac{1}{\log x})$ for some absolute constant M. Then Proposition 1 follows from (5) and (6).

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We need a recent groundbreaking result of Kelley and Meka [5]: Let $N \ge 2$ and $A \subset \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ be a set with no non-trivial three-term arithmetic progressions, i.e., solutions to x + y = 2z with $x \ne y$. Then

$$|A| \ll \frac{N}{2^{(\log N)^{0.09}}}.$$
(7)

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix l > 3/2 and set $\epsilon = \frac{l}{3} - \frac{1}{2} > 0$. First, we suppose that $y \leq x^{\epsilon/l}$. We claim that there is no non-trivial three term arithmetic progression among the *l*-powered numbers in the interval (x, x + y] under the *abc*-conjecture. Suppose the contrary. Then we have three *l*-powered numbers in arithmetic progression $x < a_1b_1 < a_2b_2 < a_3b_3 \leq x + y$ with a_1, a_2, a_3 squarefree, b_1, b_2, b_3 squarefull and $gcd(a_i, b_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Note that, by definition of *l*-powered number,

$$a_i \kappa(b_i) = \kappa(a_i b_i) \le (a_i b_i)^{1/l} \text{ or } a_i \le \frac{b_i^{1/(l-1)}}{\kappa(b_i)^{l/(l-1)}} \text{ or } a_i b_i \le \left(\frac{b_i}{\kappa(b_i)}\right)^{l/(l-1)}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{b_i}{\kappa(b_i)} \ge x^{1-1/l}.\tag{8}$$

Say,

$$a_1b_1 = a_2b_2 - d$$
 and $a_3b_3 = a_2b_2 + d$

for some positive integer d with $2d \leq y.$ Multiplying the above two equations, we get

$$a_1b_1a_3b_3 + d^2 = a_2^2b_2^2.$$

Say $D^2 = \text{gcd}(a_2^2 b_2^2, d^2)$ as the numbers are perfect squares. Then, the three integers $\frac{a_1 a_3 b_1 b_3}{D^2}$, $\frac{d^2}{D^2}$, and $\frac{a_2^2 b_2^2}{D^2}$ are pairwise relatively prime, and we have the equation

$$\frac{a_1 a_3 b_1 b_3}{D^2} + \frac{d^2}{D^2} = \frac{a_2^2 b_2^2}{D^2}$$

Now, by the abc-conjecture and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^2}{D^2} &\leq \frac{a_2^2 b_2^2}{D^2} \ll_l \kappa \Big(\frac{a_1 a_3 b_1 b_3}{D^2} \frac{d^2}{D^2} \frac{a_2^2 b_2^2}{D^2} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \\ &\ll_l \Big(a_1 a_2 a_3 \kappa(b_1) \kappa(b_2) \kappa(b_3) \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \kappa \Big(\frac{d}{D} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \\ &\ll_l \Big(x^3 \frac{\kappa(b_1)}{b_1} \frac{\kappa(b_2)}{b_2} \frac{\kappa(b_3)}{b_3} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \Big(\frac{d}{D} \Big)^{1+\epsilon} \ll x^{3(1+\epsilon)/l} \frac{y^{1+\epsilon}}{D^{1+\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \le D \le d \le y \le x^{\epsilon/l}$, the above implies

$$x^{2-3(1+\epsilon)/l} \ll_l D^{1-\epsilon} y^{1+\epsilon} \ll y^2 \le x^{2\epsilon/l},$$

which is a contradiction when $x \ge y > C_l$ for some sufficiently large constant C_l since

$$2 - \frac{3(1+\epsilon)}{l} = 2 - \frac{3(1+\frac{l}{3}-\frac{1}{2})}{l} = 1 - \frac{3}{2l} > \frac{2}{3}\left(1-\frac{3}{2l}\right) = \frac{2}{l}\left(\frac{l}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2\epsilon}{l}.$$

Clearly, the theorem is true for $1 \le y \le C_l$ by picking an appropriate implicit constant. So, we may assume $y > C_l$. Since arithmetic progressions are invariant under translation, we may shift all *l*-powered numbers in (x, x + y] to numbers in (0, y] without 3-term arithmetic progressions. By (7), we have

$$Q_2(x+y) - Q_2(x) \ll \frac{y}{\exp(\log 2 \cdot (\log y)^{0.09})}$$

which gives the theorem.

Now, suppose $y > x^{\epsilon/l}$. Then, the interval (x, x + y] is a subset of the union of subintervals of length $x^{\epsilon/l}$:

$$(x, x + x^{\epsilon/l}] \cup (x + x^{\epsilon/l}, x + 2x^{\epsilon/l}] \cup \dots \cup \left(x + \left\lfloor \frac{y}{x^{\epsilon/l}} \right\rfloor x^{\epsilon/l}, x + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{y}{x^{\epsilon/l}} \right\rfloor + 1\right) x^{\epsilon/l}\right].$$

Over each subinterval $(x + jx^{\epsilon/l}, x + (j+1)x^{\epsilon/l}]$, we have the bound

$$Q_2(x + (j+1)x^{\epsilon/l}) - Q_2(x + jx^{\epsilon/l}) \ll \frac{x^{\epsilon/l}}{\exp(\log 2(\log x^{\epsilon/l})^{0.09})}$$

Summing over $\lfloor \frac{y}{x^{\epsilon/l}} \rfloor + 1$ of these intervals, we have

$$Q_{2}(x+y) - Q_{2}(x) \ll \frac{y}{x^{\epsilon/l}} \cdot \frac{x^{\epsilon/l}}{\exp(\log 2(\log x^{\epsilon/l})^{0.09})} \\ \ll \frac{y}{\exp(c_{l}(\log x)^{0.09})} \le \frac{y}{\exp(c_{l}(\log y)^{0.09})}$$

with $c_l = \log 2 \cdot (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2l})^{0.09}$. This gives the theorem as well.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Let $2 = p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ be the first k prime numbers with $\omega(k)$ large. Let l be an integer such that $\frac{2k}{3\omega(k)} \leq l \leq \frac{k}{\omega(k)+1}$. This is possible as $k/\omega(k) \to \infty$. Consider the moduli

$$m_j := \prod_{i=1}^l p_{jl+i}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le j \le u := \left\lfloor \frac{k}{l} - 1 \right\rfloor,$$

and the system of congruence equations

$$n+j \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le j \le u.$$
 (9)

The above congruence system has a solution $n \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_u}$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. As $k \to \infty$, the Prime Number Theorem gives

$$p_k = (1 + o(1))k \log k$$
, and $p_{k-l} = (1 + o(1))(k - l) \log(k - l) = (1 + o(1))k \log k$

INTEGERS: 24A (2024)

since $l \leq k/\omega(k)$ and $\omega(k) \to \infty$. Also,

$$e^{2\sum_{i\leq k-l}\log p_i}\leq m_0m_1\cdots m_{\lfloor k/l-1\rfloor}\leq e^{2\sum_{i\leq k}\log p_i}.$$

Hence, there is an integer $n_0 \in (m_0 m_1 \cdots m_u, 2m_0 m_1 \cdots m_u]$ satisfying (9). Then

$$n_0 + i$$
 with $\left\lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \right\rfloor \le i \le u$

give $u - \lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \rfloor + 1$ consecutive integers of size $e^{(2+o(1))k \log k}$. Note that $k = (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log(n_0+i)}{\log\log(n_0+i)}$ and

$$m_{\lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \rfloor} \ge (p_{\lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \rfloor l})^{2l} \ge (0.6k \log 0.6k)^{2l} \ge e^{1.1 \frac{k}{\omega(k)} \log k} \ge (n_0 + i)^{\frac{1}{2\omega(k)}}$$

by the Prime Number Theorem, $\frac{2k}{3\omega(k)} \leq l$, and ω being increasing. Thus, each $n_0 + i$ has squarefree kernel

$$\kappa(n_0+i) \le \frac{n_0+i}{\sqrt{m_{\lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \rfloor}}} \le (n_0+i)^{1-\frac{1}{4\omega(\log(n_0+i)/\log\log(n_0+i))}}.$$

This gives the theorem by setting $y = u - \lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \rfloor + 1$ and $x = n_0 + \lfloor \frac{2u}{3} \rfloor$. Since $k/\omega(k)$, y, and x grow as $k \to \infty$, we have longer and longer stretches of consecutive integers satisfying $\kappa(n) \leq n^{1-1/(4\omega(\log n/\log \log n)))}$. This gives infinitely many x's satisfying the condition of Theorem 2 for any given fixed large integer y.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee and the managing editor, Bruce Landman, for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] J. Brüdern and O. Robert, On the distribution of powered numbers, *preprint*, arXiv:2306.05981.
- [2] T.H. Chan, A note on powerful numbers in short intervals, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 108 (2023), 99-106.
- [3] J.M. De Koninck, F. Luca, and I.E. Shparlinski, Powerful numbers in short intervals. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 71 (2005), no. 1, 11-16.
- [4] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, Über die Anzahl der Abelschen Gruppen gegebener Ordnung und über ein verwandtes zahlentheoretisches Problem, Acta Univ. Szeged, 7 (1934-1935), 95-102.
- [5] Z. Kelley and R. Meka, Strong bounds for 3-progressions, preprint, arXiv:2302.05537.
- [6] B. Mazur. Questions about powers of numbers. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (2000), no. 2, 195-202.