



**THE UNION OF TWO ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS WITH
DIFFERENT COMMON DIFFERENCES IS NOT AN MSTD SET**

Manish Kumar

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee,
India*

`mkumar4@ma.iitr.ac.in`

Mohan

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee,
India*

`mohan98math@gmail.com`

Ram Krishna Pandey¹

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee,
India*

`ram.pandey@ma.iitr.ac.in`

Received: 5/22/25, Revised: 12/3/25, Accepted: 4/17/26, Published: 5/1/26

Abstract

Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers. The *sumset* and the *difference set* of A are defined as $A + A = \{s + t : s, t \in A\}$ and $A - A = \{s - t : s, t \in A\}$, respectively. A set A is said to be *sum-dominant* or a *more-sum-than-difference* (MSTD) set, if $|A + A| > |A - A|$. Let $A = X_1 \cup X_2$ with $X_1 = \{a + id_1 : 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ and $X_2 = \{b + jd_2 : 0 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$, where a, b, i, j, d_1, d_2, n , and m are integers. Recently, Chu (J. Integer Seq., Art. 19.3.7, 2019) made a conjecture that the set $A = X_1 \cup X_2$ is not an MSTD set. Soon after, Chu (Integers, #A87, 2020) confirmed the conjecture for the case $d_1 = d_2$. In this article, we prove the conjecture for the case $d_1 \neq d_2$ and $\max X_1 < \min X_2$. We also confirm Chu's conjecture in the case $\max X_1 > \min X_2$ with the exception of two unsolved cases.

1. Notation

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , and \mathbb{R} be the set of positive integers, integers, rational numbers, and real numbers, respectively. Let $|A|$ denote the cardinality of the set A . For real

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19949769

¹The corresponding author

numbers α and β , and a nonempty set of real numbers A , define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha * A &:= \{\alpha a : a \in A\}, \\ A + \beta &:= \{a + \beta : a \in A\}.\end{aligned}$$

The greatest common divisor of the integers x_1, \dots, x_k is denoted by $d(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. For $k \geq 2$, let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ be a finite set of integers with $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$,

$$d(A - a_0) = d(a_1 - a_0, a_2 - a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} - a_0),$$

and

$$A^{(N)} = \left\{ a'_i = \frac{a_i - a_0}{d(A - a_0)} : a_i \in A \right\}.$$

The set $A^{(N)}$ is called the *normal form* of A . Here $d(A^{(N)}) = 1$ and $\min(A^{(N)}) = 0$. In fact, every finite set of integers can be converted into a normal form. We also use the following notation in this article.

For a set A of real numbers, we use A^+ for the set $\{a \in A : a > 0\}$. For integers m and n with $m \leq n$, we use $[m, n]$ for the set $\{m, m + 1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, $I_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\} = [0, n - 1]$. A $(k + 1)$ -term arithmetic progression of real numbers whose first term is a and the common difference is d is denoted by $J(a, k, d)$, i.e., $J(a, k, d) = \{a + id : 0 \leq i \leq k\}$.

2. Introduction

Let A be a nonempty finite set of real numbers. Then the *sumset* and the *difference set* of A are defined as

$$A + A := \{a + b : a, b \in A\}$$

and

$$A - A := \{a - b : a, b \in A\},$$

respectively. A set A is said to be

- *sum-dominant* or *more sum than difference* (MSTD, in short), if $|A + A| > |A - A|$,
- *balanced*, if $|A + A| = |A - A|$,
- *difference-dominated*, if $|A + A| < |A - A|$.

In the group of integers, the fact that the addition of two elements a and b is commutative, while the subtraction may not be unless $a = b$, suggests that sum-dominant sets are rare. Roesler [14] proved that for integers n and k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, the average value of the quotient $|A - A|/|A + A|$, where A is a subset of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$

with k elements, lies in the interval $[1, 2)$. However, a surprising result of Martin and O’Byrant [10] states that the proportion of MSTD subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ is bounded below by a positive constant (about $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Later, Zhao improved the lower bound [17] to about $4 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

The existence of MSTD sets was an intriguing and unanswered question that dates back to 1960. It is believed that Conway gave the first example; $A = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 14\}$ of an MSTD set in 1969, but other initial examples were found by Marica [9] and Freiman and Pigarev [6]. Since then, MSTD sets have been an active research area (see [4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16] and references therein).

Recently, Chu [1, 2, 3, 5] gave some interesting families of sets that are not MSTD. In [1], he proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. The union of two arithmetic progressions of integers is not a sum-dominant set.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_1, d_2, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A = J(x_1, n, d_1) \cup J(x_2, m, d_2)$. Then Chu’s conjecture says that A is not a sum-dominant set. Chu [3] settled the conjecture for the case $d_1 = d_2$. Hence, in this article, we assume that $d_1 \neq d_2$.

Let $A = X_1 \cup X_2$ be the union of two arithmetic progressions. It is easy to see that

$$((\alpha * A) + \beta) + ((\alpha * A) + \beta) = \alpha * (A + A) + 2\beta$$

and

$$((\alpha * A) + \beta) - ((\alpha * A) + \beta) = \alpha * (A - A)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $|A + A|$ and $|A - A|$ are translation and dilation invariants. So, it is enough to solve the conjecture for the sets A of real numbers with $\min X_1 = 0$ and $d(X_1) = 1$. In particular, $A = X_1 \cup X_2$, where $X_1 = I_n$ and $X_2 = J(x, m, d)$ with $x, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In Section 3, we prove Conjecture 1 when $\max X_1 < \min X_2$. In Section 4, we confirm the conjecture when $\max X_1 > \min X_2$ except for two unsolved cases mentioned in the next paragraph.

If

$$\frac{x_2 - x_1}{d_1} = s_1 + \frac{p_1}{q_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d_2}{d_1} = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2},$$

where $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $X_1 \cup X_2$ is not an MSTD set except in the following two unsolved cases.

- (i) $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = l > 1$ and $0 < d_2 < (n - 1)d_1$,
- (ii) $q_1 = 2$, $\gcd(2, q_2) = 1$, and $0 < d_2 < (n - 1)d_1$.

3. When $\max X_1 < \min X_2$

Theorem 1. *The union of two finite arithmetic progressions X_1 and X_2 with $\max X_1 < \min X_2$ is not an MSTD set.*

Let $A = X_1 \cup X_2$. Without loss of generality, we can take $X_1 = I_n$ and $X_2 = J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$. Then

$$A = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, m]\}.$$

The cases $d = 1$, $1 \leq n \leq 2$, or $0 \leq m \leq 1$ were already proved in [1, 3]. So, we consider $n \geq 3$, $d > 1$, and $m \geq 2$. The proof of Theorem 1 is divided into four Subsections 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 with the help of a series of lemmas.

Since $A = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, m]\}$, we have

$$A + A \subseteq [0, 2n - 2] \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id + I_n) \right) \cup \{2x + id : i \in [0, 2m]\} \tag{1}$$

and

$$[1, n - 1] \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \subseteq (A - A)^+. \tag{2}$$

If $x \in [n + 1, 2n - 2]$, then

$$A + A \subseteq [0, x + n - 1] \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m (x + id + I_n) \right) \cup \{2x + id : i \in [0, 2m]\} \tag{3}$$

and

$$[1, x] \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m (x + id - I_n) \subseteq (A - A)^+. \tag{4}$$

3.1. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x, d \in \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $d \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \in [n + 1, 2n - 2]$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. We prove this in two cases.

Case 1. $d \leq n - 1$. We have $A + A \subseteq [0, 2x + 2md]$ and so $|A + A| \leq 2x + 2md + 1$. Since $x + (i + 1)d - (n - 1) \leq x + id$ for $i \in [0, m - 1]$, we have

$$(x + id - I_n) \cup (x + (i + 1)d - I_n) = x + id + [-(n - 1), d]$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) = [x - (n - 1), x + md].$$

Therefore, using Relation (2), we have

$$(A - A)^+ = [1, n - 1] \cup [x - (n - 1), x + md] = [1, x + md]$$

and

$$|A - A| = 2x + 2md + 1 \geq |A + A|.$$

Case 2. $d \geq n$. Since $x + (i + 1)d - (n - 1) > x + id$ for $i \in [0, m - 1]$, we have

$$(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, and $[1, x] \cap (x + id - I_n) = \emptyset$ for $i \in [1, m]$. Now, using Relations (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (2x + 2mn + 1) - (x + n + mn + 2m + 1) \\ &= (x - n) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $d \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \geq 2n - 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. We prove this in two cases.

Case 1. $2 \leq d \leq n - 1$. Since $x + (i + 1)d - (n - 1) \leq x + id$ for $i \in [0, m - 1]$, we have

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id + I_n) = [x, x + md + n - 1]$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) = [x - (n - 1), x + md].$$

Also, $x \geq 2n - 1$ implies that $[1, n - 1] \cap [x - (n - 1), x + md] = \emptyset$. Now, using Relation (2), we get

$$[1, n - 1] \cup [x - (n - 1), x + md] \subseteq (A - A)^+,$$

where the sets on the left hand side are disjoint. Thus $|A - A| \geq 4n + 2md - 1$. Next, we find an upper bound for $|A + A|$. Using Relation (1), we get

$$A + A \subseteq [0, 2n - 2] \cup [x, x + md + n - 1] \cup \{2x + id : i \in [0, 2m]\},$$

so $|A + A| \leq 3n + md + 2m$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2md - 1) - (3n + md + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(d - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $d \geq n$. Since $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $I_n \cap (x + id - I_n) = \emptyset$ for $i \in [0, m]$, using Relations (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2mn - 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

3.2. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $d \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Since $x \notin \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) = \emptyset$$

and

$$[0, 2n - 2] \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id + I_n) = \emptyset.$$

Now, by arguments similar to those used in Lemma 2, we can prove that A is not an MSTD set. □

3.3. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \notin \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 4. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ be integers. Let $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $d > 0$. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \geq n + 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. We have $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Now, the rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Case 2 of both Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. So, A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 5. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d > 0$ and $d = s + \frac{p}{q}$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \geq n + 1$. If either $m \in [2, q - 1]$ or $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$, then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. We prove this in two cases.

Case 1. $n + 1 \leq x \leq 2n - 2$. We have $[1, n - 1] \cup (x - I_n) = [1, x]$. Since $d \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq m \leq q - 1$, or $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$, we have

$$[1, x] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Claim. For $0 \leq i < j \leq m$, we have $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$.

Proof of the claim. If $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $0 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq m$ and $s_1, s_2 \in I_n$ such that $x + i_0d - s_1 = x + j_0d - s_2$. This gives

$$(j_0 - i_0)d = s_2 - s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5}$$

Now, consider the following two situations.

(i) If $m \in [2, q - 1]$, then $j_0 - i_0 < m < q$. This contradicts (5) because q is the smallest positive integer such that $qd \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) If $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$, then $(s_2 - s_1) \leq n - 1 < qd \leq (j_0 - i_0)d$, which contradicts (5). This completes the proof of the claim.

Using Relations (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (2x + 2mn + 1) - (2m + mn + x + n + 1) \\ &= (x - n) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $x \geq 2n - 1$. Since $d \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq m \leq q - 1$, or $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$, we have

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Similar to the previous case, we have $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$. Therefore, using Relations (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2mn - 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 6. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d = s + \frac{p}{q}$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers with $qd \leq n - 1$. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \geq n + 1$ and $m \geq q$. Then A is not an MSTD set.

Proof. Let $m = bq + c$, where $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq c < q$.

Claim 1. If $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) \neq \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$, then $i \equiv j \pmod{q}$.

Proof of Claim 1. Assume that $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $0 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq m$ and $s_1, s_2 \in I_n$ such that

$$x + i_0d - s_1 = x + j_0d - s_2.$$

This gives $(j_0 - i_0)d = s_2 - s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $j_0 - i_0$ is a multiple of q , i.e., $j_0 \equiv i_0 \pmod{q}$. So, for a fixed $i \in [0, c]$, the set $(x + id - I_n)$ can intersect only with

$$(x + (i + q)d - I_n), (x + (i + 2q)d - I_n), \dots, \text{ or } (x + (i + bq)d - I_n).$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

Let $A^* = I_n \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, q - 1]\}$. By Lemma 5, we have $|A^* - A^*| - |A^* + A^*| > 0$. Consider

$$A_j = A^* \cup \{x + qd, x + (q + 1)d, \dots, x + (q + j)d\}$$

for $j \in [0, m - q]$. Then $A_{m-q} = A$.

Claim 2. For $j \in [0, m - q]$, we have

$$|A_j + A_j| \leq |A^* + A^*| + (j + 1)(qd + 2). \tag{6}$$

Proof of Claim 2. For the base case, we have

$$[x, x + n - 1] \cup \{2x, 2x + d, \dots, 2x + (2q - 2)d\} \subset A^* + A^*.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x + qd + A^* &= (x + qd + I_n) \cup (x + qd + \{x + id : i \in [0, q - 1]\}) \\ &= [x + qd, x + qd + n - 1] \\ &\quad \cup \{2x + qd, 2x + (q + 1)d, \dots, 2x + (2q - 1)d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[x, x + n - 1] \subset A^* + A^*$, $[x + qd, x + qd + n - 1] \subset x + qd + A^*$, and $qd \leq n - 1$, we have

$$[x + qd, x + qd + n - 1] \setminus (A^* + A^*) \subset [x + n, x + qd + n - 1].$$

Consequently, we have

$$(x + qd + A^*) \setminus (A^* + A^*) \subset [x + n, x + qd + n - 1] \cup \{2x + (2q - 1)d\}.$$

Therefore,

$$|A_0 + A_0| \leq |A^* + A^*| + (qd + 2).$$

Assume the claim holds for $j = k - 1 < m - q$, i.e.,

$$|A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}| \leq |A^* + A^*| + k(qd + 2).$$

For $j = k$, we have

$$[x + kd, x + kd + n - 1] \cup \{2x, 2x + d, \dots, 2x + (2q + 2k - 2)d\} \subset A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x + (q + k)d + A_{k-1} &= (x + (q + k)d + I_n) \cup (x + (q + k)d \\ &\quad + \{x + id : i \in [0, q + k - 1]\}) \\ &= [x + (q + k)d, x + (q + k)d + n - 1] \\ &\quad \cup \{2x + (q + k)d, 2x + (q + k + 1)d, \dots, 2x + (2q + 2k - 1)d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [x + kd, x + kd + n - 1] &\subset A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}, \\ [x + (q + k)d, x + (q + k)d + n - 1] &\subset x + (q + k)d + A_{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $qd \leq n - 1$, we have

$$x + (q + k)d, x + (q + k)d + n - 1 \setminus (A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}) \subset [x + kd + n, x + (q + k)d + n - 1].$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x + (q + k)d + A_{k-1}) \setminus (A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}) &\subset [x + kd + n, x + (q + k)d + n - 1] \\ &\cup \{2x + (2q + 2k - 1)d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|A_k + A_k| \leq |A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}| + (qd + 2) \leq |A^* + A^*| + (k + 1)(qd + 2).$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

Claim 3. For $j \in [0, m - q]$, we have

$$|A_j - A_j| \geq |A^* - A^*| + 2(j + 1)qd. \tag{7}$$

Proof of Claim 3. For $(A^* - A^*)^+$, there are three components; however, we consider only two: $(I_n - I_n)^+ = [1, n - 1]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \{x + id : i \in [0, q - 1]\} - I_n &= [x - (n - 1), x] \cup [x + d - (n - 1), x + d] \cup \dots \\ &\cup [x + (q - 1)d - (n - 1), x + (q - 1)d]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$[x + 1, x + qd] \subset [x + qd - (n - 1), x + qd] \subset x + qd - A^*.$$

Since by Claim 1, $[x + 1, x + qd]$ is disjoint from each of the above components of $(A^* - A^*)$, we obtain

$$|A_0 - A_0| \geq |A^* - A^*| + 2qd.$$

Assume the claim holds for $j = k - 1 < m - q$, i.e.,

$$|A_{k-1} - A_{k-1}| \geq |A^* - A^*| + 2kqd.$$

For $(A_{k-1} - A_{k-1})^+$, there are three components; however, we consider only two: $(I_n - I_n)^+ = [1, n - 1]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \{x + id : i \in [0, q + k - 1]\} - I_n &= [x - (n - 1), x] \cup [x + d - (n - 1), x + d] \cup \dots \\ &\cup [x + (q + k - 1)d - (n - 1), x + (q + k - 1)d]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$[x + kd + 1, x + (q + k)d] \subset [x + (q + k)d - (n - 1), x + (q + k)d] \subset x + (q + k)d - A_{k-1}.$$

By Claim 1, $[x + kd + 1, x + (q + k)d]$ is disjoint from each of the above components of $(A_{k-1} - A_{k-1})$. So, we obtain

$$|A_k - A_k| \geq |A_{k-1} - A_{k-1}| + 2qd \geq |A^* - A^*| + 2(k + 1)qd.$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

By (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_j - A_j| - |A_j + A_j| &\geq (|A^* - A^*| + 2(j + 1)qd) - (|A^* + A^*| + (j + 1)(qd + 2)) \\ &= (|A^* - A^*| - |A^* + A^*|) + (qd - 2)(j + 1) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $j \in [0, m - q]$ when $qd \neq 1$. Let $qd = 1$.

If $x \in [n + 1, 2n - 2]$, then by Case 1 of Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A^* - A^*| - |A^* + A^*| + (1 - 2)(j + 1) &\geq (x - n) + m(n - 2) - j - 1 \\ &\geq (x - n - 1) + m(n - 2) + q - m \\ &\geq (x - n - 1) + m(n - 3) + q > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \geq 2n - 1$, then by Case 2 of Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A^* - A^*| - |A^* + A^*| + (1 - 2)(j + 1) &\geq (n - 1) + m(n - 2) - j - 1 \\ &\geq (n - 2) + m(n - 2) + q - m \\ &\geq (n - 2) + m(n - 3) + q > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

3.4. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x, d \notin \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 7. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $d > 0$ and $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$. Moreover, x and d are such that $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [0, m]$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Since $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [0, m]$, we have

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Also, $(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$. Assume that

$$(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

This gives that $d \in \mathbb{Q}$, a contradiction. Now, using Relations (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2mn - 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 8. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be such that x and d are positive. If $x + id \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then i is unique.*

Proof. Let $x + id \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exist $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(i - j)d \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives that $d \in \mathbb{Q}$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 9. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $d > 0$. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$ and $x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $j \in [1, m]$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Using arguments similar to those used in Lemma 7, we have

$$(x + i_1d - I_n) \cap (x + i_2d - I_n) = \emptyset$$

for $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m$. Since $x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $j \in [1, m]$, we have j is unique by Lemma 8. Now, consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $x + jd > 2n - 2$. We have

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

So, using Relations (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2mn - 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $x + jd \leq 2n - 2$. We have $[1, n - 1] \cup (x + jd - I_n) = [1, x + jd]$ and

$$[1, x + jd] \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Thus,

$$|A - A| \geq 2(x + jd + mn) + 1.$$

Also, $[0, 2n - 2] \cup (x + jd + I_n) = [0, x + jd + n - 1]$. Now, using Relation (1), we have

$$A + A \subseteq [0, x + jd + n - 1] \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i=0}^m (x + id + I_n) \right) \cup \{2x + id : i \in [0, 2m]\}$$

and

$$|A + A| \leq x + jd + (m + 1)n + 2m + 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (2(x + jd + mn) + 1) - (x + jd + (m + 1)n + 2m + 1) \\ &= (x + jd - n) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 10. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d = s + \frac{p}{q}$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$. Moreover, x and d are such that $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [0, m]$. If either $m \in [2, q - 1]$ or $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$, then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Since $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [0, m]$, we have

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Now, the rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Case 2 of Lemma 5. Therefore, A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 11. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d = s + \frac{p}{q}$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers. If $x + id \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [1, q - 1]$, then i is unique.*

Proof. Let $x + id \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [1, q - 1]$. If there exist $1 \leq j < i \leq q - 1$ such that $x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(i - j)\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that q divides $(i - j)$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 12. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d = s + \frac{p}{q}$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers. Let $x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $j \in [1, q - 1]$ and $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$. If either $m \in [2, q - 1]$, or $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$, then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Using arguments similar to those used in Lemma 5, we have

$$(x + i_1d - I_n) \cap (x + i_2d - I_n) = \emptyset$$

for $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m$. Also, $x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$, where $j \in [1, q - 1]$ is unique by Lemma 11. This gives

$$(x + (j + j_1q)d \pm I_n) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$$

for $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j + j_1q \leq m$. Now, consider the following cases.

Case 1. $x + jd > 2n - 2$. The proof is similar to the proof of Case 1 of Lemma 9.

Case 2. $x + jd \leq 2n - 2$. We have $[1, n - 1] \cup (x + jd - I_n) = [1, x + jd]$ and

$$[0, 2n - 2] \cup (x + jd + I_n) = [0, x + jd + n - 1].$$

(i) Let $m \in [2, q - 1]$. Since $[1, x + jd] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$,

$$[1, x + jd] \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

So, using Relation (2), we have $|A - A| \geq 2(x + jd + mn) + 1$. Now, using Relation (1), we have

$$A + A \subseteq [0, x + jd + n - 1] \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i=0}^m (x + id + I_n) \right) \cup \{2x + id : i \in [0, 2m]\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (2(x + jd + mn) + 1) - ((x + jd + n) + mn + 2m + 1) \\ &= (x + jd - n) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $m \geq q$ and $qd > n - 1$. We have

$$[1, x + jd] \cap (x + (j + j_1q)d - I_n) = \emptyset$$

for $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j + j_1q \leq m$. Now, the remaining proof is similar to the proof of (i).

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 13. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d = s + \frac{p}{q}$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers with $qd \leq n - 1$. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x > n - 1$ and $m \geq q$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. The proof of the lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 6. □

4. When $\min X_2 < \max X_1$

Theorem 2. *Let $X_1 = J(x_1, m_1, d_1)$ and $X_2 = J(x_2, m_2, d_2)$ with $\min X_2 < \max X_1$. If*

$$\frac{x_2 - x_1}{d_1} = s_1 + \frac{p_1}{q_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d_2}{d_1} = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2},$$

where $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, p_i and q_i are positive integers and $\gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $X_1 \cup X_2$ is not an MSTD set except for the following two unsolved cases:

- (i) $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = l > 1$ and $0 < d_2 < (m_1 - 1)d_1$,
- (ii) $q_1 = 2$, $\gcd(2, q_2) = 1$, and $0 < d_2 < (m_1 - 1)d_1$.

Let $A = X_1 \cup X_2$. Without loss of generality, we can take $X_1 = I_n$ and $X_2 = J(x, m, d)$ with $x < n - 1$, i.e.,

$$A = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, m]\}.$$

The cases $d = 1$, $1 \leq n \leq 2$, or $0 \leq m \leq 1$ were already proved in [1, 3]. So, we consider $n \geq 3$, $d > 1$, and $m \geq 2$. The proof of Theorem 2 is divided into Subsections 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 with the help of a series of lemmas.

4.1. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x, d \in \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 14. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $d \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \in [0, n - 1]$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. If $J(x, m, d) \subseteq I_n$, then $A = I_n$, which is a balanced set. If there exists an $i \in [1, m]$ such that $x + id > n - 1$, then by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we see that A is not an MSTD set. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

4.2. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 15. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $2 \leq d \leq n - 1$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ or $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ such that $x = s + \frac{p}{q}$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $p < q \neq 2$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and p and q are positive integers with $0 < x < n - 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Let $c \in I_n$ be such that $c < x < c + 1$. For $2 \leq d \leq n - 1$, we have

$$\{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq md + c\} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right)^+ \tag{8}$$

and

$$\{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \subseteq (x - I_n)^+.$$

We first prove that

$$\{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq md + c\} \cap \{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} = \emptyset.$$

Assume that for some $i \in [0, md + c]$ and $j \in [c + 1, n - 1]$, we have $x - c + i = j - x$. This gives that $2x \in \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

Since $x \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$. Therefore,

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset$$

and

$$|A - A| \geq 4n + 2md - 1.$$

Also, $d \leq n - 1$, so, using Relation (1), we get $|A + A| \leq 3n + md + 2m$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2md - 1) - (3n + md + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(d - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 16. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $2 \leq d \leq n - 1$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x = s + \frac{1}{2}$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $0 < x < n - 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Let $c, r \in I_n$ be such that $x = c + \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$x + rd < n - 1 < x + (r + 1)d.$$

Since $x + rd < n - 1$, we have $c + rd \in I_n$. Now, consider the following cases.

Case 1. $c \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Using Inequality (8), which is also valid in this lemma, we get

$$[1, n - 1] \cup \{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq md + c\} \subseteq (A - A)^+,$$

where the sets on the left-hand side are disjoint. Thus,

$$|A - A| \geq 2n + 2md + 2c + 1.$$

Since $d \leq n - 1$, using Relation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (2n + 2md + 2c + 1) - (3n + md + 2m) \\ &= (2c - n + 1) + m(d - 2) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $c < \frac{n-1}{2}$. Let

$$A^{(i)} = I_n \cup \{x + jd : 0 \leq j \leq i\},$$

where $i \in [0, r]$. Since $d \leq n - 1$ and $x + id < n - 1$, we have $2x + 2id \leq 2n - 3$. Therefore, using Relation (1), we get

$$A^{(i)} + A^{(i)} \subseteq [0, 2n - 2] \cup [x, x + id + n - 1].$$

(i) Let $\max(A^{(i)} - A^{(i)}) = x + id$. Since $x + id \geq n - 1 - x$, Relation (8) gives

$$[1, n - 1] \cup \{x - c + j : 0 \leq j \leq id + c\} \subseteq (A^{(i)} - A^{(i)})^+,$$

where the sets on the left-hand side are disjoint. Thus,

$$|A^{(i)} - A^{(i)}| \geq 2n + 2id + 2c + 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A^{(i)} - A^{(i)}| - |A^{(i)} + A^{(i)}| &\geq (2n + 2id + 2c + 1) - (3n + id - 1) \\ &\geq 2(c - x) + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$A^{(r)} = I_n \cup \{x + id : 0 \leq i \leq r\},$$

then we have $|A^{(r)} - A^{(r)}| \geq |A^{(r)} + A^{(r)}|$. Consider

$$A_j = A^{(r)} \cup \{x + (r + 1)d, x + (r + 2)d, \dots, x + (r + j)d\}$$

for $j \in [1, m - r]$. Then $A_{m-r} = A$. Using arguments similar to those used in Lemma 6, we can prove that

$$|A_j - A_j| \geq |A_j + A_j|$$

for $j \in [1, m - r]$.

(ii) Let $\max(A^{(i)} - A^{(i)}) = n - 1 - x$. Since $x + id \leq n - 1 - x$, we have

$$[1, n - 1] \cup \{j - x : c + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\} \subseteq (A^{(i)} - A^{(i)})^+,$$

where the sets on the left-hand side are disjoint. Thus,

$$|A^{(i)} - A^{(i)}| \geq 4n - 2c - 3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A^{(i)} - A^{(i)}| - |A^{(i)} + A^{(i)}| &\geq (4n - 2c - 3) - (3n + id - 1) \\ &\geq 2(x - c) - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $A^{(r+1)} = I_n \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, r + 1]\}$, then $\max(A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)}) = x + (r + 1)d$.

Firstly, let $rd + d \leq n - 1$. Now, consider the following two situations.

(a) $2x + (2r + 1)d \geq 2n - 1$. We have

$$[1, n - 1] \cup \{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq (r + 1)d + c\} \subseteq (A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)})^+ \tag{9}$$

and

$$A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)} \subseteq [0, 2n - 2] \cup [x, x + (r + 1)d + n - 1] \cup \{2x + (2r + 1)d, 2x + (2r + 2)d\}. \tag{10}$$

Since $x \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$. Therefore,

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq (r + 1)d + c\} = \emptyset.$$

Now, using Relations (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)}| - |A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)}| &\geq (2n + (2r + 2)d + 2c + 1) \\ &\quad - (3n + (r + 1)d + 1) \\ &\geq n - rd - 2 \\ &\geq d - 1 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $2x + (2r + 1)d \leq 2n - 2$. Since

$$A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)} \subseteq [0, 2n - 2] \cup [x, x + (r + 1)d + n - 1] \cup \{2x + (2r + 2)d\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)}| - |A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)}| &\geq (2n + 2rd + 2d + 2c + 1) - (3n + rd + d) \\ &\geq n - rd - d - 1 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, let $rd + d > n - 1$. Using Relation (10), we have

$$|A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)}| \leq 3n + (r + 1)d + 1.$$

Since $rd + d \in (A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)})^+$, using Relation (9), we have

$$|A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)}| \geq 2n + (2r + 2)d + 2c + 3.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)}| - |A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)}| &\geq (2n + (2r + 2)d + 2c + 3) \\ &\quad - (3n + (r + 1)d + 1) \\ &> 2c + 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$A^{(r+1)} = I_n \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, r + 1]\},$$

then we have $|A^{(r+1)} - A^{(r+1)}| \geq |A^{(r+1)} + A^{(r+1)}|$. Consider

$$A_j = A^{(r+1)} \cup \{x + (r + 2)d, x + (r + 3)d, \dots, x + (r + j + 1)d\}$$

for $j \in [1, m - r - 1]$. Then $A_{m-r-1} = A$. Using arguments similar to those used in Lemma 6, we can prove that

$$|A_j - A_j| \geq |A_j + A_j|$$

for $j \in [1, m - r - 1]$. This proves that A is not an MSTD set. \square

Lemma 17. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $d \geq n$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < x < n - 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Let $c \in I_n$ be such that $c < x < c + 1$. We have

$$[1, n - 1] \cup \{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq c\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) \cup \{id : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq (A - A)^+.$$

Since $x \notin \mathbb{N}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \geq n$, the sets on the left-hand side are pairwise disjoint. Thus

$$|A - A| \geq 2n + 2c + 2mn + 2m + 1.$$

Now, using Relation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (2n + 2c + 2mn + 2m + 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= n(m - 1) + 2c + 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

4.3. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \notin \mathbb{N}$

This case is similar to Subsections 3.4 and 4.4. So, we omit the discussion.

4.4. $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $x, d \notin \mathbb{N}$

Lemma 18. *If any one of*

(i) $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, or

(iii) $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ with $x = s_1 + \frac{p_1}{q_1}$, $d = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, p_i and q_i are positive integers with $\gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = 1$ with $q_1 \geq 3$,

holds, then $x + id, 2x + jd \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. For (i) and (ii), if $x + id$ or $2x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ or $d \in \mathbb{Q}$, respectively, which is a contradiction. For (iii), it is sufficient to prove the lemma only for $i, j \in [0, q_2]$. For $i = 0 = j$ or $i = q_2 = j$, this is trivial. If $x + id \in \mathbb{N}$ or $2x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $i, j \in [1, q_2 - 1]$, then

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} + i \frac{p_2}{q_2} = n_1$$

or

$$\frac{2p_1}{q_1} + j \frac{p_2}{q_2} = n_2,$$

respectively, where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we have

$$p_1q_2 + ip_2q_1 = n_1q_1q_2$$

or

$$2p_1q_2 + jp_2q_1 = n_2q_1q_2,$$

respectively. Both of these cases imply q_2 divides q_1 and $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = q_2 \geq 2$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 19. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $0 < x < n - 1$. If any one of*

(i) $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, or

(iii) $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ with $x = s_1 + \frac{p_1}{q_1}$, $d = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, p_i and q_i are positive integers with $\gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = 1$ with $q_1 \geq 3$,

holds, then A is not an MSTD set.

Proof. Let $c \in I_n$ be such that $c < x < c + 1$ and let $r \in [0, q_2 - 1]$ be the largest integer such that $x + rd < n - 1$. Let

$$A^* = I_n \cup \{x + id : 0 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

For $i \in [0, r]$, we have

$$\{x + id - \lfloor x + id \rfloor + j : j \in [0, \lfloor x + id \rfloor]\} \subseteq (x + id - I_n)^+ \tag{11}$$

and

$$\{\lfloor x + id \rfloor + j - (x + id) : j \in [1, n - \lfloor x + id \rfloor - 1]\} \subseteq (x + id - I_n)^+. \tag{12}$$

These subsets of $(x + id - I_n)^+$ are disjoint due to Lemma 18. Thus,

$$|A^* - A^*| \geq 4n + 2nr - 1.$$

Using Relation (1), we have $|A^* + A^*| \leq 3n + nr + 2r$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A^* - A^*| - |A^* + A^*| &\geq (4n + 2nr - 1) - (3n + nr + 2r) \\ &= r(n - 2) + (n - 1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We first prove that $A' = I_n \cup \{x + id : i \in [0, q_2 - 1]\}$ is not an MSTD set. If $r = q_2 - 1$, then we are done. If $r < q_2 - 1$, then $n - 1 < x + (r + 1)d$. This gives

$$(x + id - I_n) \subseteq (A' - A')^+$$

for $i \in [r + 1, q_2 - 1]$. The subsets mentioned in Inequalities (11) and (12) and these sets of positive differences are disjoint due to Lemma 18. This gives

$$|A' - A'| \geq 2n + 2q_2n - 1.$$

Using Relation (1), we have

$$|A' + A'| \leq 2n + q_2n + 2q_2 - 2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A' - A'| - |A' + A'| &\geq (2n + 2q_2n - 1) - (2n + q_2n + 2q_2 - 2) \\ &= q_2n - 2q_2 + 1 = q_2(n - 2) + 1 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider

$$A_i = A' \cup \{x + q_2d, x + (q_2 + 1)d, \dots, x + (q_2 + i - 1)d\}$$

for $i \in [1, m - q_2 + 1]$. Then $A_{m - q_2 + 1} = A$. Using arguments similar to those used in Lemma 6, we can prove that $|A_i - A_i| \geq |A_i + A_i|$ for $i \in [1, m - q_2 + 1]$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 20. *Let $x, d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ or $x, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x = s_1 + \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $d = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2}$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, p_i and q_i are positive integers, and $\gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = l > 1$. If $x + id$ or $2x + jd \in \mathbb{N}$, where $i, j \in [1, q_2 - 1]$, then i and j are unique with $i \neq j$.*

Proof. If $i = j$, then $x \in \mathbb{N}$, which is not possible. If $x + i_1d, x + i_2d \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i_1, i_2 \in [1, q_2 - 1]$ with $i_2 < i_1$, then

$$(x + i_1d) - (x + i_2d) \in \mathbb{N}$$

and so $d \in \mathbb{Q}$ or

$$(i_1 - i_2) \frac{p_2}{q_2} = n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies that q_2 divides $(i_1 - i_2)$, which is not possible. The case $2x + jd$ can be dealt with the same way. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 21. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $0 < x < n - 1$ and $d > n - 1$. Let $x, d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ or $x, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x = s_1 + \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $d = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2}$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, p_i and q_i are positive integers with $\gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = l > 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. If $x + id$ and $2x + jd \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, then the proof is the same as that of Lemma 19. Suppose that there exist i_1 and i_2 such that $x + i_1d, 2x + i_2d \in \mathbb{N}$ for

$i_1, i_2 \in [2, q_2 - 1]$. Also, $i_1 \neq i_2$ and i_1 and i_2 are unique due to Lemma 20. Since $2x + d \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $d > n - 1$, we have

$$(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$$

for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$. Also, $x + d \notin \mathbb{N}$, therefore,

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $|A - A| \geq 4n + 2mn - 1$. Using Relation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4n + 2mn - 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= (n - 1) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the remaining cases as follows.

Case 1. $x + d \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $2 \leq i_2 \leq q_2 - 1$. Consider $[1, n - 1]$ and $(x + d - I_n)$. If $x + d > 2n - 2$, then the proof is the same as the one given in the paragraph before Case 1. If $x + d \leq 2n - 2$, then

$$[1, n - 1] \cup (x + d - I_n) = [1, x + d]$$

and

$$[0, 2n - 2] \cup (x + d + I_n) = [0, x + d + n - 1].$$

Thus, $|A - A| \geq 2(x + d + mn) + 1$ and

$$|A + A| \leq x + d + n + m(n + 2) + 1.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &= (2x + 2d + 2mn + 1) - (x + d + n + mn + 2m + 1) \\ &= (x + d - n) + m(n - 2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $2x + d \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $2 \leq i_1 \leq q_2 - 1$. We have

$$[1, n - 1] \bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \bigcup \{id : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq A - A.$$

If the sets $\{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ and $(x + d - I_n)$ are disjoint, then the proof is the same as the one given in the paragraph before Case 1. If these sets intersect, then

$$\{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup (x + d - I_n) = \{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq 2x + d\}.$$

Now, consider the set $\{id : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Since $d > n - 1$, we have $d > x$ and $d > (n - 1) - x$. So $d \notin (x - I_n)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d &< x + d - (n - 1) < \dots < x + d < 2d \\ 2d &< x + 2d - (n - 1) < \dots < x + 2d < 3d \\ &\vdots \\ (m - 1)d &< x + (m - 1)d - (n - 1) < \dots < x + (m - 1)d < md. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|A - A| \geq 4x + 2d + 2m(n + 1) + 1.$$

Now, using Relation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &\geq (4x + 2d + 2m(n + 1) + 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &= 4x + n(m - 3) + 2d + 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Lemma 22. *Let $x = s_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ and $d = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2}$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and where p_i and q_i are positive integers for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\gcd(2, q_2) = 1$. Then $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

The proof of Lemma 22 is similar to the proof of Lemma 18. So, we omit the proof.

Lemma 23. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ be integers. Let $A = I_n \cup J(x, m, d)$ with $0 < x < n - 1$ and $d > n - 1$. Let $x = s_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ and $d = s_2 + \frac{p_2}{q_2}$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and where p_i and q_i are positive integers for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\gcd(2, q_2) = 1$. Then A is not an MSTD set.*

Proof. Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $c < x < c + 1$. Now, consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $x \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. We have

$$\{x - c + i : 0 \leq i \leq c\} = (x - I_n)^+.$$

Since $d > n - 1$, we have

$$(x + id - I_n) \cap (x + jd - I_n) = \emptyset$$

for $0 \leq i < j \leq m$. Also, due to Lemma 22, $x + id \notin \mathbb{N}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$. This gives

$$[1, n - 1] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m (x + id - I_n) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $|A - A| \geq 2(n + c + mn) + 1$. Now, using Relation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &= (2n + 2c + 2mn + 1) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &> m(n - 2) - 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $x < \frac{n-1}{2}$. We have

$$\{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} = (x - I_n)^+$$

and $n - 1 - x < n - 1 < x + id - (n - 1)$ for $i \in [2, m]$. Also,

$$\{i - x : c + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cap (x + d - I_n) = \emptyset.$$

Otherwise, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction. Thus,

$$|A - A| \geq 4n - 2c + 2mn - 3.$$

Now, using Relation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A - A| - |A + A| &= (4n - 2c + 2mn - 3) - (3n + mn + 2m) \\ &> m(n - 2) - 2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that A is not an MSTD set. □

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for giving very meaningful suggestions for the improvement of the paper. We also thank the journal for helping in grammar and formatting related issues.

References

- [1] H. V. Chu, When sets are not sum-dominant, *J. Integer Seq.* **22** (3) (2019), Art. 19.3.7, 16 pp.
- [2] H. V. Chu, Sets of cardinality 6 are not sum-dominant, *Integers* **20** (2020), #A17, 15 pp.
- [3] H. V. Chu, The union of two arithmetic progressions with the same common difference is not sum-dominant, *Integers* **20** (2020), #A87, 11 pp.
- [4] H. V. Chu, N. Luntzlara, S. J. Miller, and L. Shao, Generalizations of a curious family of MSTD sets hidden by interior blocks, *Integers* **20A** (2020), #A5, 19 pp.
- [5] H. V. Chu, N. McNew, S. J. Miller, V. Xu, and S. Zhang, When sets can and cannot have sum-dominant subsets, *J. Integer Seq.* **21** (8) (2018), Art. 18.8.2, 16 pp.
- [6] G. A. Freiman and V. P. Pigarev, The relation between the invariants R and T , in *Number-theoretic Studies in the Markov Spectrum and in the Structural Theory of Set Addition* (Russian), Kalinin. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1973, 172–174.

- [7] P. V. Hegarty, Some explicit constructions of sets with more sums than differences, *Acta Arith.* **130** (2007), 61–77.
- [8] M. Kumar, Mohan, and R. K. Pandey, A conjecture of Chu et al. and a new family of MSTD sets, *Integers* **24** (2024), #A32, 18 pp.
- [9] J. Marica, On a conjecture of Conway, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **12** (1969), 233–234.
- [10] G. Martin and K. O’Bryant, Many sets have more sums than differences, in *Additive Combinatorics*, Vol. **43**, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 287–305.
- [11] S. J. Miller and D. Scheinerman, Explicit constructions of infinite families of MSTD sets, in *Additive Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 2010, 229–248.
- [12] M. B. Nathanson, Problems in additive number theory I, in *Additive Combinatorics*, Vol. **43**, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 263–270.
- [13] M. B. Nathanson, Sets with more sums than differences, *Integers* **7** (2007), #A5, 24 pp.
- [14] F. Roesler, A mean value density theorem of additive number theory, *Acta Arith.* **96** (2), (2000), 121–138.
- [15] I. Z. Ruzsa, On the number of sums and differences, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **59** (1992), 439–447.
- [16] Y. Zhao, Constructing MSTD sets using bidirectional ballot sequences, *J. Number Theory* **130** (2010), 1212–1220.
- [17] Y. Zhao, Sets characterized by missing sums and differences, *J. Number Theory* **131** (2011), 2107–2134.