



ARITHMETIC-TERM REPRESENTATIONS FOR THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

Mihai Prunescu

*Research Center for Logic, Optimization and Security (LOS), Faculty of
Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania*

and

*Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest,
Romania*

mihai.prunescu@imar.ro, mihai.prunescu@gmail.com

Joseph M. Shunia

*Ann Arbor, Michigan
jshunia@gmail.com*

Received: 6/11/25, Accepted: 7/8/26, Published:

Abstract

We construct a new arithmetic-term representation for the greatest common divisor function $\gcd(a, b)$. As a byproduct, we also deduce a representation of $\gcd(a, b)$ by a modular term in integer arithmetic.

1. Introduction

In [3] Mazzanti proved the following identity:

$$\gcd(a, b) = \left\lfloor \frac{(2^{a^2b(b+1)} - 2^{a^2b})(2^{a^2b^2} - 1)}{(2^{a^2b} - 1)(2^{ab^2} - 1)2^{a^2b^2}} \right\rfloor \bmod 2^{ab},$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a, b \geq 1$. Marchenkov later confirmed this formula; see [2]. This identity had been the missing puzzle-piece to show that every Kalmár elementary function is representable as an arithmetic term. Arithmetic terms are defined in [2] and [3] as terms built up in the language $L = \{+, \dot{-}, \cdot, /, x^y\}$ with variables and constants interpreted in the set of natural numbers. The modified difference $x \dot{-} y$ is defined to be 0 if $x < y$. For applications, see [8]. See also [5].

As Mazzanti's effective method to compute arithmetic-term representations depends explicitly on the arithmetic-term representation of the greatest common divisor, every simplification of this term has theoretical importance. Shunia conjectured

in [7] specific simplified formulas for the greatest common divisor. Here we prove a different simplification by an independent generating-function method. We present a straightforward construction of a simpler term, independent of Mazzanti's, although both constructions use Lemma 1.

2. Prerequisites

Under $\mathbb{N}$ we understand the set of natural numbers including 0. We will need the following facts. The first lemma, from [1], will be used to make a connection between the value $\gcd(a, b)$ and the number of solutions in natural numbers of a particular linear Diophantine equation.

Lemma 1. *The Diophantine linear equation*

$$ax + by = c$$

has solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $d = \gcd(a, b) \mid c$. If $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution of the equation $ax + by = c$, then all solutions are given by $x = x_0 + (b/d) \cdot t$ and $y = y_0 - (a/d) \cdot t$ where $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary.

The second lemma is a tool, due to Prunescu and Sauras-Altuzarra [6], that has proved useful for representing sequences whose generating functions are rational as arithmetic terms.

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{N}[[z]]$ be a formal series with radius of convergence $R > 0$ at 0,*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} s(n)z^n.$$

Let $c, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $c^{-1} < R$ and for all $n \geq m$, $s(n) < c^{n-2}$. Then for all $n \geq m$,

$$s(n) = \left\lfloor c^{n^2} f(c^{-n}) \right\rfloor \bmod c^n.$$

The third lemma is an identity in modular arithmetic.

Lemma 3. *Let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A, B > 0$, $C \geq 2$, $C \mid A$, $B \nmid A$, $B \bmod C = 1$ and $\lfloor A/B \rfloor \bmod C \neq C - 1$. Then the following identity holds*

$$((-A) \bmod B) \bmod C = 1 + \lfloor A/B \rfloor \bmod C.$$

Proof. One observes that

$$\begin{aligned} ((-A) \bmod B) \bmod C &= ((-A) - B \cdot \lfloor (-A)/B \rfloor) \bmod C \\ &= ((-A) \bmod C - (B \bmod C) \cdot (\lfloor (-A)/B \rfloor \bmod C)) \bmod C \\ &= (0 - 1 \cdot (\lfloor (-A)/B \rfloor \bmod C)) \bmod C \\ &= (-\lfloor (-A)/B \rfloor \bmod C) \bmod C \\ &= (-\lfloor (-A)/B \rfloor) \bmod C. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $A, B > 0$ such that $B \nmid A$,

$$- \lfloor (-A)/B \rfloor = \lfloor A/B \rfloor + 1,$$

so the quantity to compute is

$$(\lfloor A/B \rfloor + 1) \bmod C.$$

Further we recall that for $x \geq 0$ and $C \geq 2$ such that $x \not\equiv (C-1) \pmod C$,

$$(1+x) \bmod C = 1 + (x \bmod C).$$

We apply this fact for $x = \lfloor A/B \rfloor$ to get

$$1 + \lfloor A/B \rfloor \bmod C. \quad \square$$

3. A Family of C-Recursive Sequences

We use the following standard definition.

Definition 1. C-recursive sequences of order d are sequences $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying a relation of recurrence with constant coefficients

$$s(n+d) + a_1 s(n+d-1) + \cdots + a_{d-1} s(n+1) + a_d s(n) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.1.1 in [9] and Theorem 1 in [4] imply the following characterization. The C-recursive sequences are exactly the sequences $(s(n))$ such that the generating function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} s(n) z^n$$

is a rational function $A(z)/B(z)$ with $\deg(A) < \deg(B)$.

We now introduce the family of sequences used below.

Definition 2. Let a, b be natural numbers with $a, b \geq 1$ and let $(s_{a,b}(n))$ be the sequence with generating function

$$f_{a,b}(z) := \frac{1}{(z^a - 1)(z^b - 1)}.$$

The generating function has the following elementary interpretation.

Lemma 4. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \geq 1$, the sequence $(s_{a,b}(n))$ consists of natural numbers. In particular,

$$s_{a,b}(ab) = \gcd(a, b) + 1.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\frac{1}{(z^a - 1)(z^b - 1)} = (1 + z^a + z^{2a} + z^{3a} + \cdots)(1 + z^b + z^{2b} + z^{3b} + \cdots).$$

Here the two sign flips from $z^a - 1 = -(1 - z^a)$ and $z^b - 1 = -(1 - z^b)$ cancel. It follows that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_{a,b}(n)$ is indeed a natural number. Moreover, the value of $s_{a,b}(n)$ equals the number of solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ of the equation

$$ax + by = n.$$

For $n = ab$ we observe that $(x_0, y_0) = (b, 0)$ is indeed a solution of the equation

$$ax + by = ab.$$

By Lemma 1, the set of solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is parametrized by a parameter $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the solutions have the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b - \frac{b}{d} \cdot t, \\ y &= \frac{a}{d} \cdot t, \end{aligned}$$

where $d = \gcd(a, b)$. As only the non-negative solutions count, t must fulfill

$$b \geq \frac{b}{d} \cdot t \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 0.$$

So $0 \leq t \leq d = \gcd(a, b)$, hence there are $\gcd(a, b) + 1$ solutions in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. □

We also need the following uniform bound.

Lemma 5. *If $a, b \geq 1$ are natural numbers and at least one of them is greater than 1, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has*

$$s_{a,b}(n) \leq s_{1,1}(n) = n + 1.$$

One has $s_{a,b}(n) = s_{1,1}(n)$ only if $n = 0$.

Proof. We first observe that

$$f_{1,1}(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)^2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1)z^n,$$

so for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_{1,1}(n) = n + 1$.

Since $f_{a,b}(z) = f_{b,a}(z)$, we may assume without loss of generality that $a > 1$. In order to prove the inequality, we observe that $s_{1,1}(n)$ is the number of pairs $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$X + Y = n,$$

while $s_{a,b}(n)$ is the number of pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$ax + by = n.$$

But $(x, y) \mapsto (X, Y) = (ax, by)$ is a one-to-one mapping from the set of solutions of the second equation to the set of solutions of the first one. If $n > 0$, this mapping cannot be onto, as its images always have multiples of a on the first component, while for some $0 \leq k \leq n$ the pair $(X, Y) = (k, n - k)$ has first component not divisible by a and satisfies the first equation. $\square$

4. Main Results

We now prove the main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $a, b \geq 1$ be two natural numbers. Then*

$$\gcd(a, b) = \left(\left\lfloor \frac{5^{ab(ab+a+b)}}{(5^{a^2b} - 1)(5^{ab^2} - 1)} \right\rfloor \bmod 5^{ab} \right) - 1.$$

Proof. We specialize Lemma 2 to the generating function $f_{a,b}$ and then choose a base c that works uniformly for all $a, b \geq 1$. The sequences $s_{a,b}(n)$ have arithmetic-term representations given by

$$\left\lfloor c^{n^2} f_{a,b}(c^{-n}) \right\rfloor \bmod c^n = \left\lfloor \frac{c^{n^2+an+bn}}{(c^{an} - 1)(c^{bn} - 1)} \right\rfloor \bmod c^n,$$

where a priori the constant c depends on the pair (a, b) . We will show that one can find constants $c \in \mathbb{N}$ that successfully represent all sequences $s_{a,b}(n)$ for $n \geq m$, where the rank m depends only on c .

Let $R_{a,b}$ be the convergence radius at $z = 0$ for the meromorphic function $f_{a,b}(z)$. The set of poles $\mathcal{P}(f_{a,b})$ consists of roots of 1 only,

$$\mathcal{P}(f_{a,b}) = \mathcal{U}(a) \cup \mathcal{U}(b),$$

where $\mathcal{U}(m) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z^m = 1\}$. As all these poles $u \in \mathbb{C}$ have $|u| = 1$, we deduce that uniformly $R_{a,b} = 1$ for all $a, b \geq 1$. Hence the condition $c^{-1} < R_{a,b}$ is uniformly satisfied for all $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $c \geq 2$.

The condition $s_{a,b}(n) < c^{n-2}$ for all $n \geq m$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniformly satisfied for all $a, b \geq 1$. Indeed, Lemma 5 gives $s_{a,b}(n) < n + 1$ for $n > 0$ and $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$, while $s_{1,1}(n) = n + 1$. Thus for all $a, b \geq 1$ and $n > 0$ one has

$$s_{a,b}(n) \leq n + 1.$$

So we observe that already for $c = 2$,

$$s_{a,b}(n) < n + 1 < 2^{n-2}$$

for all $n \geq 5$ and $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$. This shows that one may uniformly take $c = 2$, and the representation then works for $n \geq 5$ for all $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$. We still have to make the substitution $n = ab$ and get that

$$\gcd(a, b) + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{c^{ab(ab+a+b)}}{(c^{a^2b} - 1)(c^{ab^2} - 1)} \right\rfloor \bmod c^{ab}$$

for $ab \geq 5$ and $c = 2$. However, as we want a formula that is true for all $a, b \geq 1$, we have to look for larger values of c . The condition that one gets a good result for $a = b = 1$ reads

$$2 = \left\lfloor \frac{c^3}{(c-1)^2} \right\rfloor \bmod c.$$

For $c = 2, 3, 4$ the right-hand side evaluates to 0, 0, 3 respectively. The first value of c to satisfy this condition is $c = 5$. For $c = 5$, the inequality

$$n + 1 < 5^{n-2}$$

is fulfilled by all $n \geq 3$. Hence the coefficient-extraction formula works uniformly with $c = 5$ for all $a, b \geq 1$ and $ab \geq 3$. The remaining cases with $ab < 3$ are $(a, b) \in \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. For the critical case $(1, 1)$, one has

$$\left\lfloor \frac{5^3}{(5-1)^2} \right\rfloor \bmod 5 = \left\lfloor \frac{125}{16} \right\rfloor \bmod 5 = 7 \bmod 5 = 2 = \gcd(1, 1) + 1.$$

Direct computation for $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$ likewise gives $\gcd(a, b) + 1 = 2$. □

The div-mod formula has the following mod-mod consequence.

Corollary 1. *Let $a, b \geq 1$ be two natural numbers. Then*

$$\gcd(a, b) = \left(\left(\left(-5^{ab(ab+a+b)} \right) \bmod \left((5^{a^2b} - 1)(5^{ab^2} - 1) \right) \right) \bmod 5^{ab} \right) - 2.$$

Proof. We introduce the following notation: $A := 5^{ab(ab+a+b)}$, $B := (5^{a^2b} - 1)(5^{ab^2} - 1)$ and $C := 5^{ab}$. In order to apply Lemma 3, we check its conditions. The conditions $A, B > 0$, $C \geq 2$, $C \mid A$, $B \nmid A$, $B \bmod C = 1$ are evidently fulfilled. It remains to show that

$$\lfloor A/B \rfloor \bmod C \neq C - 1.$$

But we know that $\lfloor A/B \rfloor \bmod C = \gcd(a, b) + 1$ and $C = 5^{ab}$, so we have to show that $\gcd(a, b) + 1 \neq 5^{ab} - 1$. Since $\gcd(a, b) + 1 = s_{a,b}(ab) \leq ab + 1$, it is enough to show that

$$ab + 1 < 5^{ab} - 1$$

for all $a, b \geq 1$. Denote $ab = k \geq 1$. We observe that the inequality

$$k + 2 < 5^k$$

holds for all $k \geq 1$. □

By proving inequalities and verifying the remaining cases

$$(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (1, 4), (4, 1), \quad \text{and} \quad (2, 2),$$

as in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, one obtains the following result.

Corollary 2. *For all natural numbers $a, b \geq 1$ with $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$ the following identities hold true:*

$$\gcd(a, b) = \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2^{ab(ab+a+b)}}{(2^{a^2b} - 1)(2^{ab^2} - 1)} \right\rfloor \bmod 2^{ab} \right) - 1,$$

$$\gcd(a, b) = \left(\left(\left(-2^{ab(ab+a+b)} \right) \bmod \left((2^{a^2b} - 1)(2^{ab^2} - 1) \right) \right) \bmod 2^{ab} \right) - 2.$$

If one replaces the exponentiation base $c = 2$ with the base $c = 3$ or $c = 4$, the identities hold true for all $a, b \geq 1$, with the unique exception $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ again. For these bases, the remaining cases to verify are $(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3)$ and $(3, 1)$.

Remark 1. The mod-mod representations given in Corollaries 1 and 2 are not arithmetic terms in the sense of [3] and [2]. Indeed, the subterm $-c^{ab(ab+a+b)}$ contains an essential negative sign. However, the mod-mod representations have computational advantages over the div-mod representations, because the subterm

$$\left(\left(-c^{ab(ab+a+b)} \right) \bmod \left((c^{a^2b} - 1)(c^{ab^2} - 1) \right) \right)$$

can be computed much faster than the analogous

$$\left\lfloor \frac{c^{ab(ab+a+b)}}{(c^{a^2b} - 1)(c^{ab^2} - 1)} \right\rfloor$$

by using the Algorithm for Fast Exponentiation in modular arithmetic. □

References

- [1] D. Burton, *Elementary Number Theory*, Allyn and Bacon, revised printing, Boston, 1980.
- [2] S. S. Marchenkov, Superpositions of elementary arithmetic functions, *J. Appl. Ind. Math.* **1** (3) (2007), 351–360.
- [3] S. Mazzanti, Plain bases for classes of primitive recursive functions, *Math. Log. Q.* **48** (1) (2002), 93–104.

- [4] M. Petkovšek and H. Zakrajšek, Solving linear recurrence equations with polynomial coefficients, in *Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functions*, Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation, Springer, Vienna, 2013, 259–284.
- [5] M. Prunescu and L. Sauras-Altuzarra, Computational considerations on the representation of number-theoretic functions by arithmetic terms, *J. Log. Comput.* **35** (3) (2025), exaf012, 37 pp.
- [6] M. Prunescu and L. Sauras-Altuzarra, On the representation of C-recursive integer sequences by arithmetic terms, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **31** (9) (2025), 1263–1285.
- [7] J. M. Shunia, Elementary formulas for greatest common divisors and semiprime factors, preprint, [arXiv:2407.03357v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.03357v3), 2024.
- [8] J. M. Shunia and L. Sauras Altuzarra, Arithmetic terms for sums of multinomial coefficients, *Ramanujan J.* **68** (3) (2025), Paper No. 93, 12 pp.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2011.