



**ON A RELATION BETWEEN SCHREIER-TYPE SETS AND A  
MODIFICATION OF TURÁN GRAPHS**

**Hùng Việt Chu**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana,  
Illinois*

hungchu2@illinois.edu

*Received: 8/12/22, Accepted: 2/9/23, Published: 3/3/23*

**Abstract**

Recently, a relation between Schreier-type sets and Turán graphs was discovered. In this note, we give a combinatorial proof and obtain a generalization of the relation. Specifically, for  $p, q \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_q := \{F \subset \mathbb{N} : |F| = 1 \text{ or } F \text{ is an arithmetic progression with difference } q\}$  and  $Sr(n, p, q) := \#\{F \subset \{1, \dots, n\} : p \min F \geq |F| \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{A}_q\}$ . We show that  $Sr(n, p, q) = T(n + 1, pq + 1, q)$ , where  $T(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is the number of edges of a modification of Turán graphs. We also prove that  $Sr(n, p, q)$  is the partial sum of certain sequences.

**1. Introduction**

A set  $F \subset \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *Schreier* if  $\min F \geq |F|$ . Schreier sets have appeared frequently in Banach space theory. For example, Schreier sets were used in the construction by Schreier to answer a question of Banach and Saks [8] and were the central concept in a celebrated theorem by Odell [7]. Besides, Schreier sets are of independent interests. A. Bird [2] showed a surprising connection between these sets and the Fibonacci sequence. Since then, there has been research on generalizing Bird's result to connect Schreier-type sets with other sequences (see [3, 4, 5, 6].) For instance, [4, Theorem 1.1] investigated the recurrence relation for the count of sets  $F \subset \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\min F \geq p|F|$ , where  $p \geq 1$ . Recently, Beanland et al. [1] proved a recurrence for the more general form  $q \min F \geq p|F|$ , where  $p, q \geq 1$ . In the same note, the authors showed a connection between Schreier-type sets and Turán graphs, which we now describe.

For  $n, p \geq 1$ , let  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and

$$Sr(n, p) := \#\{F \subset [n] : p \min F \geq |F| \text{ and } F \text{ is an interval}\}.$$

A *Turán graph* [10], denoted by  $T(n, p)$ , is the  $n$ -vertex complete  $p$ -partite graph whose classes differ in size by at most 1 vertex. In other words, to form  $T(n, p)$ , we divide the  $n$  vertices into  $p$  classes as equally as possible, and then connect all pairs of vertices that are not in the same class. We also use  $T(n, p)$  to indicate the number of edges of the Turán graph  $T(n, p)$ . For each  $p \geq 2$ , the sequence  $(T(n, p))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is available in OEIS [9] (for example, see [A002620](#), [A000212](#), [A033436](#), and [A033437](#).)

By [1, Theorem 1.2], we have that

$$Sr(n, p) = T(n + 1, p + 1). \tag{1}$$

In the proof of [1, Theorem 1.2], the authors explicitly found the formulas for  $Sr(n, p)$  and  $T(n + 1, p + 1)$  and then, by algebraic manipulations, showed that the two formulas give the same number for all  $n, p \geq 1$ . The first goal of this note is to give a combinatorial proof of (1), which is concise and sheds a better light on why the equality holds. Our second goal is to generalize (1). To do so, we need to introduce some new notions.

### 1.1. Three Types of Graphs

We introduce several types of graphs that are recursively defined.

#### 1.1.1. An $M(n, p)$ -Graph

In a Turán graph, each vertex in a particular class shares an edge only with vertices in other classes. We shall present a slight modification that allows a vertex to share an edge with vertices in the same class. Let  $M(n, p)$  denote the following modification of  $T(n, p)$ . Fix  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $M(1, p)$  be the graph with  $p$  classes, exactly one of which contains a vertex. Suppose that  $M(n, p)$  has been defined for some  $n \geq 1$ . We construct  $M(n + 1, p)$  by adding a vertex  $v$  to  $M(n, p)$  under a certain rule. Write  $n = p\ell + k$ , where  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq k \leq p$ .

- **Case 1:** If  $k = p$ , then add  $v$  to one of the  $p$  classes. From the remaining  $p - 1$  classes, choose an arbitrary class  $P$ . Form edges between  $v$  and all vertices in the same class as  $v$ , and form edges between  $v$  and vertices in all other classes except  $P$ .
- **Case 2:** If  $k < p$ , then add  $v$  to a class  $P'$  with exactly  $\ell$  vertices. Form an edge between  $v$  and every vertex in every class other than  $P'$ .

With an abuse of notation, let  $M(n, p)$  also denote the number of edges of  $M(n, p)$ . Clearly,  $M(n, p) = T(n, p)$  for all  $n, p \geq 1$ .

**1.1.2. An  $M(n, p, q)$ -Graph**

For fixed  $p, q \geq 1$ , we now define a graph  $M(n, p, q)$ , where the number of edges of  $M(n, p, 1)$  and  $M(n, p)$  are equal. Let  $M(1, p, q)$  be the graph with  $p$  classes, exactly one of which contains a vertex. Suppose that  $M(n, p, q)$  has been defined for some  $n \geq 1$ . We construct  $M(n + 1, p, q)$  by adding a vertex  $v$  to  $M(n, p, q)$  under the following rule. Write  $n = p\ell + k$ , where  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq k \leq p$ . There are  $k$  classes with  $\ell + 1$  vertices and  $p - k$  classes with  $\ell$  vertices.

- **Case 1:** If  $p = k$ , then form  $M(n + 1, p, q)$  in the same way as we form  $M(n + 1, p)$  above.
- **Case 2:** If  $p - q < k < p$ , then add  $v$  to a class with exactly  $\ell$  vertices. Let  $P$  be a class with  $\ell + 1$  vertices. Form edges between  $v$  and all vertices in the same class as  $v$ , and form edges between  $v$  and vertices in all other classes except  $P$ .
- **Case 3:** If  $k \leq p - q$ , then add  $v$  to a class  $P'$  with exactly  $\ell$  vertices. Form an edge between  $v$  and every vertex in every class other than  $P'$ .

By definition,  $M(n, p, 1) = M(n, p)$  for all  $n, p \geq 1$ .

**1.1.3. A  $T(n, p, q)$ -Graph**

A  $T(n, p, q)$ -graph is formed in almost the same way as an  $M(n, p, q)$ -graph. Fix  $p, q \geq 1$ . Let us describe how to form  $T(n, p, q)$ . First,  $T(1, p, q) = M(1, p, q)$ . Supposing that we already have  $T(n, p, q)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , we construct  $T(n + 1, p, q)$ . In each case of the recursive step to form  $M(n + 1, p, q)$  described above, we connect  $v$  to certain vertices. Call the set of these vertices  $V$ . We assign numbers from 1 to  $q$  in that order to vertices in  $V$ . (If  $|V| > q$ , we repeat the numbering from 1 to  $q$ .) In forming  $T(n + 1, p, q)$  from  $T(n, p, q)$ , we form an edge between  $v$  and vertices that are numbered 1. See the Appendix for  $(T(n, 5, 2))_{n=2}^7$ .

**1.2. Schreier Sets with Constant Gaps**

Recall that an arithmetic progression of positive integers is a sequence that can be written as

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + kd, \dots,$$

for some  $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $d$  is called the difference of the arithmetic progression. An interval is an arithmetic progression with difference 1. For  $q \geq 1$ , let

$$\mathcal{A}_q := \{F \subset \mathbb{N} : |F| = 1 \text{ or } F \text{ is an arithmetic progression with difference } q\}.$$

For  $n, p, q \geq 1$ , define

$$Sr(n, p, q) := \#\{F \subset [n] : p \min F \geq |F| \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{A}_q\}.$$

We are ready to state our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *For all  $p, q, n \geq 1$ , we have that*

$$Sr(n, p, q) = T(n + 1, pq + 1, q). \tag{2}$$

**Remark 1.** Plugging in  $q = 1$  into (2), we have (1). Indeed, we have that  $Sr(n, p, 1) = Sr(n, p)$  and by definitions,

$$T(n + 1, p + 1, 1) = M(n + 1, p + 1, 1) = M(n + 1, p + 1) = T(n + 1, p + 1).$$

**Example 1.** Choose  $p = q = 2$ . Let us consider the first few terms of two sequences  $(Sr(n, 2, 2))_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $(T(n + 1, 5, 2))_{n=1}^\infty$  and confirm that these values are equal. A simple program gives  $(Sr(n, 2, 2))$ :

$$1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 14, 18, 22, 26, 31, 36, 42, 48, 54, 61, 68, 76, 84, \dots$$

We include graphs  $T(n, 5, 2)$  for small values of  $n$  in the Appendix.

The following theorem shows that we can write  $Sr(n, p, q)$  as the partial sum of sequences.

**Theorem 2.** *Fix  $p, q \geq 1$ . We have that*

$$Sr(1, p, q) = 1 \text{ and } Sr(n + 1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) = \left\lfloor \frac{p(n + q + 1)}{pq + 1} \right\rfloor, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Hence,

$$Sr(N, p, q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left\lfloor \frac{p(n + q + 1)}{pq + 1} \right\rfloor.$$

## 2. A Combinatorial View of (1)

Let  $p \geq 1$  be fixed. Our goal is to show that  $Sr(n, p) = T(n + 1, p + 1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . The proof idea is simple: as we know  $Sr(1, p) = T(2, p + 1)$  from the definitions, we need only to verify that

$$Sr(n + 1, p) - Sr(n, p) = T(n + 2, p + 1) - T(n + 1, p + 1), \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

Fix  $n \geq 1$ . Write  $n = (p + 1)\ell + k$  for some  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq k \leq p$ .

By definition, the left side of (3) counts the number of intervals  $F \subset [n + 1]$  such that  $p \min F \geq |F|$  and  $n + 1 \in F$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all these intervals and  $M$  be the largest interval in  $\mathcal{A}$ . (Note that all intervals in  $\mathcal{A}$  contain  $n + 1$ , so  $M$  is uniquely defined and is the interval with the smallest minimum.) Then

$$p \min M \geq |M| = n + 2 - \min M.$$

Hence,

$$\min M = \left\lceil \frac{n+2}{p+1} \right\rceil \text{ and } M = \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{n+2}{p+1} \right\rceil, \dots, n+1 \right\}.$$

Since each  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  is obtained from  $M$  by discarding the smallest numbers in  $M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Sr(n+1, p) - Sr(n, p) &= n+2 - \left\lceil \frac{n+2}{p+1} \right\rceil = n - \ell + 2 - \left\lceil \frac{k+2}{p+1} \right\rceil \\ &= \begin{cases} n - \ell & \text{if } k = p \\ n - \ell + 1 & \text{if } k < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We now consider the right side of (3). For the graph  $T(n+1, p+1)$ , there are  $k+1$  classes that have  $\ell+1$  vertices and  $(p-k)$  classes that have  $\ell$  vertices. If  $k = p$ , then adding one more vertex to any class increases the number of edges by

$$(\ell+1)k = (\ell+1)p = p\ell + k = n - \ell.$$

If  $k < p$ , then adding one more vertex to a class with  $\ell$  vertices increases the number of edges by

$$\ell(p-k-1) + (\ell+1)(k+1) = n - \ell + 1.$$

Therefore, we have that  $Sr(n+1, p) - Sr(n, p) = T(n+2, p+1) - T(n+1, p+1)$ , as desired.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

First, we need an easy lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Given a set  $V$  of  $N$  vertices and a vertex  $v \notin V$ , if we number the vertices in  $V$  from 1 to  $q$  in that order and form an edge between  $v$  and each vertex that is numbered 1, then the number of edges is*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{N-1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

*Proof.* We can rephrase the problem as follows: given  $N$  integers, find the maximum possible number of integers that are congruent to 1 modulo  $q$ . The count is  $\left\lfloor \frac{N-1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix  $p, q, n \geq 1$ . Write  $n = (pq+1)\ell + k$  for some  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq k \leq pq$ . Clearly,  $Sr(1, p, q) = T(2, pq+1, q) = 1$ . We need only to show that

$$Sr(n+1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) = T(n+2, pq+1, q) - T(n+1, pq+1, q).$$

We have that  $Sr(n + 1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q)$  counts the number of sets  $F \subset [n + 1]$  with  $\max F = n + 1$ ,  $p \min F \geq |F|$ , and  $F \in \mathcal{A}_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all such  $F$ , and let  $M$  be the largest set in  $\mathcal{A}$ . (Note that  $M$  is uniquely defined because all sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  have the same maximum and belong to  $\mathcal{A}_q$ . Hence,  $M$  is the set in  $\mathcal{A}$  with the smallest minimum.) Then

$$p \min M \geq |M| = \frac{n + 1 - \min M}{q} + 1$$

and so,

$$\min M \geq \left\lceil \frac{n + 1 + q}{pq + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Choosing  $M = \{n + 1 - (\ell - 1)q, \dots, n + 1 - q, n + 1\}$ , we have that

$$n + 1 - (\ell - 1)q \geq \left\lceil \frac{n + 1 + q}{pq + 1} \right\rceil,$$

which gives

$$\ell \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \left( n + 1 - \left\lceil \frac{n + 1 + q}{pq + 1} \right\rceil \right) \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Because  $M$  is the largest,

$$|M| = \ell = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \left( n + 1 - \left\lceil \frac{n + 1 + q}{pq + 1} \right\rceil \right) \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Since each  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  is obtained from  $M$  by discarding the smallest numbers in  $M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Sr(n + 1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \left( n + 1 - \left\lceil \frac{n + 1 + q}{pq + 1} \right\rceil \right) \right\rfloor + 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \left( n - \ell + 1 - \left\lceil \frac{k + 1 + q}{pq + 1} \right\rceil \right) \right\rfloor + 1 \\ &= \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n - \ell - 1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } (p - 1)q < k \leq pq \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n - \ell}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } k \leq (p - 1)q. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We now evaluate  $T(n + 2, pq + 1, q) - T(n + 1, pq + 1, q)$ . Again,  $n + 1 = (pq + 1)\ell + (k + 1)$ , where  $1 \leq k + 1 \leq pq + 1$ . For the graph  $T(n + 1, pq + 1, q)$ , there are  $k + 1$  classes that have  $\ell + 1$  vertices and  $(pq - k)$  classes that have  $\ell$  vertices.

- **Case 1:** If  $k = pq$ , then the new vertex in forming  $T(n + 2, pq + 1, q)$  can be added to any of the  $pq + 1$  classes. By the construction of  $T(n + 2, pq + 1, q)$  from  $T(n + 1, pq + 1, q)$  and Lemma 1, the number of new edges is

$$\left\lfloor \frac{pq(\ell + 1) - 1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n - \ell - 1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- **Case 2:** If  $(p-1)q < k < pq$ , then the new vertex in forming  $T(n+2, pq+1, q)$  must be added to one of the  $(pq - k)$  classes that have  $\ell$  vertices. By the construction of  $T(n+2, pq+1, q)$  from  $T(n+1, pq+1, q)$  and Lemma 1, the number of new edges is

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\ell(pq - k) + (\ell + 1)k - 1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n - \ell - 1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- **Case 3:** If  $k \leq (p-1)q$ , then the new vertex in forming  $T(n+2, pq+1, q)$  must be added to one of the  $(pq - k)$  classes that have  $\ell$  vertices. By the construction of  $T(n+2, pq+1, q)$  from  $T(n+1, pq+1, q)$  and Lemma 1, the number of new edges is

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\ell(pq - k - 1) + (\ell + 1)(k + 1) - 1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n - \ell}{q} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Therefore, we have that  $Sr(n+1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) = T(n+2, pq+1, q) - T(n+1, pq+1, q)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**4. Proof of Theorem 2**

Fix  $p, q \geq 1$ . We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** For  $k \geq 1$  and  $k \leq (p-1)q$ , we have that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k}{q} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{pk + p - 1}{pq + 1} \right\rfloor.$$

*Proof.* Write  $k = qs + t$ , where  $s \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq t < q$ . Since  $k \leq (p-1)q$ , we know that  $s \leq p-1$ . Clearly,  $\left\lfloor \frac{k}{q} \right\rfloor = s$ . Also,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{pk + p - 1}{pq + 1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(1 + pq)s + (p-1) - s + pt}{pq + 1} \right\rfloor = s + \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1) - s + pt}{pq + 1} \right\rfloor = s,$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that  $(p-1) - s + pt < pq + 1$ , which is equivalent to  $-s < p(q-t-1) + 2$ .  $\square$

We want to show that

$$Sr(n+1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) = \left\lfloor \frac{p(n+q+1)}{pq+1} \right\rfloor, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

By (5), we have that

$$Sr(n+1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n-\ell-1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } (p-1)q < k \leq pq \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n-\ell}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } k \leq (p-1)q, \end{cases}$$

where  $n = (pq+1)\ell+k$  for some  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq k \leq pq$ . Substituting  $n = (pq+1)\ell+k$ , we obtain

$$Sr(n+1, p, q) - Sr(n, p, q) = \begin{cases} p\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } (p-1)q < k \leq pq \\ p\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } k \leq (p-1)q. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p(n+q+1)}{pq+1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{p((pq+1)\ell+k) + q + 1}{pq+1} \right\rfloor = p\ell + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{pk+p-1}{pq+1} \right\rfloor. \quad (7)$$

By (6) and (7), we need only to confirm that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{pk+p-1}{pq+1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{q} \right\rfloor & \text{if } (p-1)q < k \leq pq \\ \left\lfloor \frac{k}{q} \right\rfloor & \text{if } k \leq (p-1)q. \end{cases}$$

If  $(p-1)q < k \leq pq$ , it is easy to see that  $\left\lfloor \frac{pk+p-1}{pq+1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{q} \right\rfloor = p-1$ . If  $k \leq (p-1)q$ , then we Lemma 2 and we are done.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading of this paper and for encouraging words.

**References**

[1] K. Beanland, H. V. Chu, and C. E. Finch-Smith, Schreier sets, linear recurrences, and Turán sequences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **60** (2022), 352-356.

[2] A. Bird, Schreier sets and the Fibonacci sequence, <https://outofthenormmaths.wordpress.com/2012/05/13/jozef-schreier-schreier-sets-and-the-fibonacci-sequence/>.

[3] H. V. Chu, The Fibonacci sequence and Schreier-Zeckendorf sets, *J. Integer Seq.* **22** (2019), 1-12.

[4] H. V. Chu, S. J. Miller, and Z. Xiang, Higher order Fibonacci sequences from generalized Schreier sets, *Fibonacci Quart.* **58** (2020), 249-253.

[5] H. V. Chu, Partial sums of the Fibonacci sequence, *Fibonacci Quart.* **59** (2021), 132-135.

[6] P. J. Mahanta, Partial sums of the Gibonacci sequence, preprint. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.03534.pdf>.

[7] E. Odell, On schreier unconditional sequences, *Contemp. Math.* **144** (1993), 197-201.

[8] J. Schreier, Ein gegenbeispiel zur theorie der schwachen konvergenz, *Studia Math.* **2** (1962), 58-62.

[9] N. J. A. Sloane et al., *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2021. Available at <https://oeis.org>.

[10] P. Turán, Eine extremalaufgabe aus der graphentheorie, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* **48** (1941), 436-452.



**Appendix**

The following are  $T(n, 5, 2)$  for  $2 \leq n \leq 7$ .



